

Felipe Linares
Gustavo Ponce

Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations



Springer

Universitext

Felipe Linares · Gustavo Ponce

Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations

Felipe Linares
Instituto Nacional de
Matemática Pura e Aplicada
(IMPA)
Estrada Dona Castorina 110
Rio de Janeiro-RJ
Brazil
linares@impa.br

Gustavo Ponce
Department Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara
College of Letters and Science
Santa Barbara CA 93106
USA
ponce@math.ucsb.edu

ISBN 978-0-387-84898-3
DOI 10.1007/978-0-387-84899-0

e-ISBN 978-0-387-84899-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2008939461

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 37Lxx:37L50

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Cover design: SPi Publisher Services

Printed on acid-free paper

springer.com

Preface

The goal of this monograph is to present an introduction to a sampling of ideas and methods from the subject of nonlinear dispersive equations. This subject has been of great interest and has rapidly developed in the last few years. Here we will try to expose some aspects of the recent developments.

The presentation is intended to be self-contained, but we will assume that the reader has knowledge of the material usually taught in courses of theory of one complex variable and integration theory.

This monograph is the product of lecture notes used for mini-courses and graduate courses taught by the authors. The first version of the lecture notes were written by Gustavo Ponce with Wilfredo Urbina from the Universidad Central de Venezuela and designed to teach a mini-course at the Venezuelan School of Mathematics in Mérida, Venezuela, in 1990. A second version of those notes was presented by Gustavo Ponce at the Colombian School of Mathematics in Cali, Colombia in 1991. These notes comprise a part of the materials covered in the first six chapters of the present monograph. Most of the original notes were used to teach various graduate courses at IMPA and UNICAMP by Felipe Linares. During these lectures the previous versions were complemented with some new materials presented here. These notes were also used by Hebe Biagioni and Marcia Scialom from UNICAMP in their seminars and graduate courses. The idea to write the present monograph arose from the need for a more complete treatment of these topics for graduate students.

Before going any further we would like first to give a notion of what a partial differential equation of dispersive type is. We will do this in the one-dimensional frame. We consider a linear partial differential equation

$$F(\partial_x, \partial_t)u(x, t) = 0, \quad (0.1)$$

where F is a polynomial in the partial derivatives. We look for plane wave solutions of the form $u(x, t) = A e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$ where A , k , and ω are constants representing the amplitude, the wavenumber, and the frequency, respectively. Hence u will be a solution if and only if

$$F(ik, -i\omega) = 0. \quad (0.2)$$

This equation is called the *dispersion relation*. This relation characterizes the plane wave motion. In several models we can write ω as a real function of k , namely,

$$\omega = \omega(k).$$

The phase and group velocities of the waves are defined by

$$c_p(k) = \frac{\omega}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad c_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk}.$$

The waves are called *dispersive* if the group velocity $c_g = \omega'(k)$ is not constant, i.e., $\omega''(k) \neq 0$. In the physical context this means that when time evolves the different waves disperse in the medium, with the result that a single hump breaks into wave-trains.

To present the material we have chosen to study two very well known models in the class of nonlinear dispersive equations: the Korteweg–de Vries equation

$$\partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v \partial_x v = 0, \quad (0.3)$$

where v is a real-valued function and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = f(u, \bar{u}), \quad (0.4)$$

where u is a complex-valued function.

Before commenting on the theory presented in this monograph regarding these equations we would like to say few words concerning the physical models described by these equations in the context of water waves.

The first model (0.3) goes back to the discovery of Scott Russell in 1835 of what he called a traveling wave. This equation describes the propagation of waves in shallow water and was proposed by Diederik Johannes Korteweg and Gustav de Vries in 1895 [KdV]. In the one-dimensional context the (cubic) nonlinear Schrödinger equation (0.4) with $f(u, \bar{u}) = |u|^2 u$ models the propagation of wave packets in the theory of water waves.

We also have to mention that there is a very well known strong relationship between these two equations and the theory of completely integrable systems, or *Soliton theory*.

In many cases, we present the details of simple proof, which may not be that of the strongest result. We give several examples to illustrate the theory. At the end of every chapter we complement the theory described either with a set of exercises or with a section with comments on open problems, extensions, and recent developments.

The first three chapters attempt to review several topics in Fourier analysis and partial differential equations. These are the elementary tools needed to develop the theory in the rest of the notes.

The properties of solutions to the linear problem associated to the Schrödinger equation are discussed in Chapter 4. Then the initial value problem associated to (0.4) and properties of its solutions are studied in Chapters 5 and 6. Chapters 7 and

8 are devoted to the study of the initial value problem for the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. A survey of results concerning several nonlinear dispersive equations that generalize (0.3) and (0.4) as Davey–Stewartson systems, Ishimori equations, Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equations, Benjamin–Ono equations, and Zakharov systems is presented in Chapter 9. In the last chapter we present the most recent result regarding local well-posedness for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

We shall point out that by no means our presentation is completely exhaustive. We refer the reader to the lecture notes by Cazenave [Cz1], [Cz2] and the books by Sulem and Sulem [SS2], Bourgain [Bo2], and Tao [To7]. In these works many topics not covered in these notes are studied in detail.

Acknowledgments. The authors are indebted to several friends that made possible this project. We would like to thank to Carlos Kenig, who allowed us to use part of his lecture notes regarding the material in Chapter 10; to Luis Vega for useful comments and suggestions; to Rafael Iório and Carlos Isnard who are great supporters of the idea of having graduate courses at IMPA in the topics discussed here and the writing of notes concerning; to Hebe Biagioni, Marcia Scialom and Jaime Angulo to give us some feedback in the former lecture notes. We also thank to Daniela Bekiranov, Mahendra Panthee, Aniura Milanés, Wee Keong Lim, German Fonseca, Didier Pilod, Aida Gonzalez, José Jiménez and Luiz Farah for reading the most part of the manuscript and for giving us many corrections and useful comments. The first author is grateful to the Mathematics Department of University of California at Santa Barbara for the support to accomplish this project. The second author was supported by a NSF grant.

Felipe Linares
Gustavo Ponce

Rio de Janeiro and Santa Barbara
June 2008

Contents

1	The Fourier Transform	1
1.1	The Fourier Transform in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$	1
1.2	The Fourier Transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$	6
1.3	Tempered Distributions	8
1.4	Oscillatory Integrals in One Dimension	13
1.5	Applications	17
1.6	Exercises	18
2	Interpolation of Operators. A Multiplier Theorem	25
2.1	The Riesz–Thorin Convexity Theorem	25
2.1.1	Applications	28
2.2	Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem	29
2.2.1	Applications	33
2.3	The Stein Interpolation Theorem	37
2.4	A Multiplier Theorem	38
2.5	Exercises	39
3	Sobolev Spaces and Pseudo-Differential Operators	45
3.1	Basics	45
3.2	Pseudodifferential Operators	51
3.3	The Bicharacteristic Flow	53
3.4	Exercises	55
4	The Linear Schrödinger Equation	59
4.1	Basic Results	59
4.2	Global Smoothing Effects	64
4.3	Local Smoothing Effects	67
4.4	Comments	72
4.5	Exercises	84

5	The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. Local Theory	89
5.1	L^2 Theory	91
5.2	H^1 Theory	99
5.3	H^2 Theory	103
5.4	Comments	106
5.5	Exercises	116
6	Asymptotic Behavior for NLS Equation	119
6.1	Global Results	119
6.2	Formation of Singularities	124
6.2.1	Case $\alpha \in (1 + 4/n, 1 + 4/(n - 2))$	126
6.2.2	Case $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$	128
6.3	Comments	133
6.4	Exercises	137
7	Korteweg-de Vries Equation	139
7.1	Linear Properties	141
7.2	Modified Korteweg-de Vries Equation	146
7.3	Generalized Korteweg-de Vries Equation	149
7.4	Korteweg-de Vries Equation	155
7.5	Comments	166
7.6	Exercises	170
8	Asymptotic Behavior for k-gKdV Equations	173
8.1	Cases $k = 1, 2, 3$	174
8.2	Case $k = 4$	180
8.3	Comments	185
8.4	Exercises	188
9	Other Nonlinear Dispersive Models	191
9.1	Davey-Stewartson Systems	191
9.2	Ishimori Equation	193
9.3	KP Equations	194
9.4	BO Equation	196
9.5	Zakharov System	200
9.6	Higher Order KdV Equations	203
9.7	Exercises	208
10	General Quasilinear Schrödinger Equation	211
10.1	The General Quasilinear Schrödinger Equation	211
10.2	Comments	230
10.3	Exercises	231
A	Appendix	233
	References	239

Contents	xi
Index	255

Chapter 1

The Fourier Transform

In this chapter we shall study some basic properties of the Fourier transform. Section 1.1 is concerned with its definition and properties in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The case $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ will be treated in Section 1.2. The space of tempered distributions will be briefly considered in Section 1.3. Finally, in the last two sections we give an introduction to the study of oscillatory integrals in one dimension and some applications.

1.1 The Fourier Transform in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Definition 1.1. The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted by \widehat{f} , is defined as

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} dx, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $(x \cdot \xi) = x_1 \xi_1 + \cdots + x_n \xi_n$.

We list some basic properties of the Fourier transform in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then:

1. $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ defines a linear transformation from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1. \quad (1.2)$$

2. \widehat{f} is continuous.
3. $\widehat{f}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ (Riemann–Lebesgue).
4. If $\tau_h f(x) = f(x - h)$ denotes the translation by $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\widehat{(\tau_h f)}(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i(h \cdot \xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$(\widehat{e^{-2\pi i(x-h)}f})(\xi) = (\tau_{-h}\widehat{f})(\xi). \quad (1.4)$$

5. If $\delta_a f(x) = f(ax)$ denotes a dilation by $a > 0$, then

$$(\widehat{\delta_a f})(\xi) = a^{-n} \widehat{f}(a^{-1}\xi). \quad (1.5)$$

6. Let $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f * g$ be the convolution of f and g . Then

$$(\widehat{f * g})(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi). \quad (1.6)$$

7. Let $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(y)g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\widehat{g}(y)dy. \quad (1.7)$$

Notice that the equality in (1.2) holds for $f \geq 0$, i.e., $\widehat{f}(0) = \|\widehat{f}\|_\infty = \|f\|_1$.

Proof. It is left as an exercise. \square

Next we give some examples to illustrate the properties stated in Theorem 1.1.

Example 1.1. Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = \chi_{(a,b)}(x)$ (the characteristic function of the interval (a,b)). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= \int_a^b e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \\ &= -\frac{e^{-2\pi i b \xi} - e^{-2\pi i a \xi}}{2\pi i \xi} \\ &= -e^{-\pi i(a+b)\xi} \frac{\sin(\pi(a-b)\xi)}{\pi \xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\widehat{f} \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and that $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ has an analytic extension $\widehat{f}(\xi + i\eta)$ to the whole plane $\xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, if $(a,b) = (-k,k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have

$$\widehat{\chi}_{(-k,k)}(\xi) = \frac{\sin(2\pi k \xi)}{\pi \xi}.$$

Example 1.2. Let $n = 1$ and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ define

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} k+1+x, & \text{if } x \in (-k-1, -k+1] \\ 2, & \text{if } x \in (-k+1, k-1) \\ k+1-x, & \text{if } x \in [k-1, k+1) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin (-k-1, k+1), \end{cases}$$

i.e., $g_k(x) = \chi_{(-1,1)} * \chi_{(-k,k)}(x)$. The identity (1.6) and the previous example show that

$$\widehat{g}_k(\xi) = \frac{\sin(2\pi\xi) \sin(2\pi k\xi)}{(\pi\xi)^2}.$$

Notice that $\widehat{g}_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and has an analytic extension to the whole plane \mathbb{C} .

Example 1.3. Let $n \geq 1$ and $f(x) = e^{-4\pi^2 t |x|^2}$ with $t > 0$. Then changing variables $x \rightarrow x/\sqrt{t}$ and using (1.5), we can restrict ourselves to the case $t = 1$. Using Fubini's theorem we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-4\pi^2 |x|^2} e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} dx &= \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(-4\pi^2 x_j^2 - 2\pi i \xi_j x_j)} dx_j \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(-4\pi^2 x_j^2 - 2\pi i \xi_j x_j + \xi_j^2/4)} e^{-\xi_j^2/4} dx_j \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\xi_j^2/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(2\pi x_j + i \xi_j/2)^2} dx_j \\ &= 2^{-n} \pi^{-n/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/4}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last identity we have used the following identities from complex integration and calculus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(2\pi x + i \xi/2)^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(2\pi x)^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \frac{dx}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Hence

$$\widehat{e^{-4\pi^2 t |x|^2}}(\xi) = \frac{e^{-|\xi|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}. \quad (1.8)$$

Observe that taking $t = 1/4\pi$ and changing variables $t \rightarrow 1/16\pi^2 t$ we get

$$\widehat{e^{-\pi |x|^2}}(\xi) = e^{-\pi |\xi|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\widehat{e^{-|x|^2/4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}(\xi) = e^{-4\pi^2 t |\xi|^2},$$

respectively.

Example 1.4. Let $n \geq 1$ and $f(x) = e^{-2\pi |x|}$. Then

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{(n+1)}{2}\right]}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}.$$

See Exercise 1.1(i).

Example 1.5. Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+x^2}$. Using complex integration one obtains the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(ax)}{x^2 + b^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{b} e^{-ab}, \quad a, b > 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{\frac{1}{1+x^2}}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{1+x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi |\xi| x)}{1+x^2} dx = e^{-2\pi |\xi|}. \end{aligned}$$

One of the most important features of the Fourier transform is its relationship with differentiation. This is described in the following results.

Proposition 1.1. Suppose $x_k f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where x_k denotes the k th coordinate of x . Then \widehat{f} is differentiable with respect to ξ_k and

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{f}}{\partial \xi_k}(\xi) = (-2\pi i x_k \widehat{f(x)})(\xi). \quad (1.9)$$

In other words, the Fourier transform of the product $x_k f(x)$ is equal to a multiple of the partial derivative of $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ with respect to the k th variable.

To consider the converse result we need to introduce a definition.

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is differentiable in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the k -th variable if there exists $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{f(x + h e_k) - f(x)}{h} - g(x) \right|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

where e_k has k th coordinate equals 1 and 0 in the others. If such a function g exists (in this case it is unique) it is called the partial derivative of f with respect to the k th variable in the L^p -norm.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and g be its partial derivative with respect to the k th variable in the L^1 -norm. Then $\widehat{g}(\xi) = 2\pi i \xi_k \widehat{f}(\xi)$.

Proof. Properties (1.2) and (1.4) in Theorem 1.1 allow us to write

$$\left| \widehat{g}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi) \frac{(1 - e^{-2\pi i h(\xi \cdot e_k)})}{h} \right|,$$

then take $h \rightarrow 0$ to obtain the result. \square

From the previous results it is easy to obtain the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} P(D)\widehat{f}(\xi) &= (P(-2\pi ix)f(x))^\wedge(\xi), \\ (\widehat{P(D)f})(\xi) &= P(2\pi i\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where P is a polynomial in n variables and $P(D)$ denotes the differential operator associated to P .

Now we turn our attention to the following question: Given the Fourier transform \widehat{f} of a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, how can one recover f ?

Examples 1.3–1.5 suggest the use of the formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} d\xi.$$

Unfortunately, $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ may be nonintegrable (see Example 1.1). To avoid this problem one needs to use the so called “method of summability” (Abel and Gauss) similar to those used in the study of Fourier series. Combining the idea behind the Gauss summation method and the identities (1.4), (1.7), (1.8) we obtain the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} * f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} f(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_x \frac{e^{-|y|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} f(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} \widehat{e^{-4\pi^2 t |x|^2}})(y) f(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} e^{-4\pi^2 t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is taken in the L^1 -norm.

Thus, if f and \widehat{f} are both integrable the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem guarantees the pointwise equality. Also if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous at the point x_0 we get

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} * f(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i(x_0 \cdot \xi)} e^{-4\pi^2 t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Collecting this information we get the following result.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} e^{-4\pi^2 t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where the limit is taken in the L^1 -norm. Moreover, if f is continuous at the point x_0 then the following pointwise equality holds

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i(x_0 \cdot \xi)} e^{-4\pi^2 t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $f, \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad \text{almost everywhere } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

From this result and Theorem 1.1 we can conclude that

$$\wedge : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a linear, one-to-one, bounded map. However it is not surjective (Exercise 1.6).

1.2 The Fourier Transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

To define the Fourier transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we first shall use that $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subset of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Plancherel). *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_2 = \|f\|_2. \quad (1.11)$$

Proof. Let $g(x) = \bar{f}(-x)$. Using Young's inequality (1.39), (1.6), and Exercise 1.7 (ii), it follows that

$$f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\xi).$$

Since $\widehat{g} = \overline{\widehat{f}}$ we find that $\widehat{(f * g)} = |\widehat{f}|^2 \geq 0$. Hence $\widehat{(f * g)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Exercise 1.7 (iii)). Proposition 1.2 shows that

$$(f * g)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{f}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) d\xi = (f * g)(0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(0-x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\bar{f}(x) dx = \|f\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

This result shows that the Fourier transform defines a linear bounded operator from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, this operator is an isometry. Thus, there is a unique bounded extension \mathcal{F} defined in all $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \mathcal{F} is called the Fourier transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall use the notation $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{F}(f)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In general, this definition \widehat{f} is realized as a limit in L^2 of the sequence $\{\widehat{h_j}\}$, where $\{h_j\}$ denotes any sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that converges to f in the L^2 -norm. It is convenient to take h_j equals f for $|x| \leq j$ and to have h_j vanishing for $|x| > j$. Then,

$$\widehat{h_j}(\xi) = \int_{|x| < j} f(x) e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_j(x) e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} dx$$

and so

$$\widehat{h_j}(\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{in } L^2 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Example 1.6. Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{1+x^2}$. Observe that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Differentiating the identity in the Example 1.5 with respect to a and taking $b = 1$ we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x \sin(ax)}{1+x^2} dx = \pi e^{-a}, \quad a > 0,$$

which combined with the previous remark gives

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{-2\pi|\xi|}.$$

A surjective isometry defines a “unitary operator.” Theorem 1.3 affirms that \mathcal{F} is an isometry. Let us see that \mathcal{F} is also surjective.

Theorem 1.4. *The Fourier transform defines a unitary operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. From the identity (1.11) it follows that \mathcal{F} is an isometry. In particular, its image is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that this is a proper subspace of L^2 . Then there exists $g \neq 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(y)g(y)dy = 0, \quad \text{for any } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Using formula (1.7) (Theorem 1.1), which obviously extends to $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\widehat{g}(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(y)g(y)dy = 0, \text{ for any } f \in L^2.$$

Therefore $\widehat{g}(\xi) = 0$ almost everywhere, which contradicts

$$\|g\|_2 = \|\widehat{g}\|_2 \neq 0.$$

□

Theorem 1.5. *The inverse of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} can be defined by the formula*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = \mathcal{F}f(-x), \text{ for any } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.12)$$

Proof. $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{f} = \tilde{f}$ is the limit in the L^2 -norm of the sequence

$$f_j(x) = \int_{|\xi| < j} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i(\xi \cdot x)} d\xi.$$

First, we consider the case where $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It suffices to verify this agrees with $\mathcal{F}^*\widehat{f}$, where \mathcal{F}^* is the adjoint operator of \mathcal{F} (we recall the fact that for a unitary operator the adjoint and the inverse are equal). This can be checked as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i(\xi \cdot x)} d\xi = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (g, \tilde{f}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i(\xi \cdot x)} d\xi \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} dx \right) \overline{\widehat{f}(\xi)} d\xi = (\mathcal{F}g, \widehat{f}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $\tilde{f} = f$.

The general case follows by combining the above result and an argument involving a justification of passing to the limit. □

1.3 Tempered Distributions

From the definitions of the Fourier transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a natural extension to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is not hard to see that $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. On the other hand, as we shall prove, any function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > 2$ has a Fourier transform in the distribution sense. However, they may not be functions they are *tempered distributions*. Before studying them it is convenient to see how far Definition 1.1 can be carried out.

Example 1.7. Let $n \geq 1$ and $f(x) = \delta_0$, the delta function, i.e., the measure of mass one concentrated at the origin. Using (1.1) one finds that

$$\widehat{\delta_0}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_0(x) e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} dx \equiv 1.$$

In fact, Definition 1.1 tells us that if μ is a bounded measure then $\widehat{\mu}(\xi)$ represents a function in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Suppose that given $f(x) \equiv 1$ we want to find $\widehat{f}(\xi)$. In this case (1.1) cannot be used directly. It is necessary to introduce the notion of tempered distribution. For this purpose, we first need the following family of seminorms.

For each $(\mathbf{v}, \beta) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{2n}$ we denote the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{(\mathbf{v}, \beta)}$ defined as

$$\|f\|_{(\mathbf{v}, \beta)} = \|x^\mathbf{v} \partial_x^\beta f\|_\infty.$$

Now we can define the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of the C^∞ -functions decaying at infinity, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|\phi\|_{(\mathbf{v}, \beta)} < \infty \text{ for any } \mathbf{v}, \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n\}.$$

Thus $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (consider $f(x)$ as in Example 1.3).

The topology in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by the family of seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{(\mathbf{v}, \beta)}$, $(\mathbf{v}, \beta) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{2n}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $\{\phi_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ if for any $(\mathbf{v}, \beta) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{2n}$ one has that

$$\|\phi_j\|_{(\mathbf{v}, \beta)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

The relationship between the Fourier transform and the function space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is described in the formulae (1.10). More precisely, we have the following result (see Exercise 1.13).

Theorem 1.6. The map $\phi \mapsto \widehat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

Thus $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ appears naturally associated to the Fourier transform. By duality we can define the tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 1.4. We say that $\psi : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines a *tempered distribution*, i.e., $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

1. Ψ is linear,
2. Ψ is continuous, i.e., if for any $\{\phi_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ then the numerical sequence $\Psi(\phi_j) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

It is easy to check that any bounded function f defines a tempered distribution Ψ_f where

$$\Psi_f(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\varphi(x)dx, \text{ for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.13)$$

In fact, this identity allows us to see that any locally integrable function with polynomial growth at infinity defines a tempered distribution. In particular, we have the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The following example gives us a tempered distribution outside these function spaces.

Example 1.8. In $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ define the *principal value function* of $1/x$, denoted by p.v. $\frac{1}{x}$, by the expression

$$\text{p.v. } \frac{1}{x}(\varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx,$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $1/x$ is an odd function,

$$\text{p.v. } \frac{1}{x}(\varphi) = \int_{|x| < 1} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{x} dx + \int_{|x| > 1} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx. \quad (1.14)$$

Therefore

$$|\text{p.v. } \frac{1}{x}(\varphi)| \leq 2\|\varphi'\|_\infty + 2\|x\varphi\|_\infty, \quad (1.15)$$

and consequently $\text{p.v. } \frac{1}{x} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Now, given a $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its Fourier transform can be defined in the following natural form.

Definition 1.5. Given $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its *Fourier transform* $\widehat{\Psi} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\varphi) = \Psi(\widehat{\varphi}), \text{ for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.16)$$

Observe that for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (1.7), (1.13), and (1.16) tell us that

$$\widehat{\Psi}_f(\varphi) = \Psi_f(\widehat{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\widehat{\varphi}(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(x)\varphi(x)dx = \Psi_{\widehat{f}}(\varphi).$$

Therefore, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has that $\widehat{\Psi}_f = \Psi_{\widehat{f}}$. Thus, Definition 1.5 is consistent with the theory of the Fourier transform developed in Sections 1.1 and 1.2.

Example 1.9. Let $f(x) \equiv 1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using the previous notation, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that

$$\widehat{\Psi}_1(\varphi) = \Psi_1(\widehat{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1 \widehat{\varphi}(x)dx = \varphi(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_0(x) \varphi(x)dx = \delta_0(\varphi).$$

Hence $\widehat{1} = \delta_0$. We recall that in Example 1.7 we already saw that $\widehat{\delta_0} = 1$.

Next we compute the Fourier transform of the tempered distribution in Example 1.8.

Example 1.10. Combining Definition 1.5, Fubini's theorem, and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\text{p.v.} \frac{1}{x}}(\varphi) &= \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{x}(\widehat{\varphi}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(x)}{x} dx \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(y) e^{-2\pi i x y} dy \right) dx \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(y) \left(\int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x y}}{x} dx \right) dy \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(y) \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x y}}{x} dx \right) dy \\
 &= -i\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sgn}(y) \varphi(y) dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

where a change of variables and complex integration have been used to conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x y}}{x} dx = -2i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi x y)}{x} dx = -2i \text{sgn}(y) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = -i\pi \text{sgn}(y).$$

This yields the identity

$$\widehat{\text{p.v.} \frac{1}{x}}(\xi) = -i\pi \text{sgn}(\xi).$$

The topology in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be described in the following form.

Definition 1.6. Let $\{\Psi_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\Psi_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that $\Psi_j(\varphi) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

As a consequence of the Definitions 1.4, 1.6, we get the following extension of Theorem 1.6, whose proof we leave as an exercise.

Theorem 1.7. The map $\mathcal{F} : \Psi \mapsto \widehat{\Psi}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

Combining the above results with an extension of Example 1.3 (see Exercise 1.2) we can justify the following computation related with the fundamental solution of the evolution Schrödinger equation.

Example 1.11. $\widehat{e^{-4\pi^2 i t |x|^2}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{e^{-4\pi^2(\varepsilon + i t)|x|^2}}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

From Exercise 1.2 it follows that

$$(e^{-4\pi^2(\varepsilon + i t)|x|^2})(\xi) = \frac{e^{-|\xi|^2/4(\varepsilon + i t)}}{[4\pi(\varepsilon + i t)]^{n/2}}.$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain

$$(e^{-4\pi^2 i t |x|^2})(\xi) = \frac{e^{i|\xi|^2/4t}}{(4\pi i t)^{n/2}}. \quad (1.17)$$

As an application of these ideas we introduce the Hilbert transform.

Definition 1.7. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we define its *Hilbert transform* $H(\varphi)$ by

$$H(\varphi)(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{x} (\varphi(y - \cdot)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{x} * \varphi(y).$$

From (1.14), (1.15) it is clear that $H(\varphi)(y)$ is defined for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and it is bounded by $g(y) = a|y| + b$, with $a, b > 0$ depending on φ . In particular we have that $H(\varphi) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. Let us compute its Fourier transform.

Example 1.12. From Example 1.10 and the identity

$$H(\varphi)(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x} \chi_{\{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon\}} * \varphi \right)(y) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x} \widehat{\chi_{\{\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon\}}} * \varphi \right)(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi).$$

This implies that

$$\widehat{H(\varphi)}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi), \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1.18)$$

The identity (1.18) allows us to extend the Hilbert transform as an isometry in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It is not hard to see that

$$\|H(\varphi)\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad H(H(\varphi)) = -\varphi.$$

Other properties of the Hilbert transform will be deduced in the exercises in Chapters 1 and 2.

In Definition 1.7 we have implicitly used the following result, which will be used again in the applications at the end of this chapter.

Proposition 1.3. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define

$$\Psi * \varphi(x) = \Psi(\varphi(x - \cdot)). \quad (1.19)$$

Then

$$\Psi * \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\widehat{\Psi * \phi} = \widehat{\Psi} \widehat{\phi}, \quad (1.20)$$

where $\widehat{\Psi} \widehat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as $\widehat{\Psi} \widehat{\phi}(\phi) = \widehat{\Psi}(\widehat{\phi} \phi)$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. It is left as an exercise. \square

1.4 Oscillatory Integrals in One Dimension

In many problems and applications the following question arises:

What is the asymptotic behavior of $I(\lambda)$ when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$I(\lambda) = \int_a^b e^{i\lambda \phi(x)} f(x) dx, \quad (1.21)$$

and ϕ is a smooth real-valued function, called the “phase function,” and f is a smooth complex-valued function?

We shall see that this asymptotic behavior is determined by the points \bar{x} where the derivative of ϕ vanishes, i.e., $\phi'(\bar{x}) = 0$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $f \in C_0^\infty([a, b])$ and $\phi'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in [a, b]$. Then*

$$I(\lambda) = \int_a^b e^{i\lambda \phi(x)} f(x) dx = O(\lambda^{-k}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.22)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Define the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \frac{1}{i\lambda \phi'} \frac{df}{dx},$$

which satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}^t(f) = -\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f}{i\lambda \phi'} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}^k(e^{i\lambda \phi}) = e^{i\lambda \phi},$$

where \mathcal{L}^t denotes the adjoint of \mathcal{L} . Using integration by parts it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi} f dx &= \int_a^b \mathcal{L}^k(e^{i\lambda\phi}) f dx \\
&= (-1)^k \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi} (\mathcal{L}^k f) dx = O(\lambda^{-k}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $|\phi^{(k)}(x)| \geq 1$ for any $x \in [a, b]$ with $\phi'(x)$ monotonic in the case $k = 1$. Then*

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} dx \right| \leq c_k \lambda^{-1/k}, \quad (1.23)$$

where the constant c_k is independent of a, b .

Proof. For $k = 1$ we have that

$$\int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi} dx = \int_a^b \mathcal{L}(e^{i\lambda\phi}) dx = \frac{1}{i\lambda\phi'} e^{i\lambda\phi} \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi} \frac{1}{i\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{\phi'} \right) dx.$$

Clearly, the first term on the right hand side is bounded by $2\lambda^{-1}$. On the other hand, the hypothesis of monotonicity on ϕ' guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi} \frac{1}{i\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{\phi'} \right) dx \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^b \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{\phi'} \right) \right| dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left| \frac{1}{\phi'(b)} - \frac{1}{\phi'(a)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the proof of the case $k = 1$.

For the proof of the case $k \geq 2$ we will use induction in k . Assuming the result for k , we shall prove it for $k + 1$. By hypothesis $|\phi^{(k+1)}(x)| \geq 1$. Let $x_0 \in [a, b]$ be such that

$$|\phi^{(k)}(x_0)| = \min_{a \leq x \leq b} |\phi^{(k)}(x)|.$$

If $\phi^{(k)}(x_0) = 0$, outside the interval $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ one has that $|\phi^{(k)}(x)| \geq \delta$, with ϕ' monotonic if $k = 1$. Splitting the domain of integration and using the hypothesis we obtain that

$$\left| \int_a^{x_0 - \delta} e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{x_0 + \delta}^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} dx \right| \leq c_k (\lambda \delta)^{-1/k}.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\left| \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} dx \right| \leq 2\delta.$$

Thus

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} dx \right| \leq c_k (\lambda\delta)^{-1/k} + 2\delta.$$

If $\phi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$, then $x_0 = a$ or b and a similar argument provides the same bound. Finally, taking $\delta = \lambda^{-1/(k+1)}$ we complete the proof. \square

Corollary 1.1 (van der Corput). *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 1.5,*

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} f(x) dx \right| \leq c_k \lambda^{-1/k} (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_1) \quad (1.24)$$

with c_k independent of a, b .

Proof. Define

$$G(x) = \int_a^x e^{i\lambda\phi(y)} dy.$$

By (1.23) one has that

$$|G(x)| \leq c_k \lambda^{-1/k}.$$

Now using integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi} f dx \right| &= \left| \int_a^b G' f dx \right| \leq |(Gf)|_a^b + \left| \int_a^b G f' dx \right| \\ &\leq c_k \lambda^{-1/k} (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_1). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Next we shall study an application of these results.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\beta \in [0, 1/2]$ and $I_\beta(x)$ be the oscillatory integral*

$$I_\beta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\eta + \eta^3)} |\eta|^\beta d\eta. \quad (1.25)$$

Then $I_\beta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. First, we fix $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\varphi_0(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\eta| > 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } |\eta| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $(1 - \varphi_0)(\eta)e^{i\eta^3}|\eta|^\beta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, therefore its Fourier transform belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, it suffices to consider

$$\tilde{I}_\beta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\eta + \eta^3)} |\eta|^\beta \varphi_0(\eta) d\eta.$$

For $x \geq -3$, the phase function $\phi_x(\eta) = x\eta + \eta^3$, in the support of φ_0 , satisfies

$$|\phi'_x(\eta)| = |x + 3\eta^2| \geq (|x| + |\eta|^2).$$

In this case integration by parts leads to the desired result.

For $x < -3$, we consider the functions $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in C_0^\infty \times C^\infty$ such that $\varphi_1(\eta) + \varphi_2(\eta) = 1$ with

$$\text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset A = \{\eta : |x + 3\eta^2| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}\},$$

and

$$\varphi_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } B = \{\eta : |x + 3\eta^2| < \frac{|x|}{3}\},$$

and we split the integral $\tilde{I}_\beta(x)$ in two pieces,

$$|\tilde{I}_\beta(x)| \leq |\tilde{I}_\beta^1(x)| + |\tilde{I}_\beta^2(x)|,$$

where

$$\tilde{I}_\beta^j(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\eta + \eta^3)} |\eta|^\beta \varphi_0(\eta) \varphi_j(\eta) d\eta, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

When $\varphi_2(\eta) \neq 0$ the triangle inequality shows that

$$|\phi'_x(\eta)| = |x + 3\eta^2| \geq \frac{1}{6}(|x| + |\eta|^2).$$

Then integration by parts shows that

$$|\tilde{I}_\beta^2(x)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\eta|^\beta}{\phi'_x(\eta)} \varphi_0(\eta) \varphi_2(\eta) \frac{d}{d\eta} e^{i(x\eta + \eta^3)} d\eta \right| \leq 100.$$

Now, if $\eta \in A$ we have that

$$\frac{|x|}{2} \leq 3\eta^2 \leq 3\frac{|x|}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{d^2 \phi_x}{d\eta^2}(\eta) \right| = 6|\eta| \geq |x|^{1/2}.$$

Thus (1.24) (van der Corput) and the form of φ_0, φ_1 guarantee the existence of a constant c independent of $x < -3$ such that

$$|\tilde{I}_\beta^1(x)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\eta + \eta^3)} |\eta|^\beta \varphi_0(\eta) \varphi_1(\eta) d\eta \right| \leq c |x|^{-1/4} |x|^\beta.$$

□

1.5 Applications

Consider the initial value problem (IVP) for the linear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.26)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Taking the Fourier transform with respect to the space variable x in (1.26) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\partial_t u}(\xi, t) = \partial_t \widehat{u}(\xi, t) = i\widehat{\Delta u}(\xi, t) = -4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 \widehat{u}(\xi, t) \\ \widehat{u}(\xi, 0) = \widehat{u}_0(\xi). \end{cases}$$

The solution of this family of ordinary differential equations (ODE), with parameter ξ , can be written as

$$\widehat{u}(\xi, t) = e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_0(\xi).$$

By Proposition 1.3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= (e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_0(\xi))^\vee = (e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2})^\vee * u_0(x) \\ &= \frac{e^{i|\cdot|^2/4t}}{(4\pi i t)^{n/2}} * u_0(x) = e^{it\Delta} u_0(x), \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

where we have introduced the notation $e^{it\Delta}$ which will be justified in Chapter 4.

Next we consider the IVP associated to the linearized KdV equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.28)$$

for $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$. The previous argument shows that

$$v(x, t) = S_t * v_0(x) = (e^{8\pi^3 i t \xi^3} \widehat{v}_0)^\vee = V(t) v_0(x), \quad (1.29)$$

where the kernel $S_t(x)$ is defined by the oscillatory integral

$$S_t(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i x \xi} e^{8\pi^3 i t \xi^3} d\xi. \quad (1.30)$$

After changing variables,

$$S_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3t}} Ai\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{3t}}\right), \quad (1.31)$$

where $Ai(\cdot)$ denotes the Airy function

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\xi x + \xi^3/3)} d\xi. \quad (1.32)$$

By combining Proposition 1.6 (with $\beta = 0$) and a new change of variable we find that

$$\|S_t\|_{\infty} \leq c|t|^{-1/3}. \quad (1.33)$$

Moreover, if $\beta \in [0, 1/2]$ then

$$\|D_x^{\beta} S_t\|_{\infty} \leq c|t|^{-(\beta+1)/3}. \quad (1.34)$$

Hence, using Exercise 1.3 it follows that

$$\|D_x^{\beta} V(t)v_0\|_{\infty} = \|D_x^{\beta} S_t * v_0\|_{\infty} \leq c|t|^{-(\beta+1)/3} \|v_0\|_1, \quad (1.35)$$

where $D_x^{\beta} = D^{\beta} = (-\Delta)^{\beta/2}$ denotes the homogeneous derivative of order β , i.e.,

$$D^{\beta} f(x) = [(2\pi|\xi|)^{\beta} \widehat{f}(\xi)]^{\vee}(x). \quad (1.36)$$

Notice that the derivative of the phase function in (1.32) $\phi(\xi) = \xi x + \xi^3/3$ does not vanish for $x > 0$, i.e., $|\phi'(\xi)| = |x + \xi^2| \geq |x|$, so using Proposition 1.4 one sees that $Ai(x)$ has fast decay for $x > 0$. In fact, one has (see [Ho2] or [SSS]) that

$$|Ai(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(1+x_-)^{1/4}} e^{-cx_+^{3/2}}, \quad (1.37)$$

and

$$|Ai'(x)| \leq (1+x_-)^{1/4} e^{-cx_+^{3/2}}, \quad (1.38)$$

where $x_- = \max\{0; x\}$ and $x_+ = \min\{0; x\}$.

Hence (1.34) with $\beta = 1/2$ can be seen as an interpolation between (1.37) and (1.38) and the scaling.

Remark 1.1. The relevant references used in this chapter are the books [SW], [S1], [S2], [Sa], [Du], and [Rd].

1.6 Exercises

1.1. (i) Let $n \geq 1$ and $f(x) = e^{-2\pi|x|}$. Show that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma[(n+1)/2]}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}.$$

Hint: From the formula of Example 1.5 with $a = \beta$ and $b = 1$ one sees that

$$e^{-\beta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos(\beta x)}{1+x^2} dx,$$

which, combined with the equality

$$\frac{1}{1+x^2} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(1+x^2)\rho} d\rho, \quad \text{yields} \quad e^{-\beta} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} e^{-\beta^2/4\rho} d\rho.$$

Use this identity to obtain the desired result.

(ii) Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^2}$. Show that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\pi|\xi|} (2\pi|\xi| + 1).$$

Hint: Differentiate the identity in Example 1.5.

1.2. Prove the following extension in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of formula (1.8):

$$\widehat{(e^{-a|x|^2})} = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{n/2} e^{-\pi^2|\xi|^2/a}, \quad \Re a \geq 0, a \neq 0,$$

where \sqrt{a} is defined as the branch with $\Re a > 0$.

Hint: Use an analytic continuation argument.

1.3. Prove Young's inequality: Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_1. \quad (1.39)$$

1.4. Prove the Minkowski integral inequality. If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x,y) dx \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x,y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} dx. \quad (1.40)$$

Observe that the proof of the cases $p = 1, \infty$ is immediate.

1.5. Let $f \in L^p((0, \infty))$, $1 < p < \infty$, $f \geq 0$.

- (i) Prove Hardy's inequality

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(s) ds \right)^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^{\infty} (f(x))^p dx. \quad (1.41)$$

- (ii) Prove that equality in (1.41) holds if and only if $f = 0$, a.e., and that the constant $c_p = p/(p-1)$ is optimal in (1.41).
 (iii) Prove that (1.41) fails for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$.
 Hint: Assuming $f \in C_0((0, \infty))$ define

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{\infty} f(s) ds, \quad \text{so} \quad xF' = f - F.$$

Use integration by parts and the Hölder inequality to obtain (1.41).

1.6. Consider the Fourier transform $\widehat{\cdot}$ as a map from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (i) Prove that $\widehat{\cdot}$ is injective.
 (ii) Prove that the image of $\widehat{\cdot}$, i.e., $\widehat{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, is an algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication of functions.
 (iii) Prove that $\widehat{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subsetneq C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity.

Hint: From Example 1.2 we have that $\|g_k\|_\infty = 2$ and

$$\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \|\widehat{g_k}\|_1 = \infty.$$

Use the open mapping theorem to get the desired result.

1.7.

- (i) Prove the following generalization of (1.6) in Theorem 1.1:
 If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $\widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\xi)$.
 (ii) If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $1 < p < \infty$, then $f * g \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. What can you affirm if $p = 1, \infty$?
 (iii) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with f continuous at the point 0 and $\widehat{f} \geq 0$, then $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 Hint: Use Proposition 1.2 and Fatou's lemma.

1.8. Show that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 x}{x^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^3 x}{x^3} dx = \frac{3\pi}{8}.$$

Hint: Use the identities (1.7), (1.11), and Example 1.1.

1.9. For a given $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ prove that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the partial derivative of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the k th variable according to Definition 1.2.
- (ii) There exists $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \partial_{x_k} \phi(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \phi(x) dx$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (iii) There exists $\{f_j\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f_j - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

and $\{\partial_{x_k} f_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (iv) If $\xi_k \widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\sup_{h>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{f(x + he_k) - f(x)}{h} \right|^2 dx < \infty.$$

- (v) For $p \neq 2$ which of the above statements are still equivalent?

1.10. (Paley–Wiener theorem) Prove that if $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq M\}$ then $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ can be extended analytically to \mathbb{C}^n . Moreover, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ one has that

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi + i\eta)| \leq c_k \frac{e^{2\pi M|\eta|}}{(1 + |(\xi + i\eta)|)^k} \quad \text{for any } \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.42)$$

Prove the converse, i.e., if $F(\xi + i\eta)$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying (1.42), then F is the Fourier transform of some $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq M\}$.

1.11. Show that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\widehat{f} \notin L^1(e^{\varepsilon|x|} dx)$.

1.12. Prove that given $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a set $\{a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : |\alpha| \leq k\}$ there exists $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha f(x) dx = a_\alpha.$$

Hint: Use Exercise 1.10.

1.13.

- (i) Prove that if $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f * g \in \mathcal{S}$.
- (ii) Prove that the Fourier transform is an isomorphism from \mathcal{S} into itself.
- (iii) Using the results in Section 1.3, find explicitly $\Psi = \widehat{|x|^2} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (iv) Prove Proposition 1.3.

1.14. In this problem we shall prove that

$$\widehat{\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}}(\xi) = c_{n,\alpha} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{n-\alpha}} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in (0, n)$$

as a tempered distribution, i.e., $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} \widehat{\varphi}(x) dx = c_{n,\alpha} \int \frac{1}{|\xi|^{n-\alpha}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi, \quad (1.43)$$

where $c_{n,\alpha} = \pi^{\alpha-n/2} \Gamma(n/2 - \alpha/2) / \Gamma(\alpha/2)$.

- (i) Combining the Parseval identity and Example 1.3 show that for $\delta > 0$

$$\int e^{-\pi\delta|x|^2} \widehat{\varphi}(x) dx = \delta^{-n/2} \int e^{-\pi|x|^2/\delta} \varphi(x) dx. \quad (1.44)$$

- (ii) Prove the formula

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\delta|x|^2} \delta^{\beta-1} d\delta = \frac{c_\beta}{|x|^{2\beta}} \quad \text{for any } \beta > 0. \quad (1.45)$$

- (iii) Multiply both sides of (1.44) by $\delta^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}-1}$, integrate on δ , use Fubini's theorem and (1.45) to get (1.43).

1.15. Prove the following identities, where H denotes the Hilbert transform:

$$(i) \quad H(fg) = H(f)g + fH(g) + H(H(f)H(g)).$$

$$(ii) \quad H(\chi_{(-1,1)})(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{x+1}{x-1} \right|.$$

$$(iii) \quad H\left(\frac{a}{x^2+a^2}\right) = \frac{x}{x^2+a^2}, \quad a > 0.$$

1.16. Prove that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then $H(\varphi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\widehat{\varphi}(0) = 0$.

1.17. Consider the function $f_a(x) = \frac{x}{a-x^2}$.

- (i) If $a \geq 0$ prove that the principal value function of $f_a(x)$,

$$\text{p.v.} \frac{x}{a-x^2}(\varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |a-x^2| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{x}{a-x^2} \varphi(x) dx,$$

with $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ defines a tempered distribution. Moreover, prove that if

$$\widehat{f_a}(\xi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |a-x^2| < 1/\varepsilon} e^{-2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} \frac{x}{a-x^2} dx,$$

then

$$\|\widehat{f_a}\|_{\infty} \leq M, \quad (1.46)$$

where the constant M is independent of a .

Hint: Observe that if $a = 0$, $f_a(x)$ is just a multiple of the kernel $1/x$ of the Hilbert transform \mathcal{H} . If $a > 0$ then $f_a(x)$ can be written as sum of translations of the kernel of the Hilbert transform \mathcal{H} . Since the Hilbert transform satisfies a similar result, (1.46) follows in both cases. (See Example 1.10.)

(ii) Show that (1.46) is also satisfied if $a < 0$.

Hint: Use Example 1.6.

1.18. Consider the IVP associated to the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w - \Delta w = 0, \\ w(x, 0) = f(x), \\ \partial_t w(x, 0) = g(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.47)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that:

- (i) If $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are real-valued functions, then using the notation in (1.29) the solution can be described by the following expression:

$$w(x, t) = U'(t)f + U(t)g = \cos(Dt)f + \frac{\sin(Dt)}{D}g, \quad (1.48)$$

with $\widehat{Dh}(\xi) = 2\pi|\xi|\widehat{h}(\xi)$ (see (1.36)).

- (ii) If f, g are supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq M\}$ show that $w(\cdot, t)$ is supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq M + t\}$.
- (iii) Assuming $n = 3$ and $f \equiv 0$, prove that

$$w(x, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} \int_{\{|y|=t\}} g(x+y) dS_y.$$

Hint: Prove and use the following identity

$$\int_{\{|x|=t\}} e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dS_x = 4\pi t \frac{\sin(2\pi|\xi|t)}{2\pi|\xi|}.$$

If $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq M\}$, where is the support of $w(\cdot, t)$?

- (iv) Assuming $n = 3$ and $g \equiv 0$, prove that

$$w(x, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi t^2} \int_{\{|y|=t\}} [f(x+y) + \nabla f(x+y) \cdot y] dS_y. \quad (1.49)$$

- (v) If $E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\partial_t w)^2 + |\nabla_x w|^2)(x, t) dx$, then for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E(t) = E_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g^2 + |\nabla_x f|^2)(x) dx.$$

Hint: Use integration by parts and the equation.

- (vi) (A. R. Brodsky [Br]) Show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_t w)^2(x, t) dx = \frac{E_0}{2}.$$

Hint: Use the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma (Theorem 1.1 (3)).

1.19. Consider the IVP (1.28) with initial data $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Prove that for any $t \neq 0$ $v(\cdot, t)$ does not have compact support.

Chapter 2

Interpolation of Operators. A Multiplier Theorem

In this chapter we shall first study two basic results in interpolation of operators in L^p spaces, the Riesz–Thorin theorem and the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (diagonal case). As a consequence of the former we shall prove the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev theorem for Riesz potentials. In this regard we need to introduce one of the fundamental tools in harmonic analysis, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. In Section 2.4 we shall prove the Mihlin multiplier theorem.

The results deduced in this chapter will be used frequently in these notes. In particular, in Chapter 4 the proof of Theorem 4.2 is based on the Riesz–Thorin theorem and the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev theorem.

2.1 The Riesz–Thorin Convexity Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measurable space (i.e., X is a set, \mathcal{A} denotes a σ -algebra of measurable subsets of X , and μ is a measure defined on \mathcal{A}). $L^p = L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ denotes the space of complex-valued functions f that are σ -measurable such that

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Functions in $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ are defined almost everywhere with respect to μ . Similarly, we have $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ the space of functions f that are μ -measurable complex-valued and essentially μ -bounded, with $\|f\|_\infty$ the essential supremum of f . The Riesz–Thorin convexity theorem can be obtained as a consequence of a version of the Hadamard three circles theorem, a result of the Phragmen–Lindelöf theorem, known as the *three lines theorem*.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be a continuous and bounded function defined on*

$$S = \{z = x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$$

which is also analytic in the interior of S . If for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|F(iy)| \leq M_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |F(1+iy)| \leq M_1,$$

then for any $z = x + iy \in S$

$$|F(x+iy)| \leq M_0^{1-x} M_1^x.$$

In other words, the function $\phi(x) = \log k_x$ is convex, where $k_x = \sup \{|F(x+iy)| : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Without loss of generality one can assume that $M_0, M_1 > 0$. Moreover, considering the function $F(z)/M_0^{1-z}M_1^z$, the proof reduces to the case $M_0 = M_1 = 1$. Thus we have that

$$|F(iy)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |F(1+iy)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and we want to show that $|F(z)| \leq 1$ for any $z \in S$. If

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} F(x+iy) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly on } 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

the result follows from the maximum principle. In this case, there exists $y_0 > 0$ such that $|F(x+iy)| \leq 1$ for $|y| \geq y_0$ and $|F(z)| \leq 1$ in the boundary of the rectangle with corners

$$iy_0, 1+iy_0, -iy_0, 1-iy_0.$$

The maximum principle guarantees the same estimate in the interior of the rectangle.

In the general case, we consider the function

$$F_n(z) = F(z)e^{(z^2-1)/n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(z)| &= |F(x+iy)|e^{-y^2/n}e^{(x^2-1)/n} \\ &\leq |F(x+iy)|e^{-y^2/n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |y| \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on $0 \leq x \leq 1$, with $|F_n(iy)| \leq 1$ and $|F_n(1+iy)| \leq 1$, the previous argument proves that $|F_n(z)| \leq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the desired estimate. \square

Let T be a linear operator from $L^p(X)$ to $L^q(Y)$. If T is continuous or bounded, i.e.,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|Tf\|_q}{\|f\|_p} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

we call the number $\|T\|$ the *norm of the operator* T .

Theorem 2.1 (Riesz–Thorin). *Let $p_0 \neq p_1$, $q_0 \neq q_1$. Let T be a bounded linear operator from $L^{p_0}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ to $L^{q_0}(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ with norm M_0 and from $L^{p_1}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ to $L^{q_1}(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ with norm M_1 . Then T is bounded from $L^{p_\theta}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ in $L^{q_\theta}(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ with norm M_θ such that*

$$M_\theta \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta,$$

with

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof (Thorin). Combining the notation

$$\langle h, g \rangle = \int_Y h(y)g(y) d\nu(y)$$

and a duality argument it follows that

$$\|h\|_q = \sup \{ |\langle h, g \rangle| : \|g\|_{q'} = 1 \}$$

and

$$M_{pq} \equiv \sup \{ |\langle Tf, g \rangle| : \|f\|_p = \|g\|_{q'} = 1 \},$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Since $p < \infty$ and $q' < \infty$ we can assume that f, g are simple functions with compact support. Thus

$$f(x) = \sum_j a_j \chi_{A_j}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \sum_k b_k \chi_{B_k}(y).$$

For $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$ we define

$$\frac{1}{p(z)} = \frac{1-z}{p_0} + \frac{z}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q'(z)} = \frac{1-z}{q'_0} + \frac{z}{q'_1},$$

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(x, z) = \sum_j |a_j|^{p_\theta/p(z)} e^{i \arg(a_j)} \chi_{A_j}(x),$$

and

$$\psi(z) = \psi(y, z) = \sum_k |b_k|^{q'_\theta/q'(z)} e^{i \arg(b_k)} \chi_{B_k}(y).$$

Thus $\varphi(z) \in L^{p_j}$, $\psi(z) \in L^{q'_j}$, and $T\varphi(z) \in L^{q_j}$, $j=0, 1$. Also $\varphi'(z) \in L^{p_j}$, $\psi'(z) \in L^{q'_j}$, and $(T\varphi)'(z) \in L^{q_j}$, $j=0, 1$ for $0 < \Re z < 1$. Therefore the function

$$F(z) = \langle T\varphi(z), \psi(z) \rangle$$

is bounded and continuous on $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$ and analytic in the interior. Moreover,

$$\|\varphi(it)\|_{p_0} = \| |f|^{p_\theta/p_0} \|_{p_0} = \|f\|_{p_\theta}^{p_\theta/p_0} = 1$$

and

$$\|\varphi(1+it)\|_{p_1} = \| |f|^{p_\theta/p_1} \|_{p_1} = \|f\|_{p_\theta}^{p_\theta/p_1} = 1.$$

Similarly, $\|\psi(it)\|_{q'_0} = \|\psi(1+it)\|_{q'_1} = 1$.

From the hypotheses it follows that

$$|F(it)| \leq \|T\varphi(it)\|_{q_0} \|\psi(it)\|_{q'_0} \leq M_0$$

and

$$|F(1+it)| \leq \|T\varphi(1+it)\|_{q_1} \|\psi(1+it)\|_{q'_1} \leq M_1.$$

Since $\varphi(\theta) = f$, $\psi(\theta) = g$, and $F(\theta) = \langle Tf, g \rangle$, by the three lines theorem we obtain $|\langle Tf, g \rangle| \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.1. An operator T is said to be *sublinear* if $T(f+g)$ is determined by the values of Tf , Tg , and

$$|T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg|.$$

We shall say that a linear or sublinear operator T is of (*strong*) *type* (p, q) with constant M_{pq} if $\|Tf\|_q \leq M_{pq} \|f\|_p$ for any $f \in L^p$.

With this definition we can rephrase the statement of the Riesz–Thorin theorem.

Let $p_0 \neq p_1$, $q_0 \neq q_1$, and T be a linear operator of type (p_0, q_0) with norm M_0 and of type (p_1, q_1) with norm M_1 . Then T is of type (p, q) with

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1),$$

with norm

$$M \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta.$$

2.1.1 Applications

Next we will use the Riesz–Thorin theorem to establish some properties of the Fourier transform and the convolution operator. We fix $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu = \nu = dx$ the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 2.2 (Young’s inequality). Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq 1$. Then $f * g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$. Moreover,

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. For $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the operator

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y)dy = (f * g)(x).$$

The Minkowski integral inequality shows

$$\|Tf\|_q \leq \|g\|_q \|f\|_1.$$

On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality one sees that

$$\|Tf\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_q \|f\|_{q'}.$$

Thus T is of type $(1, q)$ and (q', ∞) with norm bounded by $\|g\|_q$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 (Riesz–Thorin) guarantees that T is of type (p, r) , where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{(1-\theta)}{1} + \frac{\theta}{q'} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{q}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{(1-\theta)}{q} + 0 = \frac{1}{q} + \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{q}\right) - 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} - 1,$$

with norm less than $\|g\|_q$. □

Theorem 2.3 (Hausdorff–Young’s inequality). *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then $\widehat{f} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and*

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{p'} \leq \|f\|_p. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. From (1.2) and (1.11) it follows that the Fourier transform is of type $(1, \infty)$ and $(2, 2)$ with norm 1. Hence, Theorem 2.1 tells us that it is also of type (p, q) with

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{(1-\theta)}{1} + \frac{\theta}{2} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = 0 + \frac{\theta}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p'}$$

with norm $M \leq 1^{(1-\theta)} 1^\theta = 1$. □

This estimate is the best possible when $p = 1$ or 2 . This is not the case for $1 < p < 2$. Beckner [B] (Theorem 1, page 162) found the best constant for the Hausdorff–Young inequality. He showed that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{p'} \leq (A_p)^n \|f\|_p, \quad \text{where } A_p = \left(\frac{p^{1/p}}{p'^{1/p'}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

2.2 Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem (Diagonal Case)

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measurable space.

Definition 2.2. For a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define its *distribution function* as

$$m(\lambda, f) = \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = \mu(E_f^\lambda).$$

Thus $m(\lambda, f)$ as a function of $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$ is well-defined and takes values in $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, it is nonincreasing and continuous from the right.

Proposition 2.1. For any measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and for any $\lambda \geq 0$ it follows that

1. (Tchebychev)

$$m(\lambda, f) \leq \lambda^{-p} \int_{E_f^\lambda} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \leq \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

2. If $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_p^p = - \int_0^\infty \lambda^p dm(\lambda, f) = p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} m(\lambda, f) d\lambda.$$

If $p = \infty$,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf \{\lambda : m(\lambda, f) = 0\}.$$

3. $m(\lambda, f+g) \leq m(\lambda/2, f) + m(\lambda/2, g)$.

Proof. It is left as an exercise. \square

Definition 2.3. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote by $L^{p*}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ the space of all measurable functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|_p^* = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda (m(\lambda, f))^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Observe that $L^{\infty*} = L^\infty$.

Proposition 2.2. If $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

1. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^{p*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
2. $\|f+g\|_p^* \leq 2(\|f\|_p^* + \|g\|_p^*)$.

Proof. It is left as an exercise. \square

Therefore $L^{p*}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a *quasinormed vector space*

$$\|f+g\| \leq k(\|f\| + \|g\|)$$

with $k = 2$, i.e., it only satisfies a quasitriangular inequality. The spaces L^p and L^{p*} are particular cases of the *Lorentz spaces* $L^{p,q}$ (see [BeL]).

Definition 2.4. Let $M(X)$ be the space of complex-valued measurable functions defined on X . A linear or sublinear operator $T : L^p(X) \rightarrow M(X)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$ is said to be of *weak type* (p, q) if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(X)$

$$\|Tf\|_q^* \leq c\|f\|_p.$$

If $q = \infty$, type (p, ∞) and weak type (p, ∞) agree. Tchebychev's inequality shows that if T is of type (p, q) then it is of weak type (p, q) .

In the rest of this chapter we shall consider $X = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2.4 (Marcinkiewicz). Let $1 < r \leq \infty$ and

$$T : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be a sublinear operator (see Definition 2.1). If T is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and of weak type (r, r) , then T is of (strong) type (p, p) for any $p \in (1, r)$.

Proof. First we consider the case $r = \infty$. Changing the operator T by $\|T\|^{-1}T$ one can assume that

$$\|Tf\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty.$$

Given $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we define

$$f_1^\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } |f(x)| \geq \lambda/2 \\ 0, & \text{if } |f(x)| < \lambda/2 \end{cases}$$

and $f_2^\lambda(x) = f(x) - f_1^\lambda(x)$. Therefore

$$|Tf(x)| \leq |Tf_1^\lambda(x)| + \lambda/2,$$

and

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\} \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf_1^\lambda(x)| > \lambda/2\}.$$

Since T is of weak type $(1, 1)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf_1^\lambda(x)| > \lambda/2\}| &\leq c \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^\lambda(x)| dx \\ &= 2c\lambda^{-1} \int_{|f| > \lambda/2} |f(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Combining this estimate, part (2) of Proposition 2.1, and a change in the order of integration one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf(x)|^p dx &= p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}| d\lambda \\
&\leq p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \left(2c\lambda^{-1} \int_{|f|>\lambda/2} |f(x)| dx \right) d\lambda \\
&= 2cp \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-2} \left(\int_{|f|>\lambda/2} |f(x)| dx \right) d\lambda \\
&= 2cp \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^{2|f(x)|} \lambda^{p-2} d\lambda \right) |f(x)| dx = \frac{2^p cp}{p-1} \|f\|_p^p,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the result for the case $r = \infty$.

In the case $r < \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
m(\lambda, Tf) &= |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}| \\
&\leq m(\lambda/2, Tf_1^\lambda) + m(\lambda/2, Tf_2^\lambda) \\
&\leq c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^\lambda(x)| dx + c_r \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_2^\lambda(x)|^r dx \\
&= 2c_1 \lambda^{-1} \int_{|f| \geq \lambda/2} |f(x)| dx + (2c_r)^r \lambda^{-r} \int_{|f| < \lambda/2} |f(x)|^r dx.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of the case $r = \infty$ we have that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-2} \left(\int_{|f| \geq \lambda/2} |f(x)| dx \right) d\lambda = \frac{2^{p-1}}{p-1} \|f\|_p^p.$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1-r} \left(\int_{|f| < \lambda/2} |f(x)|^r dx \right) d\lambda = \frac{2^{p-r}}{r-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

Combining these inequalities and part (2) of Proposition 2.1 we find that

$$\|Tf\|_p \leq c_p \|f\|_p, \quad \text{with } c_p = 2 \sqrt[p]{p} \left(\frac{c_1}{p-1} + \frac{c_r^r}{r-p} \right)^{1/p}.$$

□

2.2.1 Applications

We shall use the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem to study some basic properties of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. First we will introduce some notation.

We denote by $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the spaces of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\int_K |f| dx < \infty$ for any compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by ω_n and $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - y\| < r\}$ is the ball of center x and radius r .

Definition 2.5. For a given $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $\mathcal{M}f(x)$, the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal function* associated to f , as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}f(x) &= \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{B_1(0)} |f(x - ry)| dy \\ &= \sup_{r>0} \left(|f| * \frac{1}{|B_r(0)|} \chi_{B_r(0)} \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3.

1. \mathcal{M} defines a sublinear operator; i.e.,

$$|\mathcal{M}(f+g)(x)| \leq |\mathcal{M}f(x)| + |\mathcal{M}g(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

2. If $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. It is left as an exercise. □

Part (2) of Proposition 2.3 tells us that \mathcal{M} is of type (∞, ∞) . Next, we will show that \mathcal{M} is of weak type $(1, 1)$. For this purpose we need the following result.

Lemma 2.2 (Vitali’s covering lemma). Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set such that $E \subseteq \cup_\alpha B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha)$ with the family of open balls $\{B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ satisfying $\sup_\alpha r_\alpha = c_0 < \infty$. Then there exists a subfamily $\{B_{r_j}(x_j)\}_j$ disjoint and numerable such that

$$|E| \leq 5^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |B_{r_j}(x_j)|.$$

Proof. Choose $B_{r_1}(x_1)$ such that $r_1 \geq c_0/2$. For $j \geq 2$ take $B_{r_j}(x_j)$ such that $B_{r_j}(x_j) \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{j-1} B_{r_k}(x_k) = \emptyset$ and

$$r_j > \frac{1}{2} \sup \{r_\alpha : B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha) \cap B_{r_k}(x_k) = \emptyset \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, j-1\}.$$

It is clear that the $B_{r_j}(x_j)$ are disjoint. If $\sum |B_{r_j}(x_j)| = \infty$ we have completed the proof. In the case $\sum |B_{r_j}(x_j)| < \infty$ (hence, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j = 0$) it will suffice to show that

$$B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcup_j B_{5r_j}(x_j), \text{ for any } \alpha.$$

If $B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha) = B_{r_j}(x_j)$ for some j there is nothing to prove. Thus we assume that $B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha) \neq B_{r_j}(x_j)$ for any j . Define j_α as the smallest j such that $r_j < r_\alpha/2$. By the construction of $B_{r_j}(x_j)$ there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, j_\alpha - 1\}$ such that $B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha) \cap B_{r_j}(x_j) \neq \emptyset$. Denoting by j^* this index it follows that $B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha) \subseteq B_{5r_{j^*}}(x_{j^*})$ since $r_{j^*} \geq r_\alpha/2$. \square

Theorem 2.5 (Hardy–Littlewood). *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. Then \mathcal{M} is a quasilinear operator of type (p, p) , i.e., there exists c_p such that*

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_p \leq c_p \|f\|_p, \text{ for any } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. We first show that \mathcal{M} is of weak type $(1, 1)$, that is, there exists a constant c_1 such that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda m(\lambda, \mathcal{M}f) \leq c_1 \|f\|_1. \quad (2.7)$$

Once (2.7) has been established, a combination of (2.5), (2.7), and the Marcinkiewicz theorem yields (2.6).

To obtain (2.7) we define $E_f^\lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}f(x) > \lambda\}$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Thus if $x \in E_f^\lambda$, then there exists $B_{r_x}(x)$ such that

$$\int_{B_{r_x}(x)} |f(y)| dy > \lambda |B_{r_x}(x)|.$$

Clearly, we have that

$$E_f^\lambda \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in E_f^\lambda} B_{r_x}(x),$$

then the Vitali covering lemma guarantees the existence of a countable, disjoint subfamily $\{B_{r_{x_j}}(x_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ such that

$$|E_f^\lambda| \leq 5^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |B_{r_{x_j}}(x_j)| \leq 5^n \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{r_{x_j}}(x_j)} |f(y)| dy \leq 5^n \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1,$$

which implies (2.7). \square

Next we extend the estimates (2.6)–(2.7) to a large class of kernels.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial, positive, and nonincreasing function of $r = \|x\| \in [0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\sup_{t>0} |\varphi_t * f(x)| = \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi(t^{-1}(x-y))}{t^n} f(y) dy \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \mathcal{M}f(x). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. First, we assume that, in addition to the hypotheses, φ is a simple function

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_k a_k \chi_{B_{r_k}(0)}(x), \text{ with } a_k > 0.$$

Hence

$$\varphi * f(x) = \sum_k a_k |B_{r_k}(0)| \frac{1}{|B_{r_k}(0)|} \chi_{B_{r_k}(0)} * f(x) \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \mathcal{M}f(x).$$

(observe that $\|\varphi\|_1 = \sum_k a_k |B_{r_k}(0)|$).

In the general case, we approximate φ by an increasing sequence of simple functions satisfying the hypotheses. Since dilations of φ satisfy the same hypotheses and preserve the L^1 -norm, they verify (2.8). Finally, passing to the limit we obtain the desired result. \square

Next we shall apply these results to deduce some continuity properties of the Riesz potentials. We recall that the fundamental solution of the Laplacian Δ is given by the following formula describing the Newtonian potential

$$Uf(x) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.$$

The Riesz potentials generalize this expression.

Definition 2.6. Let $0 < \alpha < n$. The Riesz potential of order α , denoted by I_α , is defined as

$$I_\alpha f(x) = c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy = k_\alpha * f(x), \quad (2.9)$$

where $c_\alpha = \pi^{-n/2} 2^{-\alpha} \Gamma(n/2 - \alpha/2) / \Gamma(\alpha/2)$.

Since the Riesz potentials are defined as integral operators it is natural to study their continuity properties in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.6 (Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev). *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, with*

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}.$$

1. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the integral (2.9) is absolutely convergent almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. If $p > 1$, then I_α is of type (p, q) , i.e.,

$$\|I_\alpha(f)\|_q \leq c_{p, \alpha, n} \|f\|_p. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. We split the kernel $k_\alpha(x) = k_\alpha^0(x) + k_\alpha^\infty(x)$ as

$$k_\alpha^0(x) = \begin{cases} k_\alpha(x) & \text{if } |x| \leq \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and $k_\alpha^\infty(x) = k_\alpha(x) - k_\alpha^0(x)$, where ε is a positive constant to be determined. Thus

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \leq |k_\alpha^0 * f(x)| + |k_\alpha^\infty * f(x)| = I + II. \quad (2.11)$$

The integral I represents the convolution of a function $k_\alpha^0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The integral II is the convolution of a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $k_\alpha^\infty \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore both integrals converge absolutely.

Also, using that

$$\int_{|y| < \varepsilon} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} = c_n \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{r^{n-1}}{r^{n-\alpha}} dr = c_{n, \alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha,$$

together with (2.8) in Proposition 2.4 we infer that

$$I \leq \varepsilon^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha} \chi_{\{|y|/\varepsilon| < 1\}}(y) \frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} * |f| \right)(x) \leq c_{\alpha, n} \varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{M}f(x). \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq c_{\alpha, n} \|f\|_p \left(\int_{|y| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{|y|^{(n-\alpha)p'}} dy \right)^{1/p'} \\ &= c_{\alpha, n} \|f\|_p \left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{r^{(n-\alpha)p'}} dr \right)^{1/p'} \\ &= c_{\alpha, n} \varepsilon^{n/p' - n + \alpha} \|f\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Next, we minimize the sum of the bounds in (2.12)–(2.13). Hence we fix $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$ such that

$$c\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{M}f(x) = c\varepsilon^{n/p' - n + \alpha} \|f\|_p,$$

using $n/p' - n = -n/p$. This is equivalent to

$$c\mathcal{M}f(x) = c\varepsilon^{-n/p} \|f\|_p. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining (2.11)–(2.14) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_\alpha f(x)| &\leq c (\|f\|_p (\mathcal{M}f(x))^{-1})^{\alpha p/n} \mathcal{M}f(x) \\
 &= c \|f\|_p^{\alpha p/n} (\mathcal{M}f(x))^{1-\alpha p/n} \\
 &= c \|f\|_p^\theta (\mathcal{M}f(x))^{1-\theta}, \quad \theta = \alpha p/n \in (0, 1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Finally, taking the L^q -norm in (2.15) and using (2.6) we conclude

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_q \leq c \|f\|_p^\theta \|(\mathcal{M}f)^{1-\theta}\|_q = c \|f\|_p^\theta \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{(1-\theta)q}^{1-\theta} \leq c \|f\|_p,$$

since $(1-\theta)q = (1-\alpha p/n)q = p$, i.e., $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. This completes the proof. \square

2.3 The Stein Interpolation Theorem

So far we have discussed interpolation theorems for fixed linear or sublinear operators. We now want to cover the following situation: Suppose we have linear operators varying together with the indices p and q smoothly. Is it possible to extend the Riesz–Thorin theorem to this case? The answer is affirmative and we shall describe this extension next.

Let S be the strip defined in Lemma 2.1 and $z = x + iy \in S$. Suppose that for each $z \in S$ there corresponds a linear operator T_z defined on the space of simple functions in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ into measurable functions on Y in such a way $(T_z f)g$ is integrable on Y provided f is a simple function in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and g is a simple function in $L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$.

Definition 2.7. The family of operators $\{T_z\}_{z \in S}$ is called *admissible* if the mapping

$$z \mapsto \int_Y (T_z f)g \, d\nu$$

is analytic in the interior of S , continuous on S and there exists a constant $a < \pi$ such that

$$e^{-a|y|} \log \left| \int_Y (T_z f)g \, d\nu \right|$$

is uniformly bounded above in the strip S .

Theorem 2.7 (Stein). Suppose $\{T_z\}, z \in S$, is an admissible family of linear operators satisfying

$$\|T_{iy} f\|_{q_0} \leq M_0(y) \|f\|_{p_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_{1+iy} f\|_{q_1} \leq M_1(y) \|f\|_{p_1}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all simple functions f in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where $1 \leq p_j, q_j \leq \infty$, $M_j(y)$, $j = 0, 1$, are independent of f and satisfy

$$\sup_{-\infty < y < \infty} e^{-b|y|} \log M_j(y) < \infty$$

for some $b < \pi$. Then, if $0 \leq t \leq 1$, there exists a constant M_t such that

$$\|T_t f\|_{q_t} \leq M_t \|f\|_{p_t}$$

for all simple functions f provided

$$\frac{1}{p_t} = \frac{(1-t)}{p_0} + \frac{t}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q_t} = \frac{(1-t)}{q_0} + \frac{t}{q_1}.$$

Proof. For the proof of this theorem we refer the reader to [SW]. □

2.4 A Multiplier Theorem

Let $m(\cdot)$ be a bounded measurable function in \mathbb{R}^n . Define the operator

$$T_m f(x) = (m(\cdot) \hat{f}(\cdot))^\vee(x), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.16)$$

Notice that if $\hat{m}(x) = K(x)$ then, formally, $T_m f(x) = K * f(x)$. However, $K \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., a temperate distribution so $K * f$ is not necessarily defined.

As we have seen in (1.27), (1.29), and (1.48) solutions of the linear evolution equation can be written in this form.

Definition 2.8. An $m(\cdot)$ is said an L^p -multiplier if

$$\|T_m f\|_p \leq c_p \|f\|_p, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.17)$$

In this case $T_m(\cdot)$ can be extended to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The smallest constant c_p^* in (2.17) is the operator norm of T_m in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., $\|T_m\|$ (see (2.1)). Notice that if $p = 2$ one has $c_2^* = \|m\|_\infty$. Also by duality if $m(\cdot)$ is an L^p -multiplier, $1 < p < \infty$, then $m(\cdot)$ is an $L^{p'}$ -multiplier with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, and $c_{p'}^* = c_p^*$.

Theorem 2.8 (Mihlin–Hörmander). Let $m \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $k > n/2$. If for $|\alpha| \leq k/2$

$$\sup_{R>0} R^{-n+2|\alpha|} \int_{R<|\xi|<2R} |\partial_\xi^\alpha m(\xi)|^2 d\xi = A_\alpha < \infty, \quad (2.18)$$

then $m(\cdot)$ is an L^p -multiplier for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. Moreover, T_m is of weak type $(1, 1)$, i.e., for $\lambda > 0$

$$\lambda |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m f(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq c \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.19)$$

where $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set A .

Notice that if $m \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $k > n/2$ with

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k} |x|^{|\alpha|} |\partial_x^\alpha m(x)| = B_\alpha < \infty \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq k, \quad (2.20)$$

then (2.18) holds. Condition (2.20) is due to Mihlin, the weaker version in (2.18) is due to Hörmander.

Combining a duality argument and the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem it suffices to establish (2.19) to obtain Theorem 2.8. This will be done in Appendix A.

2.5 Exercises

2.1. Prove the continuity part of Theorem 2.1 (Riesz–Thorin) in the cases $p_0 = p_1$ and $q_0 = q_1$.

2.2. Prove Proposition 2.1.

2.3. Prove Proposition 2.2.

2.4. Prove Proposition 2.3.

2.5. Prove that the Fourier transform defines a continuous operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ only if $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ with $p' \geq p$.

Hint: Use (1.5) to obtain the dual relation between p and p' . To see that $p' \geq p$ consider the case $n = 1$ and the function $e^{ix^2} \chi_{[2^k, 2^{k+1})}(x)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

2.6.

(i) Prove the Lebesgue differentiation theorem: If $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) dy = f(x). \quad (2.21)$$

Hint: Without loss of generality take $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define $O(f, x)$ the oscillation of f at x as

$$O(f, x) = \left| \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) dy - \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) dy \right|.$$

Prove that (2.21) is equivalent to $O(f, x) = 0$. Use that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(0)|} \chi_{B_r(0)} * f = f \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

therefore there exists a sequence $\{r_j\}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B_{r_j}(0)|} \chi_{B_{r_j}(0)} * f(x) = f(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Combine (2.7), the inequality $O(f, x) \leq 2\mathcal{M}f(x)$, and a density argument to obtain the result.

- (ii) Let $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Q_j be a sequence of closed cubes in \mathbb{R}^n such that $Q_1 \supseteq Q_2 \supseteq \dots$, $|Q_1| < \infty$ and $|Q_j| = 2^n |Q_{j+1}|$. If $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j$ prove that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} f(y) dy = f(x). \quad (2.22)$$

Hint: Define

$$\mathcal{M}^* f(x) = \sup_{\substack{Q \text{ cube} \\ x \in Q}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy. \quad (2.23)$$

Show that there exist $c_n, d_n > 0$ such that

$$d_n \mathcal{M}f(x) \leq \mathcal{M}^* f(x) \leq c_n \mathcal{M}f(x),$$

and reapply the argument in (i).

2.7. Assuming to be true the case $n = 1$ of the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality (2.10) prove the general case $n \geq 2$.

Hint: Combine the Hölder, Young, and Minkowski inequalities with the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1}}{|x - y|^n} = \frac{c_n}{|x_n - y_n|}.$$

2.8. Prove that the Hilbert transform (see Definition 1.7) is of type (p, p) for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Hint: (a) The identity (1.18) provides the result for the case $p = 2$. Use the formula deduced in Exercise 1.15 part (i) with $f = g$ to prove the result in the case $p = 4$. Apply the Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem to extend the result to $2 < p < 4$. Reapply this argument to obtain the proof for $p > 2$. Finally, use duality to complete the proof.

(b) Otherwise use Theorem 2.8.

Observe that this result fails in the extremal points $p = 1$ or ∞ . See part (ii) of Exercise 1.15.

2.9. Prove that the Riesz potential of order α , I_α , $\alpha \in (0, n)$ defines a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ only if $1 < p < q < \infty$, with $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. Hint: Prove the formula $\delta_{a^{-1}} I_\alpha \delta_a = a^{-\alpha} I_\alpha$, where $\delta_a f(x) = f(ax)$. Show that the value of the norms of $\delta_a f(x)$ and $\delta_{a^{-1}} I_\alpha \delta_a f$ give the relation $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. To see that the inequality does not hold for the extremal cases $p = 1$ and $q = n/(n - \alpha)$ use an approximation of the identity instead of f (case $p = 1$). For the case $q = n/\alpha$ use duality.

2.10. Prove that the multipliers

$$m_j(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{the } j\text{-Riesz transform})$$

and

$$m_y(\xi) = |\xi|^{iy}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

are L^p -multipliers with $1 < p < \infty$.

Hint: Use condition (2.20).

2.11. Let $s > 0$. Prove that for any $\rho \in (0, s)$ and any $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$\|D^\rho f\|_p \leq c \|f\|_p^{1-\rho/s} \|D^s f\|_p^{\rho/s} \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.24)$$

Prove that the estimate (2.24) still holds with $\Lambda \equiv (1 - \Delta)^{1/2}$ instead of D , and that in both cases the proof for $p = 2$ is immediate.

Hint: For a fixed $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define

$$F_n(z) = \|e^{(z^2-1)/n} D^{sz} f\|_p$$

for $z = x + iy$ with $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Prove that $F_n(\cdot)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 using Theorem 2.8 (see Exercise 2.10). Let n tend to infinity to get the result.

2.12. For the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u, \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), \end{cases}$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$, prove that the solution $u(x, t) = e^{t\Delta} f(x)$ satisfies the following inequalities:

(i)

$$\|D_x^s u(\cdot, t)\|_p \leq c_s t^{-(\frac{n}{2r} + \frac{s}{2})} \|f\|_q, \quad (2.25)$$

for $s \geq 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}.$$

(ii)

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \|D_x^\rho u(\cdot, t)\|_p^\sigma dt \right)^{1/\sigma} \leq c \|f\|_q \quad (2.26)$$

with $\rho \in [0, 2)$ and

$$0 < \frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{\rho}{2} \leq \frac{1}{q}, \quad (\text{see [G1]}).$$

Hint: For (i) Use Example 1.3 to deduce that

$$u(x, t) = K_t * f(x) = \frac{e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} * f(x).$$

Prove the identity $\|D_x^s K_t\|_\infty = c_s t^{-(n/2+s/2)}$ for $s > 0$ and combine it with Young's inequality to obtain (2.25).

For (ii) define $(\Omega f)(t) = \|D_x^\rho e^{t\Delta} f\|_p$. Then by (2.25) $(\Omega f)(t) \leq c t^{-1/\sigma} \|f\|_q$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, the sublinear operator Ω is bounded from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{\sigma*}((0, \infty))$, (i.e., L^σ -weak). Use Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem to get (2.26).

2.13. For the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w - \Delta w = 0, \\ w(x, 0) = f(x), \\ \partial_t w(x, 0) = g(x), \end{cases}$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, prove that

(i) If $n = 1$, then

$$w(x, t) = \frac{f(x+t) + f(x-t)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(s) ds.$$

Hint: Use the formula deduced in Exercise 1.18 (i) or the change of variables $\zeta = x+t$, $\eta = x-t$.

(ii) If $n = 3$, $f = 0$ and g is a radial function ($g(\|x\|)$), then

$$w(x, t) = w(\|x\|, t) = \frac{1}{2\|x\|} \int_{\|x\|-t}^{\|x\|+t} \rho g(\rho) d\rho.$$

Hint: Deduce the formula for the Laplacian of radial functions, use the change of variables

$$v(\rho, t) = \rho w(\rho, t) = \|x\| w(\|x\|, t)$$

and part (i) of this exercise.

(iii) Under the same hypotheses of part (ii) use the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function to show that

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \|g\|_2. \quad (2.27)$$

In [KIM] it was established that (2.27) does not hold for nonradial functions g .

2.14. Let m_1, m_2 be two L^p -multiplier. Prove

- (i) $T_{m_1} \circ T_{m_2} = T_{m_1 \cdot m_2}$.
- (ii) $(T_{m_1})^* = T_{\bar{m}_1}$.

2.15. (i) Prove that if $n = 3$, then for any $t \neq 0$

$$m_t(\xi) = \cos(2\pi|\xi|t), \quad T_{m_t} f(x) = (m_t(\cdot) \widehat{f}(\cdot))^\vee(x) \quad (2.28)$$

is not an L^p -multiplier for $p \neq 2$.

- (ii) Prove that if $n = 3$ then (see (3.36))

$$\|T_{m_t} f\|_{\infty} \leq ct^{-1} \|\nabla f\|_{1,2}, \quad \text{for any } t \neq 0.$$

- (iii) Prove that if $n = 1$ then $m_t(\xi) = \cos(2\pi|\xi|t)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an L^p -multiplier for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(Part (i) holds in any dimension $n \geq 2$. See [Lp]).

Hint: Notice that $T_{m_t} f(x) = (m_t(\cdot) \widehat{f}(\cdot))^\vee(x)$ is the solution $u(x, t)$ of the IVP

$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0, \quad u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad \partial_t u(x, 0) = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

So the formula (1.49) in Exercise 1.18 (iv) applies. Take $f(x) = h(|x|)/|x| = h(r)/r$, with $h(\cdot)$ supported in the annulus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 2\varepsilon\}$. Check that $u(x, t) = (h(r+t) + h(r-t))/2r$, and prove the desired result.

Chapter 3

An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces and Pseudo-differential Operators

In this chapter we will give a brief introduction to the classical Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Sobolev spaces measure the differentiability of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and they are a fundamental tool in the study of partial differential equations. We also will list some basic facts of the theory of pseudo-differential operators without proof. This will be useful to study smoothness properties of solutions of dispersive equations.

3.1 Basics

We begin by defining Sobolev spaces.

Definition 3.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We define *Sobolev space* of order s , denoted by $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \Lambda^s f(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

with norm $\|\cdot\|_{s,2}$ defined as

$$\|f\|_{s,2} = \|\Lambda^s f\|_2. \quad (3.2)$$

Example 3.1. Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = \chi_{[-1,1]}(x)$. From Example 1.1 we have that $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \sin(2\pi\xi)/(\pi\xi)$. Thus $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ if $s < 1/2$.

Example 3.2. Let $n = 1$ and $g(x) = \chi_{[-1,1]} * \chi_{[-1,1]}(x)$. In Example 1.2 we saw that

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = \frac{\sin^2(2\pi\xi)}{(\pi\xi)^2}.$$

Thus $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $s < 3/2$.

Example 3.3. Let $n \geq 1$ and $h(x) = e^{-2\pi|x|}$. From Example 1.4 it follows that

$$\widehat{h}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma[(n+1)/2]}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Using polar coordinates it is easy to see that $h \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $s < n/2 + 1$. Notice that in this case s depends on the dimension.

Example 3.4. Let $n \geq 1$ and $f(x) = \delta_0(x)$. From Example 1.9 we have $\widehat{\delta}_0(\xi) = 1$. Thus $\delta_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $s < -n/2$.

From the definition of Sobolev spaces we deduce the following properties.

Proposition 3.1.

1. If $0 \leq s < s'$, then $H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
2. $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ defined as follows:

$$\text{If } f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ then } \langle f, g \rangle_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Lambda^s f(\xi) \overline{\Lambda^s g(\xi)} d\xi.$$

We can see, via the Fourier transform, that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equal to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi)$.

3. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
4. If $s_1 \leq s \leq s_2$, with $s = \theta s_1 + (1-\theta)s_2$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, then

$$\|f\|_{s,2} \leq \|f\|_{s_1,2}^\theta \|f\|_{s_2,2}^{1-\theta}.$$

Proof. It is left as an exercise. □

To understand the relationship between the spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the differentiability of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we recall Definition 1.2 in the case $p = 2$.

Definition 3.2. A function f is *differentiable* in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the k th variable if there exists $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{f(x + h e_k) - f(x)}{h} - g(x) \right|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } h \rightarrow 0,$$

where e_k has k th coordinate equal to 1 and zero in the others.

Equivalently (see Exercise 1.9) $\xi_k \widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \partial_{x_k} \phi(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \phi(x) dx$$

for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ being the space of functions infinitely differentiable with compact support).

Example 3.5. Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = \chi_{(-1,1)}(x)$, then $f' = \delta_{-1} - \delta_1$, where δ_x represents the measure of mass 1 concentrated in x , therefore $f' \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 3.6. Let $n = 1$ and g be as in Example 3.2. Then

$$\frac{dg}{dx}(x) = \chi_{(-2,0)} - \chi_{(0,2)}, \text{ and so } \frac{dg}{dx} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

With this definition we can give a description of $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ without using the Fourier transform whenever $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Theorem 3.1. *If k is a positive integer, then $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the space of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose derivatives (in the distribution sense) $\partial_x^\alpha f$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq k$.*

In this case the norms $\|f\|_{k,2}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_2$ are equivalent.

Proof. The proof follows by combining the formula $\widehat{\partial_x^\alpha f}(\xi) = (2\pi i \xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)$ (see (1.10)) and the inequalities

$$|\xi^\alpha| \leq |\xi|^k \leq (1 + |\xi|^2)^{k/2} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\xi^\alpha|.$$

□

The next result allows us to relate “weak derivatives” with derivatives in the classical sense.

Theorem 3.2 (Embedding). *If $s > n/2 + k$, then $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously embedded in $C_\infty^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of functions with k continuous derivatives vanishing at infinity. In other words, if $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > n/2 + k$, then (after a possible modification of f in a set of measure zero) $f \in C_\infty^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\|f\|_{C^k} \leq c_s \|f\|_{s,2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Case $k = 0$: we first show that if $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_1 \leq c_s \|f\|_{s,2} \text{ if } s > n/2. \quad (3.5)$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}} \\ &\leq \| \Lambda^s f \|_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^s} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_s \|f\|_{s,2} \end{aligned}$$

if $s > n/2$. Combining (3.5), Proposition 1.2, and Theorem 1.1 we conclude that

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|(\widehat{f})^\vee\|_\infty \leq \|\widehat{f}\|_1 \leq c_s \|f\|_{s,2}.$$

Case $k \geq 1$: Using the same argument we have that if $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s > n/2 + k$, then for $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$, $|\alpha| \leq k$, it follows that $\partial_x^\alpha \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_\infty \leq \|\widehat{\partial_x^\alpha f}\|_1 = \|(2\pi i \xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}\|_1 \leq c_s \|f\|_{s,2}.$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *If $s = n/2 + k + \theta$, with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously embedded in $C^{k+\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of C^k functions with partial derivatives of order k Hölder continuous with index θ .*

Proof. We only prove the case $k = 0$ since the proof of the general case follows the same argument. From the formula of inversion of the Fourier transform and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+y) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i(x \cdot \xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) (e^{2\pi i(y \cdot \xi)} - 1) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{n/2+\theta} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|e^{2\pi i(y \cdot \xi)} - 1|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n/2+\theta}} d\xi \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|e^{2\pi i(y \cdot \xi)} - 1|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n/2+\theta}} d\xi \\ &\leq c \int_{|\xi| \leq |y|^{-1}} |y|^2 |\xi|^2 \frac{d\xi}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n/2+\theta}} + 4 \int_{|\xi| \geq |y|^{-1}} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n/2+\theta}} \\ &\leq c |y|^2 \int_0^{|y|^{-1}} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r)^{n+2\theta}} dr + 4 \int_{|y|^{-1}}^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r)^{n+2\theta}} dr \leq c |y|^{2\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|y| < 1$ we conclude that $|f(x+y) - f(x)| \leq c |y|^\theta$. This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 3.3. *If $s \in (0, n/2)$, then $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously embedded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p = 2n/(n - 2s)$, i.e., $s = n(1/2 - 1/p)$. Moreover, for $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \in (0, n/2)$,*

$$\|f\|_p \leq c_{n,s} \|D^s f\|_2 \leq c \|f\|_{s,2}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$D^l f = (-\Delta)^{l/2} f = ((2\pi|\xi|)^l \widehat{f})^\vee.$$

Proof. The last inequality in (3.6) is immediate so we just need to show the first one. We define

$$D^s f = g \quad \text{or} \quad f = D^{-s} g = c_{n,s} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^s} \widehat{g} \right)^\vee = \frac{c_{n,s}}{|x|^{n-s}} * g, \quad (3.7)$$

where we have used the result of Exercise 1.14. Thus by the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev estimate (2.10) it follows that

$$\|f\|_p = \|D^{-s} g\|_p = \left\| \frac{c_{n,s}}{|x|^{n-s}} * g \right\|_p \leq c_{n,s} \|g\|_2 = c \|D^s f\|_2. \quad (3.8)$$

□

We notice from Theorem 3.3 that local regularity in H^s , $s > 0$, increases with the parameter s .

Examples 3.1 and 3.3 show that the functions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s < n/2$ or $s < n/2 + 1$ respectively are not necessarily continuous nor C^1 . Moreover, let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^n \log(2 + |\xi|)}$$

(which is radial, decreasing and positive). A simple computation shows that $f \in H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but $\widehat{f} \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and so $f \notin L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $f(0) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \infty$.

We have shown that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s > n/2$ is a Hilbert space whose elements are continuous functions. From the point of view of nonlinear analysis the next property is essential.

Theorem 3.4. *If $s > n/2$, then $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an algebra with respect to the product of functions. That is, if $f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $fg \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\|fg\|_{s,2} \leq c_s \|f\|_{s,2} \|g\|_{s,2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. From the triangle inequality we have that for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \leq 2^s [(1 + |\xi - \eta|^2)^{s/2} + (1 + |\eta|^2)^{s/2}].$$

Using this we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda^s(fg)| &= |(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{(fg)}(\xi)| \\
&= (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{g}(\eta) d\eta \right| \\
&\leq 2^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(1 + |\xi - \eta|^2)^{s/2} |\widehat{f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{g}(\eta)| \\
&\quad + (1 + |\eta|^2)^{s/2} |\widehat{f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{g}(\eta)|] d\eta \\
&\leq 2^s (|\Lambda^s f| * |\widehat{g}| + |\widehat{f}| * |\Lambda^s g|).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking the L^2 -norm and using (1.39) it follows that

$$\|fg\|_{s,2} = \|\Lambda^s(fg)\|_2 \leq c(\|\Lambda^s f\|_2 \|\widehat{g}\|_1 + \|\widehat{f}\|_1 \|\Lambda^s g\|_2). \quad (3.10)$$

Finally, (3.5) assures one that if $r > n/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|fg\|_{s,2} &\leq c_s (\|f\|_{s,2} \|\widehat{g}\|_1 + \|\widehat{f}\|_1 \|g\|_{s,2}) \\
&\leq c_s (\|f\|_{s,2} \|g\|_{r,2} + \|f\|_{r,2} \|g\|_{s,2}).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Choosing $r = s$ we obtain (3.9). \square

The inequality (3.11) is not sharp as the following scaling argument shows. Let $\lambda > 0$ and

$$f(x) = f_1(\lambda x), \quad g(x) = g_1(\lambda x), \quad f_1, g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then as $\lambda \uparrow \infty$ the right hand side of (3.11) grows as λ^{s+r} , meanwhile the left hand side grows as λ^s . This will not be the case if we replace $\|\cdot\|_{r,2}$ in (3.11) with the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm to get that

$$\|fg\|_{s,2} \leq c_s (\|f\|_{s,2} \|g\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_{s,2}) \quad (3.12)$$

(which in particular shows that for any $s > 0$, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an algebra under the pointwise product; see [K12] for the case where s is a positive integer).

When $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the inequality (3.12) follows by combining the Leibniz rule for the product of functions and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_p \leq c \sum_{|\beta|=m} \|\partial_x^\beta f\|_q^\theta \|f\|_r^{1-\theta} \quad (3.13)$$

with $|\alpha| = j$, $c = c(j, m, p, q, r)$, $1/p - j/n = \theta(1/q - m/n) + (1 - \theta)1/r$, $\theta \in [j/m, 1]$. For the proof of this inequality we refer the reader to the reference [Fm].

For the general case $s > 0$ where the usual pointwise Leibniz rule is not available, the inequality (3.12) still holds (see [KPo]).

In many applications the following commutator estimates are often used

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\alpha|=s} \|[\partial_x^\alpha; g]f\|_2 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \|\partial_x^\alpha(gf) - g\partial_x^\alpha f\|_2 \\
&\leq c_{n,s} (\|\nabla g\|_\infty \sum_{|\beta|=s-1} \|\partial_x^\beta f\|_2 + \|f\|_\infty \sum_{|\beta|=s} \|\partial_x^\beta g\|_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

(see [KPo]). Similarly, for $s \geq 1$ one has

$$\|[\Lambda^s; g]f\|_2 \leq c (\|\nabla g\|_\infty \|\Lambda^{s-1}f\|_2 + \|f\|_\infty \|\Lambda^s g\|_2), \tag{3.15}$$

(see [KPo]).

Finally, to complete our study of Sobolev spaces we introduce the localized Sobolev spaces.

Definition 3.3. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We say that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $H_{\text{loc}}^s(\Omega)$, $s \geq 0$, if for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\psi f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In other words, if $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, then $f|_{\Omega'}$ coincides with some element of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Ω' .

This means that f has the sufficient regularity to be in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Example 3.7. Let $n = 1$ and $f(x) = x$, then $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^s(\Omega)$ for every $s \geq 0$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

3.2 Pseudodifferential Operators

We recall some results from the theory of pseudodifferential operators that we need to describe the local smoothing effect for linear elliptic systems.

The class $S^m = S_{1,0}^m$ of classical symbols of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$S^m = \{p(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) : |p|_{S^m}^{(j)} < \infty, j \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$|p|_{S^m}^{(j)} = \sup \{ \|\langle \xi \rangle^{-m+|\alpha|} \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} : |\alpha + \beta| \leq j \} \tag{3.17}$$

and $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$.

The pseudodifferential operators Ψ_p associated to the symbol $p \in S^m$ is defined by

$$\Psi_p f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.18}$$

Example 3.8. A partial differential operator

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha,$$

with $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a pseudodifferential operator $P = \Psi_p$ with symbol

$$p(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_\alpha(x) (2\pi i \xi)^\alpha \in S^N.$$

Example 3.9. The fractional differentiation operator defined in (3.1) as $\Lambda^\rho = \Psi_{\langle \xi \rangle}^\rho$ is also a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in S^ρ , $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

The collection of symbol classes S^m , $m \in \mathbb{R}$, is in some cases closed under composition, adjointness, division, and square root operations. This is not the case for polynomials in ξ , and sometimes this closure allows one to construct approximate inverses and square roots of pseudodifferential operators.

Next we list some properties of pseudodifferential operators whose proofs can be found for instance in [Km].

Theorem 3.5 (Sobolev boundedness). *Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in S^m$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Ψ_p extends to a bounded linear operator from $H^{m+s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there exist $j = j(n; m; s) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c = c(n; m; s)$ such that*

$$\|\Psi_p f\|_{H^s} \leq c |p|_{S^m}^{(j)} \|f\|_{H^{m+s}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Theorem 3.6 (Symbolic calculus). *Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_1 \in S^{m_1}$, $p_2 \in S^{m_2}$. Then there exist $p_3 \in S^{m_1+m_2-1}$, $p_4 \in S^{m_1+m_2-2}$, and $p_5 \in S^{m_1-1}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{p_1} \Psi_{p_2} &= \Psi_{p_1 p_2} + \Psi_{p_3}, \\ \Psi_{p_1} \Psi_{p_2} - \Psi_{p_2} \Psi_{p_1} &= \Psi_{-i\{p_1, p_2\}} + \Psi_{p_4}, \\ (\Psi_{p_1})^* &= \Psi_{\bar{p}_1} + \Psi_{p_5}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $\{p_1, p_2\}$ denotes the Poisson bracket, i.e.,

$$\{p_1, p_2\} = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} p_1 \partial_{x_j} p_2 - \partial_{x_j} p_1 \partial_{\xi_j} p_2), \quad (3.21)$$

and such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $j' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_1 = c_1(n; m_1; m_2; j)$, $c_2 = c_2(n; m_1; j)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p_3|_{S^{m_1+m_2-1}}^{(j)} + |p_4|_{S^{m_1+m_2-2}}^{(j)} &\leq c_1 |p_1|_{S^{m_1}}^{(j')} |p_2|_{S^{m_2}}^{(j')} \\ |p_5|_{S^{m_1-1}}^{(j')} &\leq c_2 |p_1|_{S^{m_1}}^{(j')}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1.

- (i) (3.20) tell us that the “principal symbol” of the commutator $[\Psi_{p_1}, \Psi_{p_2}]$ is given by the formula in (3.21).
- (ii) It will be useful for our purpose to consider the class of symbols $S^{m, N} = S_{1,0}^{m, N}$ defined as $p(x, \xi) \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$|p|_{S^m}^{(N)} < \infty, \quad \text{with } |p|_{S^m}^{(N)} \text{ defined in (3.17)}. \quad (3.22)$$

For N sufficiently large the results in Theorem 3.5 extend to the class $S^{m,N}$.

3.3 The Bicharacteristic Flow

In this section we introduce the notion of bicharacteristic flow. This plays a key role in the study of linear variable coefficients Schrödinger equations and in the well-posedness of the IVP associated to the quasilinear case as we will see in the next and the last chapters.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \partial_{x_j} a_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_k}$ be an elliptic self adjoint operator, that is, $(a_{jk}(x))_{jk}$ is a $n \times n$ matrix of functions $a_{jk} \in C_b^\infty$, real, symmetric, and positive definite, i.e.,

$\exists \nu > 0$ such that $\forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\nu^{-1} \|\xi\|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k \leq \nu \|\xi\|^2. \quad (3.23)$$

Let h_2 be the principal symbol of \mathcal{L} , i.e.,

$$h_2(x, \xi) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k. \quad (3.24)$$

The bicharacteristic flow is the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field

$$H_{h_2} = \sum_{j=1}^n [\partial_{\xi_j} h_2 \cdot \partial_{x_j} - \partial_{x_j} h_2 \cdot \partial_{\xi_j}] \quad (3.25)$$

and is denoted by $(X(s; x_0, \xi_0), \Xi(s; x_0, \xi_0))$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds} X_j(s; x_0, \xi_0) = -2 \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}(X(s; x_0, \xi_0)) \Xi_k(s; x_0, \xi_0), \\ \frac{d}{ds} \Xi_j(s; x_0, \xi_0) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n \partial_{x_j} a_{lk}(X(s; x_0, \xi_0)) \Xi_k(s; x_0, \xi_0) \Xi_l(s; x_0, \xi_0) \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$, with

$$(X(0; x_0, \xi_0), \Xi(0; x_0, \xi_0)) = (x_0, \xi_0). \quad (3.27)$$

The bicharacteristic flow exists in the time interval $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$ with $\delta = \delta(x_0, \xi_0)$, and $\delta(\cdot)$ depending continuously on (x_0, ξ_0) .

The bicharacteristic flow preserves h_2 , that is,

$$\frac{d}{ds} h_2(X(s; x_0, \xi_0), \Xi(s; x_0, \xi_0)) = 0,$$

so the ellipticity hypothesis (3.23) gives

$$v^{-2} \|\xi_0\|^2 \leq \|\Xi(s; x_0, \xi_0)\|^2 \leq v^2 \|\xi_0\|^2, \quad (3.28)$$

and hence $\delta = \infty$.

In the case of constant coefficients, $h_2(x, \xi) = -|\xi|^2$, the bicharacteristic flow is given by $(X, \Xi)(\xi, x_0, \xi_0) = (x_0 - 2s\xi_0, \xi_0)$.

For general symbol $h(x, \xi)$ the bicharacteristic flow is defined as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{ds} = \partial_\xi h(X, \Xi) \\ \frac{d\Xi}{ds} = -\partial_x h(X, \Xi). \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

In applications the notion of the bicharacteristic flow

$$t \mapsto (X(t; x_0, \xi_0), \Xi(t; x_0, \xi_0)) \quad (3.30)$$

being nontrapping arises naturally.

Definition 3.4. A point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is nontrapped forward (respectively, backward) by the bicharacteristic flow if

$$\|X(t; x_0, \xi_0)\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{resp. } t \rightarrow -\infty). \quad (3.31)$$

If each point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is nontrapped forward then the bicharacteristic flow is said to nontrapping.

In particular, if one assumes that the “metric” $(a_{jk}(x))$ in (3.24) possesses an “asymptotic flat property,” for example,

$$|\partial_x^\alpha (a_{jk}(x) - \delta_{jk})| \leq \frac{C_\alpha}{|x|^{1+\varepsilon(\alpha)}}, \quad \varepsilon(\alpha) > 0, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m = m(n), \quad (3.32)$$

then it suffices to have that for each $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and for each $\mu > 0$ there exists $\hat{t} = \hat{t}(\mu; x_0, \xi_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|X(\hat{t}; x_0, \xi_0)\| \geq \mu$$

to guarantee that the bicharacteristic flow is nontrapping.

The next result shows that the Hamiltonian vector field is differentiation along the bicharacteristics.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$(H_{h_2} \phi)(x, \xi) = \partial_s [\phi(X(s; x, \xi), \Xi(s; x, \xi))]_{s=0} = \{h_2, \phi\}. \quad (3.33)$$

Notice that $-i\{h_2, \phi\}$ is the principal symbol of the commutator $[\psi_{h_2}, \psi_\phi]$ (see (3.20)).

Proof. By the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s[\phi(X(s; x, \xi), \Xi(s; x, \xi))] &= (\nabla_x \phi)(X(s; x, \xi), \Xi(s; x, \xi)) \cdot \partial_s X(s; x, \xi) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_\xi \phi)(X(s; x, \xi), \Xi(s; x, \xi)) \cdot \partial_s \Xi(s; x, \xi) \\ &= (\nabla_x \phi \cdot \nabla_\xi h_2)(X(s; x, \xi), \Xi(s; x, \xi)) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_\xi \phi \cdot \nabla_x h_2)(X(s; x, \xi), \Xi(s; x, \xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $s = 0$, the lemma follows. \square

3.4 Exercises

3.1. Prove that $h_k(x) = \chi_{(-1,1)} \overset{k \text{ times}}{*} \chi_{(-1,1)}(x) \in C_0^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

3.2. Prove Proposition 3.1.

3.3. Let $f_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f_n(x) = e^{-2\pi|x|}$.

- (i) Prove that $f_1 * f_1(x) = \frac{e^{-2\pi|x|}}{2\pi} (1 + 2\pi|x|)$.
Hint: Use an explicit computation or Exercise 1.1 (ii).
- (ii) Show that $f_1 * f_1(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$.
- (iii) How regular is $f_n * f_n$ for general $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

3.4. Let $\phi(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (i) Prove that

$$\phi(x) - \phi''(x) = 2\delta, \quad (3.34)$$

- (a) in the distribution sense, i.e., $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int \phi(x)(\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x)) dx = 2\varphi(0);$$

- (b) by taking the Fourier transform in (3.34).

- (ii) Prove that given $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (or $H^s(\mathbb{R})$) the equation

$$\left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)f = g$$

has solution $f = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|\cdot|} * g \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ (or $H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R})$).

3.5. Show that if $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, then

$$F_{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a Banach algebra with respect to pointwise product of functions. Moreover, if $f, g \in F_{k,p}$, then

$$\|fg\|_{k,p} \leq c_k(\|f\|_{k,p}\|g\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty\|g\|_{k,p}). \quad (3.35)$$

Notation:

$$L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \partial^\alpha f \text{ (distribution sense)} \in L^p, |\alpha| \leq k\}$$

whose norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{k,p} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_p.$$

Observe that when $p = 2$ the equality $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds.

More generally, we define

$$L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = (1 - \Delta)^{-s/2} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ with } \|f\|_{s,p} = \|(1 - \Delta)^{s/2} f\|_p. \quad (3.36)$$

Hint: Combining Leibniz formula with Hölder's inequality we have (assume $n = 1$ to simplify)

$$\|(fg)^{(k)}\|_p \leq \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \|f^{(k-j)}\|_{p_{j_1}} \|g^{(j)}\|_{p_{j_2}}, \quad \text{with } \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_{j_1}} + \frac{1}{p_{j_2}}.$$

Combining the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (3.13)

$$\|h^{(k-j)}\|_{p_j} \leq c \|h^{(k)}\|_p^\theta \|h\|_\infty^{1-\theta}, \quad \theta = \theta(n, k, j, p_j),$$

with Young's inequality (if $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ with $p > 1$, then $ab \leq a^p/p + b^{p'}/p'$) will complete the proof.

3.6. Extend the result of Theorem 3.3 to the spaces $L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., if $f \in L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < s < n/p$, then $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s = n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)$, and

$$\|f\|_r \leq c_{n,s} \|D^s f\|_p \leq c_{n,s} \|f\|_{s,p}. \quad (3.37)$$

3.7. Show that if $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $s > n/2$, then

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq c[1 + \log(1 + \|f\|_{s,2})] \|f\|_{n/2,2}$$

with $c = c(s, n)$, see [B-Ga].

3.8. Prove the following particular cases of Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

- (i) $j = 1, m = 2, p = q = r$ an even integer.

Hint: Use integration by parts.

- (ii) $(n, j, m, p, q, \theta) = (3, 0, 1, 6, 2, 1)$.

Hint: For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ use that

$$f^4(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial l} f^4(l, y, z) dl.$$

3.9. (Sobolev's inequality for radial functions) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 3$, be a radial function, i.e., $f(x) = f(y)$ if $|x| = |y|$. Show that f satisfies

$$|f(x)| \leq c_n |x|^{(2-n)/2} \|\nabla f\|_2.$$

3.10. (Hardy's inequalities (see Exercise 1.5))

- (i) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $f \in L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\left\| \frac{|f(\cdot)|}{|x|} \right\|_p \leq \frac{p}{n-p} \|\nabla f\|_p. \quad (3.38)$$

- (ii) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $q < n$, and $q \in [0, p]$. If $f \in L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|^p}{|x|^q} dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{n-q} \right)^q \|f\|_p^{p-q} \|\nabla f\|_p^q. \quad (3.39)$$

Hint: Assume that $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For (i), write $\|\cdot\|_p^p$ in spherical coordinates, use integration by parts in the radial variable and Hölder inequality to get the result. For (ii), assume $p > q$, and apply (3.38) to $|\cdot|^{-1} g(x)$ with $g(x) = |f(x)|^{p/q}$.

3.11. Prove Heisenberg's inequality. If $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx)$. Then

$$\|f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} \|x_j f\|_2 \|\partial_{x_j} f\|_2. \quad (3.40)$$

Hint: Use the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and integration by parts to obtain the identity

$$\|f\|_2^2 = -\frac{1}{n} \int x_j \partial_{x_j} (|f(x)|^2) dx.$$

3.12. Denote $u = u(x, t)$ the solution of the Cauchy problem associated to the Burgers' equation without viscosity

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \end{cases} \quad (3.41)$$

$t, x \in \mathbb{R}$, Prove that for every $T > 0$

$$u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T]) \quad \text{or} \quad u \notin C^1(\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T]).$$

Hint: Use the commutator estimate (3.15) and integration by parts to obtain the next energy estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{k,2} \leq c_k \|\partial_x u(t)\|_\infty \|u(t)\|_{k,2} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (3.42)$$

3.13. Let $P(x, \partial_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_1} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha$ and $Q(x, \partial_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_2} b_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha$ be two differential operators. Check the properties stated in Theorem 3.6 for P and Q .

3.14. Show that if $p = p(x, \xi) \in S^0 = S_{1,0}^0$, then $e^{p(x, \xi)} \in S^0 = S_{1,0}^0$.

3.15. Prove that the bicharacteristic flow in (3.26) $(X(s; x_0, \xi_0), \Xi_k(s; x_0, \xi_0))$ satisfies

- (i) $X(s; x_0, \rho \xi_0) = X(\rho s; x_0, \xi_0)$,
- (ii) $\Xi_k(s; x_0, \rho \xi_0) = \rho \Xi_k(\rho s; x_0, \xi_0)$.

Hint: Use the homogeneity of $h_2(x, \xi) = -a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$.

Chapter 4

The Linear Schrödinger Equation

In this chapter we will study the smoothing properties of solutions of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u + F(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. These smoothing properties will be fundamental tools in the next chapters. In Section 4.1 we present general basic results related to the initial value problem (4.3). The global smoothing properties of solutions of (4.3) that are described by estimates of the type $L^q(\mathbb{R} : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ will be discussed in Section 4.2. In Section 4.3, we study the local smoothing arising from estimates of type $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} : H^{1/2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. We end the chapter with some remarks and comments regarding the issues discussed in the previous sections.

4.1 Basic Results

We begin by recalling the notation (see (1.27))

$$e^{it\Delta} u_0 = \frac{e^{-|x|^2/4it}}{(4\pi it)^{n/2}} * u_0 = (e^{-4\pi^2 it |\xi|^2} \widehat{u_0})^\vee, \quad (4.2)$$

where the constant C_n was defined in (1.17). The identity (4.2) describes the solution $u(x, t)$ of the linear homogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the following examples we will illustrate some of the properties possessed by solutions of IVP (4.3).

Example 4.1. Consider the Gaussian function $u_0(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2}$. Using Examples 1.3, 1.11, and Exercise 1.2 we find that the solution of the IVP (4.3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, t) &= \left(e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_0(\xi) \right)^\vee \\
 &= \left(e^{-(1+4\pi i t)\pi |\xi|^2} \right)^\vee \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1+4\pi i t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\pi|x|^2}{1+4\pi i t}\right) \\
 &= (1+4\pi i t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi|x|^2}{1+16\pi^2 t^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{4\pi^2 i t |x|^2}{1+16\pi^2 t^2}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Notice that when $t \gg 1$ and $|x| < t$ the solution is bounded below by $c t^{-n/2}$ and oscillates for $|x| > t^{1/2}$, but if $|x| > t$ the solution decays exponentially. Moreover,

$$C t^{-n/2} \chi_{\{|x| < t\}}(x) \leq |u(x, t)| \leq c t^{-n/2}, \tag{4.5}$$

which is the expected behavior of the solution in order to have its $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm independent of t .

Example 4.2. We can write the solution of the IVP (4.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, t) &= \left(e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_0 \right)^\vee(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^2/4t}}{(4\pi i t)^{n/2}} u_0(y) dy \\
 &= \frac{e^{i|x|^2/4t}}{(4\pi i t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2ix \cdot y/4t} e^{i|y|^2/4t} u_0(y) dy \\
 &= \frac{e^{i|x|^2/4t}}{(2\pi i t)^{n/2}} \left(e^{i|\cdot|^2/4t} u_0 \right) \left(\frac{x}{4\pi t} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Thus, if $c_t = (4\pi i t)^{n/2}$,

$$c_t e^{-i|x|^2/4t} u(x, t) = \left(e^{i|\cdot|^2/4t} u_0 \right) \left(\frac{x}{4\pi t} \right). \tag{4.7}$$

Notice that if $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from (4.7) we deduce that for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, $u(\cdot, t) \notin L^1(e^{\varepsilon|x|} dx)$. In particular, if $t \neq 0$, $u(x, t)$ has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C}^n (see Exercise 4.4).

Example 4.3. This example describes the propagation of oscillatory pulses. Now we take $u_0(x) = e^{ix \cdot x_0} e^{-\pi|x|^2}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. From Example 1.3 and (1.4) we have $\widehat{u}_0(\xi) = e^{-\pi|\xi - x_0/2\pi|^2}$. Thus using Example 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) &= (e^{-4\pi^2 it} (|\xi - x_0/2\pi|^2 + 2(\xi - x_0/2\pi) \cdot x_0/2\pi + |x_0|^2/4\pi^2) e^{-\pi|\xi - x_0/2\pi|^2})^\vee \\
&= (\tau_{x_0/2\pi} (e^{-4\pi^2 it} (|\xi|^2 + 2\xi \cdot x_0/2\pi + |x_0|^2/4\pi^2) e^{-\pi|\xi|^2}))^\vee \\
&= (\tau_{x_0/2\pi} (e^{-i2t\xi \cdot x_0} e^{-it|x_0|^2} e^{-(1+4\pi it)\pi|\xi|^2}))^\vee \\
&= e^{ix_0 \cdot x} \tau_{2x_0 t} (e^{-it|x_0|^2} e^{-(1+4\pi it)\pi|\xi|^2})^\vee \\
&= e^{ix_0 \cdot x} e^{-it|x_0|^2} (1 + 4\pi it)^{-1/2} e^{\frac{-\pi|x - 2tx_0|^2}{(1+4\pi it)}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where τ is the translation operator (see (1.4)). In other words, the solution of the IVP (4.3) with data u_0 is given by

$$u(x, t) = e^{ix \cdot x_0} e^{-i|x_0|^2 t} \mathbf{u}(x - 2tx_0, t), \tag{4.9}$$

where \mathbf{u} denotes the solution of the IVP (4.3) given in Example 4.1.

In the next proposition we list several solutions of (4.3) obtained through the invariance of the equation.

Proposition 4.1. *If $u = u(x, t)$ is a solution of (4.3), then*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(x, t) &= e^{i\theta} u(x, t), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ fixed}, \\
u_2(x, t) &= u(x - x_0, t - t_0), \text{ with } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ fixed}, \\
u_3(x, t) &= u(Ax, t), \text{ with } A \text{ any orthogonal matrix } n \times n, \\
u_4(x, t) &= u(x - 2x_0 t, t) e^{i(x \cdot x_0 - |x_0|^2 t)}, \text{ with } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ fixed}, \\
u_5(x, t) &= \lambda^{n/2} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ fixed}, \\
u_6(x, t) &= \frac{1}{(\alpha + \omega t)^{n/2}} \exp \left[\frac{i\omega|x|^2}{4(\alpha + \omega t)} \right] u \left(\frac{\gamma + \theta t}{\alpha + \omega t}, \frac{x}{\alpha + \omega t} \right), \quad \alpha\theta - \omega\gamma = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

also satisfy the equation (4.3).

In (4.2) we have used an exponential formula to describe the solution of the IVP (4.3). To justify this formula we state next some properties of the family of operators $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$.

Proposition 4.2.

1. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^{it\Delta} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an isometry; which implies

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_2 = \|f\|_2.$$

2. $e^{it\Delta} e^{it'\Delta} = e^{i(t+t')\Delta}$ with $(e^{it\Delta})^{-1} = e^{-it\Delta} = (e^{it\Delta})^*$.

3. $e^{i0\Delta} = 1$.

4. Fixing $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $\Phi_f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by $\Phi_f(t) = e^{it\Delta} f$ is a continuous function; i.e., it describes a curve in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise. \square

In general, a family of operators $\{T_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined on a Hilbert space H which satisfies properties (1)–(4) in Proposition 4.2 is called a *unitary group of operators*.

Example 4.4. Define $L_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by the formula $L_t(u_0)(x) = u_0(x+t)$. It is easy to see that $\{L_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a unitary group of operators, which describes the solution $u(x,t) = L_t(u_0)(x)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_x u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

$t, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The next result of M.H. Stone, characterizes the unitary group of operators.

Theorem 4.1 (M.H. Stone). *The family of operators $\{T_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined on the Hilbert space H is a unitary group of operators if and only if there exists a self-adjoint operator A (not necessarily bounded) on H such that*

$$T_t = e^{itA} \quad (4.10)$$

in the following sense: Consider $D(A)$ the domain of the operator A , which is dense in H ; if $f \in D(A)$, then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_t f - f}{t} = iA f. \quad (4.11)$$

In other words, if $f \in D(A)$, then the curve Φ_f defined in Proposition 4.2 (4) is differentiable in $t = 0$ with derivative $iA f$.

For a proof of this theorem we refer the reader to [Yo].

The operator A in Theorem 4.1 is called the *infinitesimal generator* of the unitary group. In (4.2) the operator A is the Laplacian Δ with $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In Example 4.4 we have $A = -i \frac{d}{dx}$ and in this case, formula (4.10) can be interpreted as a generalized Taylor series.

Now we establish the properties of the group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -spaces.

Lemma 4.1. *If $t \neq 0$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $p' \in [1, 2]$, then we have $e^{it\Delta} : L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous and*

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_p \leq c |t|^{-n/2(1/p' - 1/p)} \|f\|_{p'}. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.2 we have that

$$e^{it\Delta} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is an isometry; that is,

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_2 = \|f\|_2.$$

Using Young's inequality (1.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_\infty &= \|C_n \frac{e^{i|\cdot|^2/4t}}{\sqrt{(4\pi it)^n}} * f\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|C_n \frac{e^{i|\cdot|^2/4t}}{\sqrt{(4\pi it)^n}}\|_\infty \|f\|_1 \leq c|t|^{-n/2} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities with the Riesz–Thorin theorem (Theorem 2.1), we obtain

$$e^{it\Delta} : L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1,$$

and

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_p \leq (c|t|^{-n/2})^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{p'} = c|t|^{-n/2(1/p' - 1/p)} \|f\|_{p'},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \theta = 1 - \frac{2}{p} = \frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Thus the lemma follows. \square

This result says that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ decreases fast enough when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ such that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $e^{it\Delta} f$, $t \neq 0$, is bounded and more regular than f . In general, decay on the initial data f is translated into smoothing property of the solution $e^{it\Delta} f$ (see Exercise 4.3).

Note that $e^{it\Delta}$ with $t \neq 0$ is not a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p \neq 2$, i.e., $m(\xi) = e^{-4\pi^2 it |\xi|^2}$ is not an L^p multiplier for $p \neq 2$ (see Definition 2.8). In fact, if it were bounded for $p \neq 2$ it would be bounded also for p' by duality. Then, without loss of generality, we can assume $p > 2$. Using (4.12) we have that for all $f \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|f\|_p = \|e^{it\Delta} e^{-it\Delta} f\|_p \leq c_0 \|e^{-it\Delta} f\|_p \leq c_0 c(t) \|f\|_{p'},$$

which is a contradiction.

Next proposition will help us to understand the regularizing effects present in the group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^\infty$.

Proposition 4.3.

1. Given $t_0 \neq 0$ and $p > 2$, there exists $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $e^{it_0\Delta} f \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
2. Let $s' > s > 0$ and $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f \notin H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^{it\Delta} f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $e^{it\Delta} f \notin H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. To show (1) it is enough to choose $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $g \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and take $f = e^{-it_0\Delta} g$.

The statement (2) follows from the fact that $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^\infty$ is a unitary group in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ since

$$\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{s,2} = \|\Lambda^s(e^{it\Delta}f)\|_2 = \|e^{it\Delta}(\Lambda^s f)\|_2 = \|\Lambda^s f\|_2 = \|f\|_{s,2}.$$

Therefore, if $e^{it\Delta}f \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $f = e^{-it\Delta}(e^{it\Delta}f) \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

4.2 Global Smoothing Effects

The next result describes the *global smoothing* property of the group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.2. *The group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ satisfies:*

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e^{it\Delta}f\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq c \|f\|_2, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'}^{q'} dt \right)^{1/q'}, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} g(\cdot, t) dt \right\|_2 \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'}^{q'} dt \right)^{1/q'}, \quad (4.15)$$

with

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} 2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ 2 \leq p < \infty & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty & \text{if } n = 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}, \quad (4.16)$$

where $c = c(p, n)$ is a constant that depends only on p and n .

From here on we will always use the notation

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1.$$

Proof. Fubini's theorem gives us that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{it\Delta}f)(x) g(x, t) dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} g(x, t) dt \right) dx.$$

Therefore, using duality,

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|h(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x, t) w(x, t) dx dt \right| : \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{p'}^{q'} dt \right)^{1/q'} = 1 \right\}$$

we can show that (4.13) and (4.15) are equivalent. An argument due to P. Tomas implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} g(\cdot, t) dt \right\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} g(\cdot, t) dt \right) \overline{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it'\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, t) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-t')\Delta} \overline{g(\cdot, t')} dt' \right) dt dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

From these identities we obtain (using again an argument of duality and Hölder's inequality) the equivalence between (4.14) and (4.15). Therefore the problem is reduced to prove (4.14).

Minkowski's inequality (1.40) and Lemma 4.1 give

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_p &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t')\|_p dt' \\ &\leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|t-t'|^\alpha} \|g(\cdot, t')\|_{p'} dt' \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

with $\alpha = (n/2)(1/p' - 1/p)$.

Inequality (4.18) and Theorem 2.6 (Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\ \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|t-t'|^\alpha} \|g(\cdot, t')\|_{p'} dt' \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'}^{q'} dt \right)^{1/q'} \end{aligned}$$

with $1/q' = 1/q + (1-\alpha)$ and $0 < 1-\alpha < 1$, that is, $n/2 = 2/q + n/p$, where

$$\begin{cases} 2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ 2 \leq p < \infty & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

The result now follows. \square

In particular, this theorem tells us that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $e^{it\Delta}f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for some $p \in (2, p(n))$ for almost all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $p(n)$ depending on the dimension. For instance, if $n = 1$, $p(1) = \infty$, and $q = 4$, then for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{\infty}^4 dt \right)^{1/4} \leq c \|f\|_2,$$

which implies that $e^{it\Delta}f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for almost every t . Note that this fact does not contradict Proposition 4.3.

Notice that the same proof in (4.17)–(4.18) also shows that

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'}^{q'} dt \right)^{1/q'}$$

and a simple argument leads to

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_2 \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'}^{q'} dt \right)^{1/q'}$$

(for a general argument see [CrK]).

Corollary 4.1. *Let $(p_0, q_0), (p_1, q_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying the condition (4.16) in Theorem 4.2. Then for all $T > 0$ we have*

$$\left(\int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{p_1}^{q_1} dt \right)^{1/q_1} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'_0}^{q'_0} dt \right)^{1/q'_0},$$

with $c = c(n, p_0, p_1)$.

Proof. By hypothesis the points (p_0, q_0) and (p_1, q_1) are in the segment of the line connecting $P = (1/2, 0)$ with $Q = (1/p(n), n/4 - n/2p(n))$. So $p(n) = \infty$ if $n = 1, 2$, and $p(n) = 2n/(n-2)$ if $n \geq 3$. Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume $p_0 \in [2, p_1]$. Combining inequalities (4.14)–(4.15) in Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\left(\int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{p_1}^{q_1} dt \right)^{1/q_1} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'_1}^{q'_1} dt \right)^{1/q'_1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_2 &= \sup_{[0,T]} \left\| e^{it\Delta} \int_0^t e^{-it'\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_2 \\
&= \sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-it'\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_2 \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'_1}^{q'_1} dt \right)^{1/q'_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these estimates and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\left(\int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{p'_0}^{q_0} dt \right)^{1/q_0} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'_1}^{q'_1} dt \right)^{1/q'_1}.$$

To finish the proof, an argument of duality allows us to write the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{p'_1}^{q_1} dt \right)^{1/q_1} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{p'_0}^{q'_0} dt \right)^{1/q'_0}.$$

This yields the result. \square

4.3 Local Smoothing Effects

In this section we study the local smoothing effects of the group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.3. *If $n = 1$ then*

$$\sup_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f(x)|^2 dt \leq c \|f\|_2^2. \quad (4.19)$$

If $n \geq 2$, then for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\sup_{x_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_{x_j}^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f(x)|^2 dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_n dt \leq c \|f\|_2^2, \quad (4.20)$$

where $D_{x_j}^{1/2} g(x, t) = ((2\pi|\xi_j|)^{1/2} \widehat{g}(\xi, t))^\vee(x, t)$ denotes the homogeneous derivative of order $1/2$ in the variable x_j .

Proof. We begin considering the case $n = 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f &= c(|\xi|^{1/2} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi))^\vee \\
&= c(|\xi|^{1/2} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}_+(\xi))^\vee + c(|\xi|^{1/2} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}_-(\xi))^\vee,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{f}_\pm(\xi) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^\pm} \widehat{f}(\xi)$. Thus, it is enough to show (4.19) with f_+ replacing f . Using the change of variables $2\pi\xi^2 = r$, Plancherel's theorem (1.11) and the inverse change of variables $\xi = +\sqrt{r/2\pi}$, we obtain the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f_+|^2(x) dt &= c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi|^{1/2} e^{2\pi i x \xi} e^{-4\pi^2 i t \xi^2} \widehat{f}_+(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 dt \\ &= c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{\infty} r^{1/4} e^{-2\pi i t r} e^{ix\sqrt{2\pi r}} \widehat{f}_+\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{2\pi}}\right) \frac{dr}{r^{1/2}} \right|^2 dt \\ &= c \int_0^{\infty} \left| e^{ix\sqrt{2\pi r}} \widehat{f}_+\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{2\pi}}\right) \frac{1}{r^{1/4}} \right|^2 dr = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}_+(\xi)|^2 d\xi = c \|f_+\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

which prove (4.19). Moreover, when \widehat{f} has support in $[0, \infty)$ or $(-\infty, 0]$, inequality (4.19) becomes an equality.

To prove (4.20), we fix $j = 1$ to simplify the notation. We then define $\widehat{f}_\pm(\xi) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^\pm}(\xi_1) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. Without loss of generality we prove (4.20) with f_+ replacing f .

Denote $\bar{x} = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\bar{\xi} = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$. The change of variables

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = (\xi_1, \bar{\xi}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (2\pi(\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2), \bar{\xi}) = (r, \bar{\xi}),$$

$$d\xi_1 d\bar{\xi} = \left| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \xi_1} & \frac{\partial r}{\partial \xi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r}{\partial \xi_n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|^{-1} dr d\bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{4\pi|\xi_1|} dr d\bar{\xi},$$

Plancherel's identity (1.11) and the change variables Φ^{-1} yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{x_1}^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f_+\|_{L_{\bar{x}t}^2}^2 &= c \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} |\xi_1|^{1/2} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}_+(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L_{\bar{x}t}^2}^2 \\ &= c \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i (\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\xi} + rt)} \frac{1}{|\xi_1|^{1/2}} e^{2\pi i x_1 \sqrt{\frac{r+2\pi|\bar{\xi}|^2}{2\pi}}} \widehat{f}_+(r, \bar{\xi}) dr d\bar{\xi} \right\|_{L_{\bar{x}t}^2}^2 \\ &= c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|\xi_1|} |\widehat{f}_+(r, \bar{\xi})|^2 dr d\bar{\xi} = c \|\widehat{f}_+\|_{L_{\bar{\xi}}^2}^2 = c \|f_+\|_{L_x^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.20). □

Corollary 4.2.

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq R\}} |D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f|^2(x) dx dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c R^{1/2} \|f\|_2, \quad (4.21)$$

where $D_x^{1/2}v(x, t) = ((2\pi|\xi|)^{1/2}\widehat{v}(\xi, t))^\vee$.

Notice that combining this result with the translation invariant property of the solution one gets

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0} \left(\frac{1}{R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f(x)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \|f\|_2.$$

Proof. If $n = 1$, inequality (4.21) follows from (4.19).

Consider the case $n \geq 2$. Defining $D_j = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi_j| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}|\xi|\}$, with $j = 1, \dots, n$. It is easy to see that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n D_j = \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$. Let $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^n$ (the ϕ_j can be defined in the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and extended such that they are homogeneous of order zero). Using linearity it suffices to show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq R\}} |e^{it\Delta} f(x)|^2 dx dt \leq cR \|D_x^{-1/2} f\|_2^2 = cR \| |\xi|^{-1/2} \widehat{f} \|_2^2.$$

From (4.20) we obtain for all $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq R\}} |e^{it\Delta} g(x)|^2 dx dt \leq cR \|D_{x_j}^{-1/2} g\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, using the notation $\widehat{f}_j = \widehat{f}\phi_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq R\}} |e^{it\Delta} f|^2(x) dx dt &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{|x| \leq R\}} |e^{it\Delta} f_j|^2(x) dx dt \\ &\leq cR \sum_{j=1}^n \|D_{x_j}^{-1/2} f_j\|_2^2 = cR \sum_{j=1}^n \| |\xi_j|^{-1/2} \widehat{f}_j \|_2^2 \\ &= cR \sum_{j=1}^n \| |\xi_j|^{-1/2} \widehat{f}\phi_j \|_2^2 \leq cR \| |\xi|^{-1/2} \widehat{f} \|_2^2 \\ &= cR \|D_x^{-1/2} f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

From Corollary 4.2 and the group properties we deduce that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $e^{it\Delta} f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R} : H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and thus $e^{it\Delta} f \in H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, from (4.19) (case $n = 1$) using duality we have

$$\|D_x^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} F(\cdot, t) dt\|_2 \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F(x, \cdot)\|_2 dx. \quad (4.22)$$

Similarly, from (4.20) we obtain the corresponding inequality for the case $n \geq 2$.

For solutions of the inhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u + F(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.23)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we observe that the gain of derivatives doubles that in the homogeneous case.

Theorem 4.4. *If $u(x, t)$ is the solution of problem (4.23), then, when $n = 1$ it satisfies*

$$\sup_x \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x u(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} dx, \quad (4.24)$$

and in the case $n \geq 2$

$$\sup_{x_j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{x_j} u(x, t)|^2 d\mu_j dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x, t)|^2 d\mu_j dt \right)^{1/2} dx_j, \quad (4.25)$$

where $d\mu_j = dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_n$. Therefore in the case $n \geq 2$ we have that

$$\sup_{Q_\alpha} \left(\int_{Q_\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x u(x, t)|^2 dt dx \right)^{1/2} \leq c \sum_{Q_\alpha} \left(\int_{Q_\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x, t)|^2 dt dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.26)$$

where $\{Q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ denotes a family of disjoint unit cubes with sides parallel to the axes and covering \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We only sketch the proof in the case $n = 1$. Using Exercise 4.12 in this chapter we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x u(x, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2\pi i \xi}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} (e^{2\pi i \tau t} - e^{-4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 t}) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \tilde{F}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2\pi i \xi e^{2\pi i \tau t}}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \tilde{F}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2\pi i \xi e^{-4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 t}}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \tilde{F}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\ &= \partial_x u_1(x, t) + \partial_x u_2(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where \widetilde{F} represents the Fourier transform with respect to the variables x, t , and since the numerator in the first integrand vanishes on the zeros of the denominator the integrals in the second equality are understood in the principal value sense. Using Exercise 1.17 we have that

$$\left(\text{p.v.} \frac{2\pi i \xi}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} \right)^{\vee(\xi)} = K(x, \tau) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Plancherel's identity (1.11), Young's and Minkowski's inequalities, (1.39) and (1.40), respectively, imply that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x u_1(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} &= c \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i \tau t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y, \tau) \widehat{F}^{(t)}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \right\|_{2(t)} \\ &= c \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y, \tau) \widehat{F}^{(t)}(y, \tau) dy \right\|_{2(\tau)} \\ &\leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\widehat{F}^{(t)}(y, \cdot)\|_{2(\tau)} dy \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F(y, \cdot)\|_{2(t)} dy, \end{aligned}$$

which proves

$$\sup_x \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x u_1(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} dx.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\partial_x u_2(x, t) = D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} G(x),$$

where

$$\widehat{G}(\xi) = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{sgn}(\xi) |\xi|^{1/2} \widetilde{F}(\xi, \tau)}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} d\tau.$$

A simple computation and (1.18) shows that

$$\left(\text{p.v.} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} \right)^{\vee(\tau)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \tau t}}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} d\tau = c \text{sgn}(t) e^{-4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 t}.$$

Therefore, using (4.19), (4.22), and Plancherel's identity (1.11), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_x \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x u_2(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} &\leq c \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi) |\xi|^{1/2} \tilde{F}(\xi, \tau)}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} d\tau \right\|_{2(\xi)} \\
&= c \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 t} \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) |\xi|^{1/2} \widehat{F}(\xi, t) \operatorname{sgn}(t) dt \right\|_{2(\xi)} \\
&= c \left\| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} D_x^{1/2} \mathbf{H}F(\cdot, t) \operatorname{sgn}(t) dt \right)^\vee \right\|_{2(\xi)} \\
&= c \left\| D_x^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} \mathbf{H}F(\cdot, t) \operatorname{sgn}(t) dt \right\|_2 \\
&\leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{H} denotes the Hilbert transform (see Definition 1.7). This leads to the result. \square

4.4 Comments

The first result concerning smoothing effects for the particular group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ or for general group of unitary operators was obtained by Kato in [K1]. In this work on theory of operators, Kato introduced the notion of A -regular and A -super regular operators.

Let A be a self adjoint operator (not necessarily bounded) defined on a Hilbert space H such that the resolvent of A , $R(\lambda) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$, exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im \lambda \neq 0$ and let L be an operator of closed graph with domain $D(L)$ dense in H .

Definition 4.1. We say that the operator L is A -regular (respectively, A -super regular) if for all $x \in D(L^*)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im \lambda \neq 0$,

$$|\Im \langle R(\lambda) L^* x, L^* x \rangle| \leq c \pi \|x\|^2$$

(respectively, $|\langle R(\lambda) L^* x, L^* x \rangle| \leq c \pi \|x\|^2$), where the constant c is independent of x and λ .

The following theorems establish the relationship between the notion of A -regular operator and the type of results described in this chapter.

Theorem 4.5 ([K1]). *The operator L is A -regular if and only if for all $x \in H$*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|Le^{itA}x\| dt \leq c \|x\|.$$

In particular, $e^{itA}x \in D(L)$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.6 ([KY]). Let $L = L_h$ be an operator of multiplication by h with $h \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then L_h is Δ -super regular.

Theorem 4.7 ([KY] see also [BK1]). Let \tilde{L} be the operator

$$(1 + |x|^2)^{-1/2} \Lambda^{1/2} = (1 + |x|^2)^{-1/2} (1 - \Delta)^{1/4}$$

with domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then the closure of \tilde{L} is Δ -super regular.

Combining Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, we have that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $n \geq 3$, then $e^{it\Delta}f \in D(L_h)$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. When $h \notin L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $D(L_h)$ is a set of first category in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. These results neither imply nor are consequence of estimate (4.13) in Theorem 4.2.

Later on, Strichartz [Str2], motivated by the work of Segal [Se], studied special properties of Fourier transform. He proved that

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{it\Delta} f|^{2(n+2)/n} dx dt \right)^{n/2(n+2)} \leq c \|f\|_2. \quad (4.27)$$

In his proof he uses previous results of Tomas [Tm] and Stein [S1] regarding restriction theorems (and extension) of the Fourier transform. More precisely, Strichartz uses the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\Delta} f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} e^{2\pi i \langle (x,t); (\xi, \tau) \rangle} g(\xi, \tau) d\sigma(\xi, \tau) = \widehat{gd\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where g is a measure supported on $M = \{\tilde{\xi} = (\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \tau = -2\pi|\xi|^2\}$ with density $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ and $d\sigma(\tilde{\xi}) = d\xi$.

Similarly,

$$\widetilde{e^{it\Delta} f}(\xi, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i t \tau} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi) dt = \widehat{f}(\xi) \delta(\tau + 2\pi|\xi|^2),$$

where \sim denotes the Fourier transform with respect to both variables: space x and time t . In other words, the Fourier transform in the variables (x, t) of the solution $e^{it\Delta}f(x)$ is a distribution with support on the parabola $\tau = -2\pi|\xi|^2$. Thus inequality (4.13) is a result of extension of the Fourier transform of measures with support on this parabola. Similarly, we can see (4.15) as a result of restriction because using the Fubini theorem and the Plancherel identity (1.11) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta} g(\cdot, t) dt \right\|_2 &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \xi} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{g}(\xi, t) d\xi \right) dt \right\|_2 \\
&= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-4\pi^2 i t |\xi|^2} \widehat{g}(\xi, t) dt \right) d\xi \right\|_2 = \left\| \widetilde{g}(\xi, -2\pi |\xi|^2) \right\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof presented in Section 4.2 is due to J. Ginibre and G. Velo [GV1] (see also [M], [P1]).

The main point in the proof is the *curvature* of the symbol in M and not the ellipticity of Δ . In particular, the same inequalities (4.13), (4.14) hold when we replace Δ by

$$\partial_{x_1}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_j}^2 - \partial_{x_{j+1}}^2 - \dots - \partial_{x_n}^2, \quad \text{for some } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The curvature of the symbol $\tau = |\xi|^2$ is reflected on the decay estimates (4.12) in Lemma 4.1. In fact, the results in Theorem 4.2 are true for any unitary group satisfying decay estimates of the type described in Lemma 4.1. Thus, in particular for the linear problem associated to the KdV equation (1.28) we have that the unitary group $V(t)v_0 = (e^{i8\pi^3 \xi^3 t} \widehat{v_0})^\vee$ describing the solutions satisfies for any $(\theta, \alpha) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1/2]$

$$\|D^{\alpha\theta/2} V(t)v_0\|_{L^{2/1-\theta}} \leq c |t|^{-\theta(\alpha+1)/3} \|v_0\|_{L^{2/1+\theta}}. \quad (4.28)$$

Therefore the argument used in Theorem 4.2 shows that for any $(\theta, \alpha) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1/2]$,

$$\|D^{\alpha\theta/2} V(t)v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}))} \leq c \|v_0\|_2, \quad (4.29)$$

where $(q, p) = (6/(\theta(\alpha+1)), 2/(1-\theta))$. Notice that in (4.29) there is a possible gain of $1/4$ derivatives. Roughly speaking, in general this gain is equal to $(m-2)/4$ where m is the order of the dispersive operator (see [KPV2]).

In the case of the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w = \Delta w, \\ w(x, 0) = 0, \\ \partial_t w(x, 0) = g(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.30)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, whose solution

$$w(x, t) = U(t)g = \left(\frac{\sin(2\pi|\xi|t)}{2\pi|\xi|} \widehat{g}(\xi) \right)^\vee$$

(see (1.48)) is associated to the unitary group $M(t) = (e^{i2\pi|\xi|t} \widehat{g})^\vee$, we have the decay estimate

$$\|U(t)g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c t^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p'})} \|D^\alpha g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (4.31)$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{p'}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty, \quad n \geq 2.$$

From this we can deduce the equivalent to Theorem 4.2:

$$\|(-\Delta)^{(1-b)/4} U(t)g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq c \|g\|_2, \quad (4.32)$$

where

$$2 < q < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{(n-1)q} = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{p}$$

(see [M], [P1]).

As we mentioned above the decay estimates (4.12), (4.28), and (4.31) are related to the “curvature” of the symbols $\tau = |\xi|^2$, $\tau = \xi^3$, and $\tau^2 = |\xi|^2$, respectively. Observe that in Examples 4.3 and (4.30) the symbol is a surface of dimension n with nonvanishing curvature in n and $n-1$ directions (rank of the Hessian).

The limiting case for the inequality (4.13) in dimension $n = 2$ (i.e., $(q, p) = (2, \infty)$) fails (see [MS2]). Similarly the limiting case of the estimate (4.32) for the wave equation in dimension $n = 3$ (i.e., $(q, p) = (2, \infty)$) fails (see [KIM]) although both hold in the radial case; see [To1] for the Schrödinger equation and [KIM] for the wave equation. In [KT1] the limiting cases in higher dimension were proved to hold in both cases, i.e., for the Schrödinger equation (4.13) holds for $n \geq 3$, $(q, p) = (2, 2n/(n-2))$, as well as for the wave equation in (4.32) for $n \geq 4$, $(q, p) = (2, 2(n-1)/(n-3))$.

The problem of finding the best constant for the Strichartz estimate (4.13)

$$c(n; p) = c(n; p; q) = \sup_{\|u_0\|_2=1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} \quad (4.33)$$

as well as its maximizers, i.e., the $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which the equality (4.33) holds with (p, q) as in (4.16) was considered in [Fs]. There it was shown that for the case $n = 1, 2$ and $p = q = 2 + 4/n$ one has $c(1; 6) = 12^{-1/12}$ and $c(2; 4) = 2^{-1/2}$ with the maximizer up to the invariant of the Schrödinger equation (see Proposition (4.1)) equal to $c_n e^{-|x|^2}$, $n = 1, 2$. Also in [Fs], the same problem was settled for the case of the wave equation (4.30) in dimension $n = 2, 3$ with $p = q = 2 + 4/(n-1)$. Similar results for the remaining cases are unknown.

Corollary 4.1 was proved in [CzW1]. For further results in this direction we refer to [Vi1].

Concerning the decay of the free Schrödinger equation, on one hand, one has that if $u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for any $t \neq 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, $e^{it\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) - L^1(e^\varepsilon |x| dx)$ (see Exercises 4.3 and 4.4). On the other hand, Example 4.2 tells us that solutions corresponding to Gaussian data exhibits a global Gaussian decay. In [EKPV1] it was shown that given $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) There are two different real numbers t_1 and t_2 , such that $e^{it_j \Delta} u_0 \in L^2(e^{a_j |x|^2} dx)$ for some $a_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

- (ii) $u_0 \in L^2(e^{b_1|x|^2} dx)$ and $\widehat{u}_0 \in L^2(e^{b_2|x|^2} dx)$, for some $b_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2$.
- (iii) There is $v : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$, such that $e^{it\Delta} u_0 \in L^2(e^{v(t)|x|^2} dx)$, for all $t \geq 0$.
- (iv) $u_0(x + iy)$ is an entire function such that $|u_0(x + iy)| \leq N e^{-a|x|^2 + b|y|^2}$ for some constants $N, a, b > 0$.
- (v) There exist $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ and $h \in L^2(e^{\varepsilon|x|^2} dx)$ such that $u_0(x) = e^{\delta\Delta} h(x)$.

It was also established in [EKPV1] that if one of the above conditions holds then for appropriate values $\alpha, \beta > 0$ the function

$$f(t) = \|e^{\frac{|x|^2}{(\alpha t + \beta)^2}} e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_2$$

is logarithmically convex. In particular, one has that

$$f(t) \leq f(0)^{\theta(t)} f(T)^{1-\theta(t)},$$

with $\theta(t) = \beta(T - t)/(T(\alpha t + \beta))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

In [EKPV1] the constants used above were described in a precise manner as a consequence of (4.7) and the following result due to Hardy (see [SS]): if $f(x) = O(e^{-\pi A x^2})$ and $\hat{f}(\xi) = O(e^{-\pi B \xi^2})$, with $A > 0$, $B > 0$, and $AB > 1$, then $f \equiv 0$.

Extensions of these results to the case of Schrödinger equation with potential as (4.34) below depending on x or on (x, t) , i.e., $V = V(x, t)$ as well as application to unique continuation properties of semilinear Schrödinger equations were given in [EKPV2].

Consider now the Schrödinger equation with potential

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = \Delta u - V(x)u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

Assume first that the potential $V = V(x)$ is real and regular enough such that $L = \Delta - V$ is self adjoint.

In [JSS] under appropriate assumptions on the decay of the potential $V(x)$ at infinity it was shown that (assuming that 0 is neither a bound state eigenvalue nor a resonance of L)

$$\|e^{it(\Delta - V)} P_c f\|_\infty \leq c t^{-n/2} \|f\|_1, \quad n \geq 3,$$

where P_c denotes the projection on the continuous spectrum of the operator L . Extensions of this result in dimension $n = 1$ where given in [Wd] and [GSch] and in dimension $n = 2$ in [ScI]. Time-dependent potentials have been largely studied (see for instance [RS]).

For conditions on the potential V that guarantee the extension of the local smoothing effect described in Corollary 4.2 to solutions of the IVP (4.34) see [RV], [BRV].

Local-in-time extensions of Strichartz estimates to the variable coefficients case where the Laplacian Δ is replaced by an elliptic operator of the form

$$L = \partial_{x_k} a_{jk}(x, t) \partial_{x_j} + \partial_{x_l} b_l(x, t) + b_l(x, t) \partial_{x_l} + V(x, t) \quad (4.35)$$

have been considered in several works. In [StTa] Staffilani and Tataru established these estimates under the assumptions: $b_l = V = 0$, $(a_{jk}(x, t))$ a compactly supported perturbation of the Laplacian and a nontrapping condition on the bicharacteric flow. Extensions of this result under appropriate hypotheses on the “asymptotic flatness” and the nontrapping condition of the coefficients a_{jk} were given in [MMTa], [RZ], [Td]. The one-dimensional case was considered in [SI].

Next we will briefly treat the periodic case:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.36)$$

$$x \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^1, t \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Theorem 4.8 ([Z]).

$$\left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e^{i(tk^2+kx)} \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq c \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.37)$$

where $(x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2$.

Note that $u(x, t) = \sum_k a_k e^{i(tk^2+kx)}$ is the solution of the periodic problem (4.36) for $n = 1$ with $u_0(x) = \sum_k a_k e^{ikx}$.

Proof. If $u(x, t) = \sum_k a_k e^{i(tk^2+kx)}$, then $\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 = \|u \cdot \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$. It is easy to see that

$$u\bar{u} = \sum_k |a_k|^2 + \sum_{k_1 \neq k_2} a_{k_1} \bar{a}_{k_2} e^{i((k_1-k_2)x + (k_1^2-k_2^2)t)}.$$

If we fix $l_1 = k_1 - k_2$ and $l_2 = k_1^2 - k_2^2$ we have at most one pair (k_1, k_2) of solutions of these equations. So, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot \bar{u}\|_2 &= \sum_k |a_k|^2 + \left(\sum_{k_1 \neq k_2} |a_{k_1} \bar{a}_{k_2}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_k |a_k|^2 + \left(\sum_{k_1} |a_{k_1}|^2 \sum_{k_2} |a_{k_2}|^2 \right)^{1/2} = 2 \sum_k |a_k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

We observe that for the case $n = 1$ the corresponding inequality to (4.27) in \mathbb{R} is true with $p = 6$. So the next question is natural: Is the inequality (4.37) still true if we substitute 4 by 6? The answer is negative. In fact, one has that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i(kx+k^2t)} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^2)} \gtrsim (\log N)^{1/6} N^{1/2}. \quad (4.38)$$

So if $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^N e^{ikx}$, then $\|\phi\|_2 = N^{1/2}$, which combined with (4.38) implies that

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n}}(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})} \leq c \|\phi\|_2 \quad (4.39)$$

fails for $n = 1$.

Nevertheless, Bourgain [Bo1] proved that there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \leq N} a_k e^{i(tk^2 + kx)} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq c_0 N^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{|k| \leq N} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.40)$$

It is an *open problem* to determine if the inequality can be obtained in the interval (4, 6). More precisely, it was conjectured in [Bo2] that

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})} \leq c \|\phi\|_2 \quad \text{if } q < \frac{2(n+2)}{n}, \quad (4.41)$$

and assuming $\text{supp } \widehat{\phi} \subset B(0, N)$

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})} \ll N^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n+2}{q} + \varepsilon} \|\phi\|_2 \quad \text{if } q \geq \frac{2(n+2)}{n} \quad (4.42)$$

hold. In this direction some partial results are gathering in the next proposition.

Proposition 4.4 ([Bo2]).

1. For $n = 1, 2$, inequality (4.42) holds.
2. For $n \geq 3$, inequality (4.42) holds for $q \geq 4$.

For details see [Bo1] and [Bo2].

The extension of Theorem 4.8 to other compact manifolds (i.e., L^p - L^q estimates for the Schrödinger flow on manifolds) has been recently studied by Burq, Gérard, and Tzvetkov [BGT3].

In the particular case of the 2-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 they proved that

$$\left(\int_I \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |e^{it\Delta} u_0(x)|^q dx \right)^{p/q} dt \right)^{1/q} \leq c_I \|u_0\|_{1/p, 2}, \quad (4.43)$$

where I is a finite time interval and $\|\cdot\|_{1/p, 2}$ is defined as in (3.36), for every admissible pair in (4.16) Theorem 4.2 with $n = 2$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

Roughly (4.43) gives a gain of $1/2$ derivatives with respect to the Sobolev embedding (Theorem 3.3),

$$\|u_0\|_q \leq c \|u_0\|_{1/r,2} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{r} = n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

The local smoothing effect studied in Section 4.3 was first established by T. Kato [K2] (section 6) for solutions of the Korteweg–de Vries equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u \partial_x u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (4.44)$$

$t, x \in \mathbb{R}$, More precisely, Kato proved the following inequality

$$\left(\int_{-T}^T \int_{-R}^R |\partial_x u(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c(T, R) \|u_0\|_2, \quad (4.45)$$

which is the main ingredient in the proof of existence of weak solutions of (4.44) with initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see [K2]). In [KF], Kruzhkov and Faminskii independently obtained a similar result to that described in (4.45). Later on and simultaneously Constantin and Saut [CS], Sjölin [Sj], and Vega [V] proved that the estimates of the type in (4.45) are intrinsic properties of linear dispersive equations. Let $P(\xi)$ be the real symbol associated to the operator $P(D)$. Suppose that at infinity $P(\xi) \sim |\xi|^\alpha$, for α a real positive number, and $u(x, t) = e^{itP(D)} u_0(x)$, then

$$\left(\int_{-T}^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |(-\Delta)^{(\alpha-1)/4} u(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c(T, R) \|u_0\|_2. \quad (4.46)$$

In particular, inequality (4.46) implies that if $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the solutions $e^{itP(D)} u_0 \in H_{\text{loc}}^{(\alpha-1)/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for almost all t . Notice that this gain of derivatives is a pure dispersive phenomenon, which cannot hold in hyperbolic problems.

The version of the homogeneous smoothing effect given here (Theorem 4.3) is taken from [KPV3] (see also [LP]). The inhomogeneous smoothing effect version described in Theorem 4.4 was first established in [KPV3]. Observe that the gain of derivatives here doubles that in the homogeneous case.

It is interesting to note that in [CS] the authors extended Kato's result (4.45) to linear dispersive equations. In contrast, in [Sj] and [V] inequality (4.46) with $\alpha = 2$ appears implicitly in the study of the following problem introduced by L. Carleson: Determine the value of s such that the following limit exists in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} e^{it\Delta} u_0(x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.47)$$

In the one-dimensional case $n = 1$ we have that $s \geq 1/4$ guarantees (4.47) (see [C]) and this is the best possible result (see [DK], [KR]). For the case $n = 2$ and $n > 2$ the best results available asserting (4.47) are $s > 2/5$ (see [To2]) and $s > 1/2$ (see [Sj], [V]). The conjecture is $s \geq 1/4$ in all dimensions.

The original Kato's proof of the smoothing effect (4.45) was based on an energy estimate argument. Let us consider the linear problem (4.44) with data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then multiplying the equation by $u(x, t)\varphi(Rx) = u(x, t)\varphi_R(x)$, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, ($\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x > 2$, $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $x < -2$, with $\varphi'(x) > 0$ for $-1 < x < 1$ and $R > 0$), we obtain after integration by parts that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int u^2 \varphi_R dx + \frac{3}{2} \int (\partial_x u)^2 \varphi'_R dx - \frac{1}{2} \int u^2 \varphi_R^{(3)} dx = 0.$$

Thus integrating in the time interval $[0, T]$ and using that the L^2 -norm of the solution is preserved we get (4.45).

The extension of this result to more general dispersive linear models with constant coefficients given in [CS] was based on a Fourier transform argument. In nonlinear problems and in linear ones with variable coefficients (where the Fourier transform does not provide the result) it may be useful to obtain the result via "energy estimates."

For example, consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = iAu, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.48)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where A has a real symbol $a = a(x, \xi)$ of order m (for instance, $A = \partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(x)\partial_{x_k})$, $i\partial_x^3$, Δ , and $iH\partial_x^2$). By integration by parts we have that the solutions $u(\cdot, t)$ preserve the L^2 -norm, i.e., $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2$. Now to establish the corresponding local smoothing effect (4.45) we follow the argument in [CKS]. We apply an operator B of order zero with real symbol $b(x, \xi)$ to our equation to get that

$$\partial_t Bu = iABu + i[B; A]u. \quad (4.49)$$

Multiplying the equation (4.49) by \bar{u} and the conjugate of the equation in (4.48) by Bu , adding the results and integrating in the x -variable, and then in the time interval $[0, T]$, we have that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} i[B; A]u\bar{u} dx dt \leq c_0(T; B)\|u_0\|_2. \quad (4.50)$$

Let $C = i[B; A] = -i[A; B]$. The operator C has order $m - 1$ and its symbol $c(x, \xi)$ is given by

$$c(x, \xi) = -\{a, b\} = -\frac{d}{ds} b(\varphi(s; x, \xi)) \Big|_{s=0} = H_a(b)(x, \xi), \quad (4.51)$$

(where $\varphi(s; x, \xi)$ denotes the bicharacteristic flow associated to the symbol of A , that is, $a(x, \xi)$, and $H_a(b)$ is defined as in (3.25)). The aim is to find an operator B such that $C > 0$. By quadrature

$$b(x, \xi) = \int_0^\infty c(\varphi(s; x, \xi)) ds. \quad (4.52)$$

Thus if $A = \Delta/8\pi^2$, $a(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2/2$, and $\varphi(s; x, \xi) = (x + s\xi, \xi)$. Taking

$$c(x, \xi) = -\frac{f'(|x_j|) \xi_j^2}{\langle \xi \rangle}, \quad (4.53)$$

with $f \in L^1([0, \infty) : \mathbb{R}^+)$, f decreasing, and $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|)^{1/2}$, we have $C > 0$ of order 1.

By (4.51) we obtain

$$b(x, \xi) = \frac{f(|x_j|) \xi_j}{\langle \xi \rangle} \quad (\text{nonlocal operator of order zero}).$$

Now from (4.50), (4.51), (4.53) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} Cu \bar{u} dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} -f'(|x_j|) \Lambda^{-1} \partial_{x_j}^2 u \bar{u} dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} \Lambda^{-1/2} (-f'(|x_j|) \Lambda^{-1/2} \partial_{x_j} u) \bar{u} dx dt \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} [-f'(|x_j|); \partial_{x_j} \Lambda^{-1/2}] \Lambda^{-1/2} \partial_{x_j} u \bar{u} dx dt}_{\text{zero order operator}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

Thus from (4.50) combined with (4.54) and the choice of f we basically have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |D^{1/2} u(x, t)|^2 dx dt &\lesssim \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} \Lambda^{-1/2} (-f'(|x_j|) \Lambda^{-1/2} \partial_{x_j} u) \bar{u} dx dt \\ &\leq c_0(R; f; T) \|u_0\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

Repeating the argument for $A = i\partial_x^3$, and taking $c(x, \xi) = \varphi'(x) \xi^2$ with $\varphi'(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq R$ and $\varphi'(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 2R$, even, C^∞ , nonincreasing for $x > 0$, we obtain $b(x, \xi) = \varphi(x)$ (local operator as in Kato's approach). Similarly, for $A = iH\partial_x^2$ (the dispersive operator associated to the Benjamin–Ono equation) with the same choice of $c(x, \xi) = \varphi'(x) \xi^2$, we get the same $b(x, \xi) = \varphi(x)$, again a local operator so the result can be obtained by standard integration by parts.

For the variable coefficients case $A = \partial_{x_j} (a_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_k})$ we need several hypotheses that guarantee the appropriate behavior of the bicharacteristic flow at infinity as

well as the integrability of $l(s) = c(\varphi(s; x, \xi))$ in (4.52). In this regard we have the following result due to Doi [Do1].

Let $A(x) = (a_{jk}(x))$ be a real and symmetric $n \times n$ matrix of functions $a_{jk} \in C_b^\infty$. Assume that

$$|\nabla a_{jk}(x)| = o(|x|^{-1}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.56)$$

and that $A(x)$ is positive definite, so the operator $\partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(x)\partial_{x_k})$ is elliptic as in (3.23). Assume that the bicharacteristic flow is nontrapped in one direction, which means that the set

$$\{X(s; x_0, \xi_0) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is unbounded in \mathbb{R}^n for each $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A(x)$ and its bicharacteristic flow satisfy the assumptions above. Suppose $\lambda \in L^1([0, \infty)) \cap C([0, \infty))$ is strictly positive and nonincreasing. Then there exist $c > 0$ and a real symbol $p \in S^0$, both depending on h_2 and λ , such that*

$$H_{h_2} p = \{h_2, p\}(x, \xi) \geq \lambda(|x|) |\xi| - c, \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.57)$$

Extensions and refinements as well as different proofs of the estimates in Theorems 4.2–Theorem 4.3 have been deduced in connection with specific problems. To simplify the exposition we shall only mention some of them.

In [Bo2] Bourgain showed that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that if $u_1, u_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $0 < M_1 \leq M_2$ satisfying that

$$u_j(x) = P_{M_j} u_j = \int_{M_j/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2M_j} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \widehat{u}_j(\xi) d\xi, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4.58)$$

Then

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_1)(e^{-it\Delta} u_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^2 \times \mathbb{R}_t)} \leq c_0 \left(\frac{M_1}{M_2}\right)^{1/2} \|u_1\|_2 \|u_2\|_2. \quad (4.59)$$

Inequality (4.59) measures the interaction of a pair of solutions corresponding to data with localized support in the frequency space.

Notice that for $M_1 \sim M_2$ (4.59) yields the case $p = q = 4 = 2 + 2/n$ of Theorem 4.2.

In [OT1] Ozawa and Tsutsumi studying the bilinear form

$$(u_0, v_0) \rightarrow \partial_x(e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0)(e^{-it\partial_x^2} \bar{v}_0)$$

established the following identity: there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $u_0, v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\|D_x^{1/2}[(e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0)(e^{-it\partial_x^2} \bar{v}_0)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_t)} = c_0 \|u_0\|_2 \|v_0\|_2. \quad (4.60)$$

The estimate (4.60) resembles the gain of $1/2$ derivative in Theorem 4.3 as well as (after Sobolev embedding) the limit case ($p = \infty$, $q = 4$, $n = 1$, $u_0 = v_0$) of Theorem 4.2.

In higher dimensions, Lions and Perthame ([LP]) used the Winger transformation to obtain a different proof of (4.19) in Theorem 4.3. They also showed that for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\nabla e^{it\Delta} u_0(x)|^2}{1 + |x|^{1+\alpha}} dx dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{n,\alpha} \|D_x^{1/2} u_0\|_2. \quad (4.61)$$

Finally, we shall briefly discuss the L^2 -well-posedness of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u + b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} u + d(x)u + f(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.62)$$

where the coefficients b_j and d and their derivatives are assumed to be bounded.

The problem (4.62) is said to be L^2 -wellposed if for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in C_0([0, \infty) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ (where C_0 stands for the set of continuous functions with compact support) there exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of (4.62) such that for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\sup_{[0,t]} \|u(\cdot, s)\|_2 \leq c(t) \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_2 ds \right\}.$$

Notice that if the b_j take real values the result follows by integration by parts. Also if $b_j(x) = b_{0j}$ is a constant then the $\mathcal{I}m b_{0j} = 0$ for all j is a necessary and sufficient condition. In the one-dimensional case Takeushi ([Ta1]) proved that the condition

$$\sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\ell \mathcal{I}m b(s) ds \right| < \infty \quad (4.63)$$

is sufficient for the L^2 -well-posedness of (4.62). In [Mz] Mizohata showed that in any dimension n the condition

$$\sup_{\widehat{w} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \ell \in \mathbb{R}}} \left| \int_0^\ell \mathcal{I}m b_j(x + s \cdot \widehat{w}) \cdot \widehat{w}_j ds \right| < \infty \quad (4.64)$$

is necessary. (4.64) is an integrability condition on the coefficients $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ of the first order term along the bicharacteristic. In fact, Ichinose [I] extended (4.64) to the case where the Laplacian Δ in (4.62) is replaced by the elliptic variable coefficients $A = \partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(x)\partial_{x_k})$ by deducing that

$$\sup_{\widehat{w} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \ell \in \mathbb{R}}} \left| \int_0^\ell \mathcal{I}m b_j(X(s; x, \widehat{w})) \cdot \Xi(s; x, \widehat{w}) ds \right| < \infty \quad (4.65)$$

is a necessary condition for the L^2 -well-posedness (to the IVP associated to the equation $\partial_t u = iAu + b_j(x)\partial_{x_j}u + d(x)u + f(x, t)$), where $s \rightarrow (X(s; x, \hat{w}), \Sigma(s; x, \hat{w}))$ denotes the bicharacteristic flow associated to A (see (3.26)).

Notice that the notion of nontrapping for the bicharacteristic flow associated is essential in the hypothesis (4.65) for $b_j(\cdot)$ even in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will return to this in Chapter 10, where the above results will be further studied.

4.5 Exercises

4.1. Prove Proposition 4.1

4.2. Prove Proposition 4.2.

4.3. Define the operators

$$\Gamma_j = x_j + 2it\partial_{x_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

(i) Prove that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ (with multi-index notation),

$$\Gamma^\alpha f(x, t) = e^{i|x|^2/4t} (2it\partial_x)^\alpha e^{-i|x|^2/4t} f = e^{it\Delta} x^\alpha e^{-it\Delta} f.$$

(ii) Prove that Γ_j commutes with $\partial_t - i\Delta$.

(iii) If $u_0 \in L^2$ and $x^\alpha u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, show that $\Gamma^\alpha u \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and so

$$\partial_x^\alpha (e^{i|x|^2/4t} e^{it\Delta} u_0) \in C(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

In particular, $\partial_x^\alpha e^{it\Delta} u_0 \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $t \neq 0$.

(iv) If $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $x^\alpha u_0 \in L^2$, $|\alpha| \leq s$, prove that

$$u = e^{it\Delta} u_0 \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^s \cap L^2(|x|^s dx)).$$

(v) If $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ show that $e^{it\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

4.4.

(i) Prove that if $u_0, x^\alpha u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\partial_x^\alpha (x^\alpha u_0) \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $x^\alpha e^{it\Delta} u_0 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $t \neq 0$.

(ii) Show that if $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, $e^{it\Delta} u_0 \notin L^1(e^{\varepsilon|x|} dx)$, and that $e^{it\Delta} u_0$ has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C}^n for $t \neq 0$.

Hint: Use formula (4.7).

4.5. Check that for the group of translations

$$L_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

defined by $L_t(u_0)(x) = u_0(x+t)$ the inequalities (4.12) and (4.13) are not true.

4.6. Prove that there do not exist p, q, t with $1 \leq q < p < \infty, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$e^{it\Delta} : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is continuous.}$$

This is a particular case of Hörmander's theorem in [H1].

Hint:

- (i) Prove that $e^{it\Delta}$ commutes with translations. That is, if $\tau_h f(x) = f(x-h)$ then $\tau_h(e^{it\Delta} f(x)) = e^{it\Delta} \tau_h f(x)$.
- (ii) Prove that if $f \in L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \|f + \tau_h f\|_{p_0} = 2^{1/p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}.$$

- (iii) Using (ii) prove that $\|Tf\|_q \leq c\|f\|_p$ implies

$$\|Tf\|_q \leq 2^{(1/p-1/q)} \|f\|_p,$$

which leads to a contradiction because $q < p$.

4.7. Prove that inequality (4.13) is not true when the pair (p, q) does not satisfy the condition $2/q = n/2 - n/p$ in (4.16) Theorem 4.2.

Hint: Use the fact that if $u(x, t)$ is a solution of the linear Schrödinger equation, then, for all $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ is also a solution.

4.8. Given a sequence of times $A = \{t_j \in \mathbb{R} : j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ converging to t_0 , prove that there exists $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $e^{it\Delta} f \notin L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ if $t \in A$ (compare this result with the inequality (4.13)).

Hint: For all $t \in A$ choose $a_t g_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_t \notin L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and where the constants a_t are fixed and such that if $f_t = e^{-it\Delta} a_t g_t$ then $f = \sum_{t \in A} f_t$ satisfies the statement (use Lemma 4.1).

4.9. Prove that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left\| e^{it\Delta} f - \frac{e^{i|\cdot|^2/4t}}{\sqrt{(4\pi it)^n}} \widehat{f}(\cdot/4\pi t) \right\|_2 = 0. \quad (4.66)$$

Hint:

- (i) Verify that for all $t \neq 0$,

$$U(t)f(x) = (4\pi it)^{-n/2} e^{i|x|^2/4t} \widehat{f}(x/4\pi t)$$

defines a unitary operator. Hence it is enough to prove (4.66) assuming $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) Prove that

$$e^{it\Delta} f(x) - U(t)f(x) = \frac{e^{i|x|^2/4t}}{\sqrt{(4\pi it)^{n/2}}} \widehat{F}_t(x/4\pi t),$$

with $F_t(y) = (e^{i|y|^2/4t} - 1)f(y)$.

(iii) Use the estimate $|e^{i|x|^2/4t} - 1| \leq c \frac{|x|^2}{4t}$ to complete the proof (see [D]).

4.10. Show that the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta \bar{u}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.67)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$, is ill-posed.

Hint: Differentiate the equation in (4.67) with respect to the variable t , then use the conjugate of the equation in (4.67) to obtain an equation in terms of second order derivatives with respect to t and the bi-Laplacian.

4.11. (Duhamel's principle) Prove that the solution $u(x, t)$ of the inhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u + F(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (4.68)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $F \in C(\mathbb{R} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is given by the formula

$$u(x, t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(\cdot, t') dt'. \quad (4.69)$$

4.12. Prove that if $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ then the solution $u(x, t)$ of problem (4.68) can be written as

$$u(x, t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{2\pi i \tau t} - e^{-4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 t}}{4\pi^2 i |\xi|^2 + 2\pi i \tau} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \widetilde{F}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau, \quad (4.70)$$

where \widetilde{F} represents the Fourier transform of F with respect to the variables x, t .

4.13. Prove inequality (4.29).

4.14. Prove that $m(\xi) = e^{8\pi^3 i t \xi^3}$ is not an L^p -multiplier for $p \neq 2$.

4.15. Using the estimates (4.19), (4.20) from Theorem 4.3, prove that:

- (i) If $n > 2$, $\alpha > 1/2$, and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $(1 + |x|)^{-\alpha} D_x^{1/2} e^{it\Delta} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) If $n = 1$ the result in (i) is not true.
- (iii) What can be said in the case $n = 2$? (See [KY]).

4.16. Use the commutator estimates in (3.15) to show that operator defined in (4.54), i.e.,

$$[-f'(|x_j|); \partial_{x_j} \Lambda^{-1/2}] \Lambda^{-1/2} \partial_{x_j},$$

is in fact of order zero.

Chapter 5

The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. Local Theory

In this chapter we shall study of local well-posedness of the nonlinear IVP,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\Delta u - \lambda |u|^{\alpha-1}u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where λ and α are real constants with $\alpha > 1$.

The equation in (5.1) appears as model in several physical problems (see references [GV1], [N], [SCMc], [ZS]).

Formally solutions of problem (5.1) satisfy the following *conservation laws*, that is, if $u(x, t)$ is solution of (5.1) then for all $t \in [0, T]$, the L^2 -norm

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2, \quad (5.2)$$

the energy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla_x u(x, t)|^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\alpha+1} |u(x, t)|^{\alpha+1}) dx = \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\alpha+1} \|u_0\|_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1}, \quad (5.3)$$

the momentum

$$\mathcal{I}m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(x, t) \bar{u}(x, t) dx = \mathcal{I}m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u_0(x) \bar{u}_0(x) dx, \quad (5.4)$$

and the so-called quasiconformal law [GV1]

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (x + 2it\nabla)u(t) \|_2^2 - \frac{8\lambda t^2}{\alpha+1} \|u(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1} \\ &= \|xu_0\|_2^2 - 4\lambda \frac{(4-n(\alpha-1))}{\alpha+1} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, s)|^{\alpha+1} dx \right) s ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

We will use these identities in the next chapter.

We shall say that the equation in (5.1) is focusing if $\lambda > 0$ (attractive nonlinearity) and defocusing if $\lambda < 0$ (repulsive nonlinearity).

In any dimension the equation in (5.1) in the focusing case $\lambda > 0$ has solutions of the form,

$$u(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} \varphi(x), \quad (5.6)$$

called *standing waves* or *ground states*, which are closely related to the elliptic problem

$$-\Delta u = f(u), \quad (5.7)$$

which have been extensively studied. In our case, $f(u) = -\omega u + |u|^{\alpha-1}u$, with $\omega > 0$ (otherwise there is no solution for (5.7)) and $\lambda = 1$. Indeed, the problem is to find $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$-\Delta \varphi + \omega \varphi = |\varphi|^{\alpha-1} \varphi. \quad (5.8)$$

The existence of solutions of the equation (5.8) in dimension $n \geq 3$ was established by Strauss [Sr2] and Berestycki and Lions [BLi] (see also [BLiP]). The bi-dimensional case was proved in [BGK] by Berestycki, Gallouët, and Kavian. Regarding uniqueness of solutions of (5.8), Kwong [Kw1] showed that positive solutions of the problem (5.7) with $f(u) = -u + u^p$ are unique up to translations. We summarize these results in the next theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $1 < \alpha < (n+2)/(n-2)$ ($1 < \alpha < \infty$, $n = 2$). Then there exists a unique positive, spherically symmetric solution of (5.8) $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, φ and its derivatives up to order 2 decay exponentially at infinity.*

Remark 5.1. The restriction on α comes from Pohozaev's identity (5.81) since we want to have H^1 solutions of (5.8) (see Exercise 5.3).

Remark 5.2. There are infinitely many radially symmetric solutions under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1 without the positivity assumption (see [BLi], [E], [JK]).

As we will see below once we have a solution of (5.1) we can use the invariance of the equation to generate other solutions. Thus if $u = u(x, t)$ is a solution of the equation (5.1) then the following are also solutions:

- (i) $u_\mu(x, t) = \mu^{\frac{2}{\alpha-1}} u(\mu x, \mu^2 t)$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, with initial data given by

$$u_{0\mu}(x) = \mu^{\frac{2}{\alpha-1}} u_0(\mu x). \quad (5.9)$$
- (ii) $u_\theta(x, t) = e^{i\theta} u(x, t)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (iii) $u_A(x, t) = u(Ax, t)$, A any $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix.
- (iv) $u_{a,b}(x, t) = u(x - a, t - b)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (v) $u_c(x, t) = e^{ic \cdot x} e^{-i|c|^2 t} u(x - 2tc, t)$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with initial data $u_c(x, 0) = e^{ic \cdot x} u_0(x)$.
- (vi) In addition, if $\alpha = 4/n + 1$, then ([GV1])

$$u_\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \omega t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(\frac{i\omega|x|^2}{4(\alpha + \omega t)}\right) \times \\ u\left(\frac{\gamma + \theta t}{\alpha + \omega t}, \frac{x}{\alpha + \omega t}\right), \quad \alpha\theta - \omega\gamma = 1.$$

The property (i) is called scaling, (v) Galilean invariant, and (vi) the pseudo-conformal invariant.

Hence gathering this information one gets the multiparametric family of solutions $R = R(v, \omega, \theta, x_0)$ with $v, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$R(x, t) = e^{i(v \cdot x - |v|^2 t + \omega t + \theta)} \varphi(x - x_0 - 2vt) \quad (5.11)$$

of (5.1) with $\lambda = 1$ (focusing case), where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is the positive solution of (5.8). Notice that the solitary wave in (5.11) moves on the line $x = x_0 + vt$. In the one-dimensional case the equation (5.8) becomes an ODE and one has that

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_\omega(x) = \left\{ \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{2} \omega \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \sqrt{\omega} x\right) \right\}^{1/(\alpha - 1)}. \quad (5.12)$$

Thus, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_p = \|u_0\|_p = K(\alpha, \omega). \quad (5.13)$$

From the nonlinear differential equations point of view, the existence of the solitary wave describes a perfect balance between the nonlinearity and the dispersive character of its linear part. More precisely, although the solutions of the linear problem $e^{it\Delta} u_0$ with $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see (4.12) for the case $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (4.13) for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$). These solutions of (5.1) neither decay nor develop singularities. The latter situation will be addressed in the next chapter.

5.1 L^2 Theory

We consider the integral equation (see Exercise 4.11)

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{\alpha-1} u)(t') dt'. \quad (5.14)$$

The difference between this equation and the one in (5.1) is that this one does not require any differentiability on the solution. Using the properties described on Proposition 4.2, it is easy to see that if u is a solution of the differential equation in (5.1) then it is also a solution of (5.14). We shall prove in Section 5.3 that under some hypotheses on α and n , if $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the solution of (5.14) also satisfies the differential equation (5.1).

We will say that the integral equation (5.14) is *locally well-posed* in X , where X is a function space, if for every $u_0 \in X$ there exists $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0, T] : X) \cap \dots$ of (5.14) for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. Moreover, the map data-solution, i.e., $u_0 \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$ locally defined from X to $C([0, T] : X)$ is continuous. Hence the solution's existence, uniqueness, and persistence (the solution $u(t)$ belongs to the same space than the initial data and its time trajectory describes a curve on it). Thus, solutions of (5.14) define a dynamical system in X . In the case that T can be taken arbitrarily large, we shall say that (5.14) is *globally well-posed* in X . As we shall see below in the subcritical case one has that $T = T(\|u_0\|_X) > 0$ and in the critical case that $T = T(u_0) > 0$. These definitions of local and global well-posedness also apply to the IVP (5.1).

Our first result says that under some restriction on the power of the nonlinearity, $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/n)$, problem (5.14) is locally well-posed in L^2 .

Theorem 5.2 (Local theory in L^2). *If $1 < \alpha < 1 + 4/n$, then for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_2, n, \lambda, \alpha) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the integral equation (5.14) in the time interval $[-T, T]$ with*

$$u \in C([-T, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T, T] : L^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (5.15)$$

where $r = 4(\alpha + 1)/n(\alpha - 1)$.

Moreover, for all $T' < T$ there exists a neighborhood V of u_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\mathbb{F} : V \mapsto C([-T', T'] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T', T'] : L^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \tilde{u}_0 \mapsto \tilde{u}(t),$$

is Lipschitz.

As we shall see in the proof of Theorem 5.2 (see (5.23)) and in Exercise 5.4, one can give a precise estimate for the life span of the solution according to the size of the data in L^2 -norm. This fact holds whenever the problem is “subcritical” and the scaling of the norm of the initial data is homogeneous, i.e., in our case if $u = u(x, t)$ is a solution of (5.1) or (5.14), then

$$u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{2/(\alpha-1)} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t),$$

is also a solution with data $u_\lambda(x, 0) = \lambda^{2/(\alpha-1)} u_0(\lambda x)$ so that

$$\|u_\lambda(0)\|_2 = \lambda^{2/(\alpha-1)-2/n} \|u_0\|_2.$$

If in addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 5.2 one has that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 0$, and $\alpha \geq [s] + 1$, $[s]$ denoting the largest integer $\leq s$, then

$$u \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T, T] : L_s^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (5.16)$$

with T as in the theorem. This fact holds in any subcritical case with a regular enough nonlinearity, since by taking s derivatives the problem becomes linear in this variable.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is based on the contraction mapping principle. This has the advantage that it also shows that if the nonlinearity is smooth, i.e., α is an odd integer, then the map data-solution $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ is smooth (see Corollary 5.6).

Corollary 5.1. *The solution u of equation (5.14) obtained in Theorem 5.2 belongs to $L^q([-T, T] : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all (p, q) defined by condition (4.16) of Theorem 4.2, that is:*

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} 2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ 2 \leq p < \infty & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty & \text{if } n = 1 \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } \frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}. \quad (5.17)$$

In the proof of Theorem 5.2 we use the following notation: For all positive constants T and a we define

$$E(T, a) = \left\{ v \in C([-T, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T, T] : L^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \right. \\ \left. \|v\|_r \equiv \sup_{[-T, T]} \|v(t)\|_2 + \left(\int_{-T}^T \|v(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq a \right\} \quad (5.18)$$

with $1 < \alpha < 1 + 4/n$ and $r = 4(\alpha + 1)/n(\alpha - 1)$. Note that $E(T_0, a)$ is a complete metric space.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. For appropriate values of a and $T > 0$ we shall show that

$$\Phi_{u_0}(u)(t) = \Phi(u)(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t e^{i\Delta(t-t')} (|u|^{\alpha-1} u)(t') dt' \quad (5.19)$$

defines a contraction map on $E(T, a)$.

Without loss of generality we consider only the case $t > 0$. Using (4.13), (4.14), and Hölder's inequality combined with the definition $\Phi(\cdot)$ in (5.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} &\leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \| |u(t)|^\alpha \|_{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}^{r'} dt \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{(\alpha+1)}^{\alpha r'} dt \right)^{1/r'}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

By hypothesis ($1 < \alpha < 1 + 4/n$) we have that $\alpha r' < r$, that is,

$$\alpha \frac{r}{r-1} < r \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha < r-1 = \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{n(\alpha-1)} - 1.$$

Therefore from (5.20) we deduce that

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| T^\theta \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{\alpha/r} \quad (5.21)$$

with $\theta = 1 - n(\alpha-1)/4 > 0$. Then, if $u \in E(T, a)$ we have

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| T^\theta a^\alpha.$$

Using (4.15) and the unitary group properties in expression (5.19), we obtain that if $u \in E(T, a)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{[0, T]} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_2 &\leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \| |u|^{\alpha} \|_{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}^{r'} dt \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| T^\theta a^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

where the constant c depends only on α and the dimension n . Hence,

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_T \leq c \|u_0\|_2 + c |\lambda| T^\theta a^\alpha.$$

If we fix $a = 2c \|u_0\|_2$ and take $T > 0$ such that

$$2^\alpha c^\alpha |\lambda| T^\theta \|u_0\|_2^{\alpha-1} < 1 \quad (5.23)$$

it follows that the application Φ is well-defined on $E(T, a)$. Now, if $u, v \in E(T, a)$,

$$(\Phi(v) - \Phi(u))(t) = i\lambda \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|v|^{\alpha-1}v - |u|^{\alpha-1}u)(t') dt'.$$

The same argument as in (5.20) and (5.21) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_0^T \|(\Phi(v) - \Phi(u))(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \\
& \leq c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \| |v|^{\alpha-1}v - |u|^{\alpha-1}u \|_{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}^{r'} dt \right)^{1/r'} \\
& \leq c_\alpha |\lambda| \left(\int_0^T (\|v\|_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha-1} + \|u\|_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha-1})^{r'} \|v - u\|_{\alpha+1}^{r'}(t) dt \right)^{1/r'} \\
& \leq c_\alpha |\lambda| T^\theta \left\{ \left(\int_0^T \|v\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{(\alpha-1)/r} + \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{(\alpha-1)/r} \right\} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^T \|v(t) - u(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \\
& \leq 2c_\alpha |\lambda| T^\theta a^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_0^T \|v(t) - u(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.15) with the unitary group properties and the arguments used in (5.20) and (5.21) we see as in (5.22) that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|(\Phi(v) - \Phi(u))(t)\|_2 \leq 2c_\alpha |\lambda| T^\theta a^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_0^T \|v(t) - u(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r}.$$

Finally, it follows from the choice of a , $a \leq 2c\|u_0\|_2$, and inequality (5.23) that

$$2c|\lambda| T^\theta a^{\alpha-1} \leq 2^\alpha c_\alpha |\lambda| T^\theta \|u_0\|_2^{\alpha-1} < 1.$$

Hence,

$$T \simeq \|u_0\|_2^\beta, \quad \text{with } \beta = \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{4-n(\alpha-1)}. \quad (5.24)$$

Thus, we have proved the existence and uniqueness in an appropriate class of the solution of equation (5.14). To prove the continuous dependence of $\Phi(u(t)) = \Phi_{u_0}(u(t))$ with respect to u_0 , note that if u, v are the corresponding solutions of (5.14) with initial data u_0, v_0 , respectively, then

$$u(t) - v(t) = e^{it\Delta}(u_0 - v_0) + i\lambda \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{\alpha-1}u - |v|^{\alpha-1}v)(t') dt'.$$

Therefore the same argument used in (5.20) and (5.21) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq c \|u_0 - v_0\|_2 \\ & + K_\alpha |\lambda| T^\theta (\|u_0\|_2^{\alpha-1} + \|v_0\|_2^{\alpha-1}) \left(\int_0^T \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence if $\|u_0 - v_0\|_2$ is small enough (see (5.23)), then

$$\left(\int_0^T \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq \tilde{K} \|u_0 - v_0\|_2.$$

Analogously we can prove that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_2 \leq \tilde{K} \|u_0 - v_0\|_2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Corollary 5.1. The proof is obtained by combining Corollary 4.1 with inequality (5.20). That is, taking (p, q) in Corollary 4.1 instead of $(\alpha + 1, r)$ on the left hand side of (5.20) and then using the argument in the proof of Theorem 5.2. The details of this proof are left as an exercise to the reader. \square

Remark 5.3. Observe that in the proof of Theorem 5.2 we only used the hypothesis on the growth of the nonlinear term but not its particular form.

Next we show how to extend the argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.2 to the critical case $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (p, q) be a pair satisfying condition (5.17) in Corollary 5.1. Given $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $T > 0$ such that if $\|v_0 - u_0\|_2 < \delta$, then*

$$\left(\int_0^T \|e^{it\Delta} v_0\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} < \varepsilon. \quad (5.25)$$

Proof. If we take $\delta < \varepsilon/2c$, then it is enough to show that

$$\left(\int_0^T \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} < \varepsilon/2. \quad (5.26)$$

We choose $\tilde{u}_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|u_0 - \tilde{u}_0\|_2 < \varepsilon/4c$ and then combining Theorem 4.2 (inequality (4.13)), the fact that $\{e^{it\Delta}\}$ defines a unitary group in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Sobolev's inequality (Theorem 3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} &\leq \left(\int_0^T \|e^{it\Delta} (\tilde{u}_0 - u_0)\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} + \left(\int_0^T \|e^{it\Delta} \tilde{u}_0\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c \|\tilde{u}_0 - u_0\|_2 + cT^{1/q} \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{s,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s > n(1/2 - 1/p)$. Fixing T such that $cT^{1/q} \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{s,2} < \varepsilon/4$, then we obtain (5.26). \square

Theorem 5.3 (Critical case, $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *If $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, then for each $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $T = T(u_0, \lambda, \alpha) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the integral equation (5.14) in the time interval $[-T, T]$ with*

$$u \in C([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\sigma([-T, T]; L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (5.27)$$

where $\sigma = 2 + 4/n$.

Moreover, for all $T' < T$ there exists a neighborhood V of u_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\mathbb{F} : V \mapsto C([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\sigma([-T', T']; L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \tilde{u}_0 \mapsto \tilde{u}(t),$$

is Lipschitz.

Remark 5.4. Notice that the time of existence in Theorem 5.2 depends only on the size of u_0 (that is, on $\|u_0\|_2$); meanwhile in Theorem 5.3 the time of existence depends on the position of u_0 , and not only on its size.

Proof. We shall show that $\Phi_{u_0} = \Phi$ in (5.19) defines a contraction in

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(T, a) &= \left\{ v \in C([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\sigma([-T, T]; L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|v\|_T \equiv \sup_{[-T, T]} \|v(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_2 + \left(\int_{-T}^T \|v(t)\|_{\sigma'}^{\sigma'} dt \right)^{1/\sigma'} \leq a \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

First from (5.19) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{[0, T]} \|\Phi(u)(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_2 &\leq \sup_{[0, T]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i\Delta(t-t')} \lambda |u|^\alpha(t') dt' \right\|_2 \\ &\leq c |\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{\sigma'}^{\alpha \sigma'} dt \right)^{1/\sigma'} \\ &\leq c |\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{\sigma}^{\alpha/\sigma} dt \right)^{\alpha/\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the pair (σ, σ') satisfies condition (5.17) of Corollary 5.1. Then, combining the integral equation (5.19), estimates (4.13), and

(5.25) with $(p, q) = (\sigma, \sigma)$, with the argument used on (5.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_\sigma^\sigma dt \right)^{1/\sigma} &\leq c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \| |u(t)|^\alpha \|_{\sigma'}^{\sigma'} dt \right)^{1/\sigma'} \\ &\leq c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_\sigma^\sigma dt \right)^{\alpha/\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

because $\alpha\sigma' = (1 + 4/n) ((2n + 4)/(n + 4)) = 2 + 4/n = \sigma$.

From Proposition 5.1, inequalities (5.28), and (5.29) we have that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that if $u \in \tilde{E}(T, a)$ then

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_T \leq c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| a^\alpha.$$

Therefore, if

$$c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| a^\alpha < a \quad (5.30)$$

we have that $\Phi(\tilde{E}(T, a)) \subseteq \tilde{E}(T, a)$. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.2 yields

$$\left(\int_0^T \|(\Phi(v) - \Phi(u))(t)\|_\sigma^\sigma dt \right)^{1/\sigma} \leq 2c|\lambda| a^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_0^T \|v(t) - u(t)\|_\sigma^\sigma dt \right)^{1/\sigma}.$$

Thus, for

$$2c|\lambda| a^{\alpha-1} < 1/2 \quad (5.31)$$

we have that $\Phi(\cdot)$ is a contraction. Now, fixing $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$c|\lambda| \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} < 1/2$$

we see that both (5.30) and (5.31) are verified. This basically completes the proof, the remainder follows using the same argument employed to show Theorem 5.2. \square

Corollary 5.2. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on λ and n such that for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|u_0\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_0$, the results of Theorem 5.3 extends to any time interval $[0, T]$, i.e,*

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\sigma(\mathbb{R} : L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \sigma = 2 + 4/n. \quad (5.32)$$

Proof. It is enough to note that if $\|u_0\|_2$ is sufficiently small, then taking $\varepsilon = \|u_0\|_2$ and $a = 2\|u_0\|_2$ (both are independent of T ,) and

$$c|\lambda| \|u_0\|_2^{\alpha-1} < 1/2,$$

we see that (5.30) and (5.31) hold. \square

Combining the results in Corollary 5.2 and those in Exercise 6.2 (concerning the scattering of the solutions obtained in Corollary 5.2) one should expect that the

constant ε in Corollary 5.2 be given by $\|\varphi\|_2$ where φ is the positive solution of the equation in (5.8) with $\omega = 1$ and $\alpha = 1 + 4/\alpha$. This has been proved in the radial case and for dimension $n = 2$ in [KTV].

5.2 H^1 Theory

We consider the integral equation (5.14) with $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the nonlinearity α satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 1 < \alpha < \frac{n+2}{n-2}, & \text{if } n > 2 \\ 1 < \alpha < \infty, & \text{if } n = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.33)$$

Theorem 5.4 (Local theory in H^1). *If α satisfies hypothesis (5.33), then for all $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_{1,2}, n, \lambda, \alpha) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the integral equation (5.14) in the time interval $[-T, T]$ with*

$$u \in C([-T, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T, T] : L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (5.34)$$

where $(p, r) = \left(\frac{n(\alpha+1)}{n+\alpha-1}, \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{(n-2)(\alpha-1)} \right)$ for $n \geq 3$, and (p, r) satisfies (5.17) for $n = 1, 2$, and L_1^p is defined as in (3.36).

Moreover, for all $T' < T$ there exists a neighborhood W of u_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the function

$$\mathbb{F} : W \mapsto C([-T', T'] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T', T'] : L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \tilde{u}_0 \mapsto \tilde{u}(t),$$

is Lipschitz.

If in addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 5.4 one has that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 1$, and $\alpha \geq [s] + 1$, $[s]$ denoting the largest integer $\leq s$, then

$$u \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([0, T] : L_s^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (5.35)$$

where $[0, T]$ is the same time interval given for $s = 1$. As in (5.16) the problem becomes linear in $D_x^s u$ once one takes D_x^s in the equation and the result follows by reapplying the argument in the proof of Theorem 5.4 in this linear equation whose coefficients (depending on u) have sufficient regularity to get the desired result.

As we shall see in the next chapter, in the critical case a similar result was quite difficult to establish.

Corollary 5.3. *The solution of the integral equation (5.14) obtained in Theorem 5.4 belongs to $u \in L^q([-T, T] : L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all pair (p, q) defined by condition (5.17) in Corollary 5.1. Moreover, in these spaces, the solution depends continuously on the initial data.*

The proof of this theorem is similar to the one given in the previous section for the L^2 case, therefore we will only give a sketch of it.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. We will show the theorem in the case $n \geq 3$. We first define:

$$E^1(T, a) = \left\{ v \in C([-T, T]: H^1) \cap L^r([-T, T]: L_1^\rho) : \|v\|_T \equiv \sup_{[-T, T]} \|v(t)\|_{1,2} + \left(\int_{-T}^T (\|v(t)\|_\rho^r + \|\nabla_x v(t)\|_\rho^r) dt \right)^{1/r} \leq a \right\}. \quad (5.36)$$

Notice that the pair (ρ, r) is an admissible pair (see Corollary 4.1).

We prove that there exist positive constants T and a such that the operator defined in (5.19) is a contraction on $E^1(T, a)$.

Combining Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev inequality (Theorem 3.3) it follows that

$$\| |u|^{\alpha-1} \nabla u \|_{\rho'} \leq c \| |u|^{\alpha-1} \|_l \| \nabla u \|_\rho \leq c \| u \|_{(\alpha-1)l}^{\alpha-1} \| \nabla u \|_\rho \leq c \| \nabla u \|_\rho^\alpha.$$

Thus

$$\| |u|^{\alpha-1} u \|_{1, \rho'} \leq c \| u \|_{1, \rho}^\alpha, \quad (5.37)$$

with $1/\rho' = 1/l + 1/\rho$. Then

$$\frac{1}{l} = 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \text{ and } \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)l} = \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{n}, \text{ i.e., } \frac{1}{l} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\rho} - \frac{\alpha-1}{n}.$$

Therefore $(\alpha+1)/\rho = (n+\alpha-1)/n$.

Using Corollary 4.1, (5.19), and (5.37) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \Phi(u) \|_T &\leq c \| u_0 \|_{1,2} + c \left(\int_0^T \| |u|^{\alpha-1} u(t) \|_{1, \rho'}^{r'} dt \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq c \| u_0 \|_{1,2} + c \left(\int_0^T \| u(t) \|_{1, \rho}^{\alpha r'} dt \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq c \| u_0 \|_{1,2} + c T^\delta \left(\int_0^T \| u(t) \|_{1, \rho}^r dt \right)^{\alpha/r}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

with $\delta = 1 - (\alpha+1)/r = 1 - (n-2)(\alpha-1)/4$. Hence, taking $a = 2c \| u_0 \|_{1,2}$ in (5.36) we get from (5.38) that

$$\| \Phi(u) \|_T \leq c \| u_0 \|_{1,2} + c T^\delta \| u \|_T^\alpha \leq \frac{a}{2} + c T^\delta \frac{a^\alpha}{(2c)^\alpha} \leq a$$

if T is sufficiently small, i.e.,

$$\frac{cT^\delta}{(2c)^\alpha} a^{\alpha-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus

$$T \lesssim a^{(1-\alpha)/\delta}. \quad (5.39)$$

To complete the proof of existence and uniqueness of the solution it is enough to show that the operator Φ is a contraction. The proof of this as well as the continuous dependence is similar to the one given in the previous section, so it will be omitted. \square

Remark 5.5. As we commented in the previous section, in the proof of this (local) result we did not use the particular structure of the nonlinear term.

Theorem 5.5. (Critical case, $\alpha = (n+2)/(n-2)$, $n > 2$, in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Let $n > 2$ and $\alpha = (n+2)/(n-2)$. Given $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist $T = T(u_0, n, \lambda, \alpha) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the integral equation (5.14) in the time interval $[-T, T]$ with

$$u \in C([-T, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T, T]; L_1^\rho(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

where $r = 2n/(n-2)$, $\rho = 2n^2/(n^2 - 2n + 4)$ and L_1^ρ is defined as in (3.36).

Moreover, for all $T' < T$ there exists a neighborhood W of u_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the function

$$\mathbb{F}: W \rightarrow C([-T, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([-T, T]; L_1^\rho(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \tilde{u}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{u}(t),$$

is Lipschitz.

Remark 5.6. We notice that the time of existence depends on the initial data. In Theorem 5.4 it depends only on the size of u_0 , that is, on $\|u_0\|_{1,2}$. In Theorem 5.5 the interval of existence depends on the position of u_0 , and not only on its size.

Proof. Observe that the pair $(r, \rho) = (2n/(n-2), 2n^2/(n^2 - 2n + 4))$ satisfies condition (5.17) of Corollary 5.1. First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla_x(|u|^{\alpha-1}u)\|_{\rho'}^{r'} \right)^{1/r'} &\leq c \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla_x u\|_\rho^r \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_0^T \| |u|^{\alpha-1} \|_v^l \right)^{1/l} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla_x u\|_\rho^r \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{v(\alpha-1)}^{l(\alpha-1)} \right)^{1/l}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

where $1/r + 1/r' = 1/\rho + 1/\rho' = 1$, $1/\rho' = 1/\rho + 1/v$, and $1/r' = 1/r + 1/l$. Using that $(\alpha, r, \rho) = ((n+2)/(n-2), 2n/(n-2), 2n^2/(n^2 - 2n + 4))$ we have $l(\alpha-1) = r$ and $v(\alpha-1) = 2n^2/(n-2)^2$. Then using Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequality (3.13) it follows

$$\|u\|_{v(\alpha-1)} \leq c \|u\|_{1,p} = c (\|u\|_p + \|\nabla_x u\|_p). \quad (5.41)$$

Combining (5.40), (5.41), Proposition 5.1, Theorem 4.2, and the notation used in the proof of Theorem 5.4, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{1,p}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \\ & \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_p^r dt \right)^{1/r} + \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla_x \Phi(u)(t)\|_p^r dt \right)^{1/r} \\ & \leq c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_p^r dt \right)^{1/r} \\ & \quad + c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla_x u\|_p^r dt \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{1,p}^r dt \right)^{(\alpha-1)/r} \\ & \leq c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{1,p}^r dt \right)^{\alpha/r}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\sup_{[0,T_0]} \|\Phi(u)(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{1,2} \leq c|\lambda| \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{1,p}^r dt \right)^{\alpha/r}. \quad (5.43)$$

Therefore, defining

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^1(T, a) = & \left\{ v \in C([0, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r([0, T] : L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \right. \\ & \left. \|v\|_T \equiv \sup_{[0,T_0]} \|v(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{1,2} + \left(\int_0^T \|v\|_{1,p}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq a \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (5.43) and (5.42) we have that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that if $u \in \tilde{E}^1(T, a)$ then

$$\|\Phi(u)(t)\| \leq c\varepsilon + c|\lambda| a^\alpha. \quad (5.44)$$

Once inequality (5.44) is established, the remainder of the proof follows an argument used previously, so it will be omitted. \square

Corollary 5.4. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on λ and n such that for all $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\nabla u_0\|_2$ small the results of Theorem 5.5 extend to all time intervals $[0, T]$, so*

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R} : L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad (5.45)$$

with (r, ρ) as in Theorem 5.5.

Proof. Once Theorem 5.5 is established, we follow the argument used in the proof of Corollary 5.2. \square

5.3 H^2 Theory

Consider again the integral equation (5.14) with $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Assume that the nonlinearity α satisfies

$$\begin{cases} 2 \leq \alpha < \frac{n}{n-4}, & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ 2 \leq \alpha < \infty, & \text{if } n \leq 4. \end{cases} \quad (5.46)$$

Theorem 5.6 (Local theory in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *If α satisfies (5.46), then for all $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_{2,2,n,\lambda,\alpha}) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the integral equation (5.14) in the interval of time $[-T, T]$ with*

$$u \in C([-T, T]: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^q([-T, T]: L_2^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad (5.47)$$

for all pairs (p, q) defined by condition (4.16) of Corollary 5.1.

Moreover, for all $T' < T$ there exists a neighborhood W of u_0 in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all pairs (p, q) in (4.16) the function

$$\mathbb{F}: W \mapsto C([-T', T']: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^q([-T', T']: L_2^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \tilde{u}_0 \mapsto \tilde{u}(t),$$

is Lipschitz.

The proof of this result is similar to the one exposed to establish Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.1, so it is left to the reader to complete the details.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.5 we obtain the following relation between the differential equation (5.1) and integral equation (5.14).

Corollary 5.5. *If u is the solution of equation (5.14) obtained in Theorem 5.6, then for all pair (p, q) which verifies condition (5.17) of Corollary 5.1 we have*

$$\partial_t u \in L^q([-T, T]: L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Moreover, u is the (unique) solution of the differential equation (5.1) in the time interval $[-T, T]$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.3 and hypothesis (5.46) on the nonlinearity, it is easy to see that $u \in C([-T, T]: H^2)$ implies that $|u|^{\alpha-1}u \in C([-T, T]: L^2)$. Combining Theorem 5.6, which guarantees $\Delta u \in C([-T, T]: L^2)$ with the previous results and the integral equation (5.14), we see that $\partial_t u \in C([-T, T]: L^2)$, and that the differential equation in (5.1) is realized in the space $C([-T, T]: L^2)$.

The end of the proof is left as an exercise to the reader. \square

In the next chapter we will use the identities (5.2) and (5.3) to establish global solutions. To justify them we present the following result in H^2 .

Theorem 5.7.

1. Let $u \in C([-T, T]: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^q([-T, T]: L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be the solution of integral equation (5.14) obtained in Section 5.1. If $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$u \in C([-T, T]: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^q([-T, T]: L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)). \quad (5.48)$$

2. Let $u \in C([-T, T]: H^1) \cap L^q([-T, T]: L_1^p)$ be the solution of the integral equation (5.14) obtained in Section 5.2. If $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha \geq 2$, then $u \in C([-T, T]: H^2)$ and satisfies the differential equation (5.1) and estimates (5.2)–(5.3).

Proof. We prove only part 1. of the theorem. Given $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know by Theorem 5.4 that there exists $T' > 0$ such that $u \in C([-T', T']: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$. If $T' > T$ it is easy to see that the solution in L^2 can be extended to the interval $[-T', T']$. Thus we assume that $T' < T$. To get the desired result it is enough to prove that

$$\sup_{[0, T']} \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \leq K \|u(0)\|_{1,2}$$

with K depending only on T and $M = \sup\{\|u(t)\|_2 : t \in [0, T]\}$.

Differentiate the integral equation (5.14) and use the notation $v_j = \partial_{x_j} u$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, to have that

$$v_j(t) = e^{it\Delta} v_j(0) + i\lambda \alpha \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{\alpha-1} v_j)(t') dt', \quad (5.49)$$

which is a linear integral equation, because $u(\cdot)$ is known in the time interval $[0, T]$. With the same method used in the proof of Theorem 5.2, it is easy to see that this new integral equation (5.49) has unique solution on $[0, \Delta T]$, where ΔT depends on α , λ , n , and M , which remains constant in the interval $[0, T]$. Combining this result with an iterative argument we obtain (5.48), which leads to the result. \square

Now we explain how to use Theorems 5.6 and 5.7 to justify the use of identities (5.2) and (5.3) in the proof of theorems (global) respectively.

Assume that $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha \in (2, 1 + 4/n)$, we choose $\{u_0^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|u_0^k - u_0\|_2 = o(1)$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Combining Theorems 5.2, 5.6, and 5.7, we see that for all $T > 0$ there exist $u^k \in C([-T, T]: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $k = 1, \dots$, a solution of (5.1) and (5.14) with initial data u_0^k . Since it satisfies the differential equation in (5.1), we infer that for all $t \in [-T, T]$,

$$\|u^k(t)\|_2 = \|u_0^k\|_2,$$

i.e., identity (5.2). From Theorem 5.2 (continuous dependence on the initial data) we have that $\sup_{[-T', T']} \|u^k(t) - u(t)\|_2 = o(1)$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $T' < T$. Thus,

$$\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2 \quad \text{for all } t \in [-T', T']. \quad (5.50)$$

This identity allows us to reapply Theorem 5.2 and extend the solution to the interval $[-(T' + \Delta T'), T' + \Delta T']$, where (using the same argument) identity (5.50) still holds. By successive applications of this step we obtain the desired result (identity (5.41) in any time interval).

Finally, the case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ requires some changes: For initial data $u_0^k \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we will have the nonlinear term $\rho_k * (|\rho_k * u|^{\alpha-1} \rho_k * u)$, where $\rho_k(\cdot) = k^n \rho(\cdot/k)$, with $\rho(\cdot)$ an approximation of the identity. In this case it will be necessary to prove the stability of the solution in L^2 with respect to initial data and the nonlinear term.

As we remarked at the end of Theorem 5.2 all the previous existence proofs are based on the contraction principle. This approach has the advantage that it also shows that for smooth nonlinearity the map data-solution is smooth.

This general fact follows from the implicit function theorem. However, to simplify the exposition we will sketch the details in the case of Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.6. *Assume the same hypotheses of Theorem 5.2. Suppose $F(u, \bar{u}) = i\lambda|u|^{\alpha-1}u$ is smooth (i.e., $\alpha - 1$ is an even integer). Then there exists a neighborhood \tilde{V} of $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the map $\mathbb{F} : u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ from \tilde{V} into $E(T, a)$ is smooth.*

Proof. Define for $F(u, \bar{u}) = i\lambda|u|^{\alpha-1}u$

$$\begin{aligned} H : V \times E(T, a) &\mapsto E(T, a) \\ (v_0, v(t)) &\mapsto v(t) - \Phi_{v_0}(v)(t) \\ &= v(t) - (e^{it\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(v, \bar{v})(t') dt'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus H is smooth, $H(u_0, u(t)) = 0$, and

$$D_v H(u_0, u(t))v(t) = v(t) + \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} [\partial_v F(u, \bar{u})v + \partial_{\bar{v}} F(u, \bar{u})\bar{v}](t') dt'.$$

From the proof of Theorem 5.2 is easy to see that

$$\|v\| (1 - c|\lambda|T^\theta a^{\alpha-1}) \leq \|D_u H(u_0, u(t))v\| \leq \|v\| (1 + c|\lambda|T^\theta a^{\alpha-1})$$

for any choice of a in (5.23). Then

$$D_u H(u_0, u(t)) : E(T, a) \rightarrow E(T, a)$$

is one-to-one and onto. Thus by the implicit function theorem there exists $h : \tilde{V} \rightarrow E(T, a)$ smooth ($\tilde{V} \subset V$ neighborhood of $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) such that

$$H(v_0, h(v_0)) = 0, \quad \forall v_0 \in \tilde{V}$$

so

$$h(v_0) = e^{it\Delta} v_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(h(v_0), \overline{h(v_0)})(t') dt'$$

is a solution of (5.14) with data v_0 (instead of u_0). \square

5.4 Comments

The L^2 theory exposed on Section 5.1 was obtained by Y. Tsutsumi [T1] in the case $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/n)$. The critical case L^2 ($\alpha = 1 + 4/n$) was established by Cazenave and Weissler [CzW3]. The results of Section 5.2 were taken from references [CzW2], [GV1], [K1], and [T2]. Finally, the H^2 theory can be found in [K2].

It is important to note that Theorems 5.2, 5.4, and 5.6 prove that, under some conditions on the power of the nonlinearity α , the solutions of the integral equation possess, at least locally in time, the same smoothing properties as the Strichartz type (discussed in Section 4.2, Theorem 4.2) that the solution of the associated linear problem.

From the proof of Theorem 5.3 one sees that the conditions on the data u_0 in the existence results can be significantly weaker. To simplify the exposition let us concentrate on the results in Theorem 5.3: Instead of $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one can take $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t)} < \infty, \quad \sigma = 2 + \frac{4}{n} \quad (5.51)$$

to get the same local result, or

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t)} \ll 1 \quad (5.52)$$

to obtain a global one in the function space $u(\cdot)$ with

$$u - e^{it\Delta} u_0 \in C([0, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ and } u \in L^\sigma([0, T] : L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)). \quad (5.53)$$

Several methods to construct $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (5.51) or (5.52) hold (or simply, $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|u_0\|_2 \gg 1$ such that (5.52) hold) have been developed.

Let us consider first the last problem. Without loss of generality assume the one-dimensional case. We will use examples 4.1–4.3 Chapter 4 to obtain $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u_0\|_2 \gg 1$ such that (5.52) holds.

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq B_1(0)$ and $\|\varphi\|_2 = 1$. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and define

$$u_0^N(x) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi(x - v_j) e^{2\pi i \mu_j x} = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(x), \quad (5.54)$$

where v_1, \dots, v_N and μ_1, \dots, μ_N are numbers chosen such that for $t > 0$ the “cones” containing most of the mass of $u_j(x, t) = e^{it\Delta} \varphi_j$, i.e., for $t_0 \gg 1$ fixed

$$c_j = \{(x, t) : \frac{(2N-1)t_0 + 1}{t_0} t - 1 \leq x \leq \frac{(2N+1)t_0 - 1}{t_0} t + 1, 0 \leq t \leq t_0\},$$

do not overlap. Thus

$$\|u_0^N\|_2 = \sqrt{N} \quad (5.55)$$

and using that outside c_j , $u_j(x, t)$ decays exponentially (for a t fixed and $|x| \rightarrow \infty$), one can show (for a similar computation see [Vi2])

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} u_0^N\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{it\Delta} \varphi_j \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &\simeq \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |e^{it\Delta} \varphi_j(x)|^6 dx dt \right)^{1/6} \simeq N^{1/6} \end{aligned} \quad (5.56)$$

(since $\|e^{it\Delta} \varphi_j\|_{L^6}^6 \leq \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2}^6 = 1$). So by taking $v_0^N = u_0^N / \sqrt{N}$ we get a sequence of data with $\|v_0^N\|_{L^2} = 1$ and

$$\|e^{it\Delta} v_0^N\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \leq cN^{-1/3} \ll 1 \quad \text{for } N \text{ large.}$$

For the same problem, Bourgain [Bo3] introduced the following norm (two-dimensional case, $n = 2$)

$$\|u_0\|_{X_p} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-4j} \left(\frac{1}{2^{-2j}} \int_{Q_k^j} |u_0(x)|^p dx \right)^{4/p} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (5.57)$$

where $\{Q_k^j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ denotes a grid of squares with disjoint interior of side 2^{-j} parallel to the axes.

First one notices that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_p}$ scales like the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -norm, i.e., $\|f_\lambda\|_{X_p}$ with $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x)$ is independent of λ (see Exercise 5.5). In [MVV1], [MVV2] Moyua, Vargas, and Vega (improving and extending results in [Bo3]) showed that

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_x^2 \times \mathbb{R}_t)} \leq c \|u_0\|_{X_p} \quad (5.58)$$

for $12/7 \leq p \leq 2$ for any $u_0 \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and for $4(\sqrt{2}-1) \leq p < 2$ if u_0 is the characteristic function of a measurable set. Moreover, they showed that $p > 4(\sqrt{2}-1)$ is sharp.

Using (5.57)–(5.58) one can find $u_0 \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that (5.52) holds. Let

$$u_{0j}(x, y) = \chi_{\{[0, 2^{-j}] \times [0, 2^j]\}}(x, y), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (5.59)$$

It is not hard to see that $\|u_{0j}\|_{x_p} \leq 2^{-j/4}$ (Exercise 5.6) while $\|u_{0j}\|_2 \equiv 1$. Then taking

$$u_0(x, y) = \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{0j}((x, y) - (j, 0)), \quad \varepsilon > 0 \quad (5.60)$$

it follows that $u_0 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \|u_{0j}\|_{x_p} \leq c\varepsilon.$$

It is not difficult to show that solutions of (5.14) also enjoy the local regularity property described in Section 4.3. For instance, we see that the solution $u(\cdot)$ of (5.14) obtained in Theorem 5.2 satisfies

$$u \in L^2([-T, T]: H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)). \quad (5.61)$$

In fact, writing the equation (5.14) in the form

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} \left(u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-it'\Delta} (|u|^{\alpha-1} u)(t') dt' \right)$$

and using (4.21) (or (4.19) when $n = 1$) and (4.15) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\{|x| \leq R\}} \int_{-T}^T |D_x^{1/2} u(x, t)|^2 dt dx \right)^{1/2} &\leq cR \left(\|u_0\|_2 + \sup_{[-T, T]} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-it'\Delta} (|u|^{\alpha-1} u)(t') dt' \right\|_2 \right) \\ &\leq cR \left(\|u_0\|_2 + \left(\int_0^T \| |u|^{\alpha}(t) \|_{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}^{r'} dt \right)^{1/r'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = 4(\alpha + 1)/n(\alpha - 1)$. Combining (5.20) and (5.21) with Corollary 5.1, we obtain (5.61).

As we have seen along this chapter the results concerning local existence are a consequence of the estimates obtained in Theorem 4.2. Thus, the method of proof used might be extended to any group for which Theorem 4.2 can be established (locally). In particular, we obtain the same local theorems for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with real potential

$$\partial_t u = i\Delta u + V(x)u + \lambda |u|^{\alpha-1} u,$$

under some conditions on V (see the references [C], [Y]).

Theorems 5.6 and 5.7 are concerned with the regularity of solution measures in Sobolev spaces. One can also ask whether the decay properties of the data are preserved by the solution. To simplify the matter consider the case where α is an odd integer (or where the nonlinearity has the form $f(|u|^2)u$ with $f(\cdot)$ smooth). In [HNT1], [HNT2], [HNT3] Hayashi, Nakamitsu, and Tsutsumi showed that if $u_0 \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^k dx)$ with $m \geq k$ then there exists $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^1})$,

$l = \min\{m; n/2^+\}$ such that the IVP (5.1) has a unique solution

$$u \in C([0, T] : H^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^k dx)) \cap L^q([0, T] : L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(|x|^k dx))$$

with p, q as in Theorem 4.2 and where $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as in 3.36.

If $k \geq m$ then they showed that the solution u may not belong to $L^2(|x|^m dx)$ but possesses a further regularity property, roughly speaking $\partial_x^\alpha u(\cdot, t) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $t \neq 0$, for $|\alpha| \leq k$, (see [HNT1], [HNT2]).

In particular, one has that if $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the solution $u(\cdot)$ of the IVP (5.1) (with α an odd integer) belongs to $C([0, T] : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and that if $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, α an odd integer, and $1 + 4/n < \alpha < 1 + 4/(n-2)$, then $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} - \{0\})$.

The proofs given in [HNT1]–[HNT3] are based on the properties of the operators $\Gamma_j = x_j + 2it\partial_{x_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, deduced there.

In particular, using that for $\Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n)$

$$\Gamma^\alpha u = e^{i|x|^2/4t} (2it)^{|\alpha|} \partial_x^\alpha (e^{-i|x|^2/4t} u) \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad (5.62)$$

and

$$x^\alpha e^{it\Delta} u_0 = e^{it\Delta} \Gamma^\alpha u_0 \quad (5.63)$$

(see Exercise 4.3), they developed a calculus of inequalities for the operators Γ_j similar to that in (3.14) for the ∂_{x_j} . For instance, for $n = 1$ they showed that

$$\|\Gamma^m(|v|^{2\alpha} v)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq c_m \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty}^{2\alpha} \|\Gamma^m v(t)\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq t^{-1/2} \|\Gamma v(t)\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$$

(compare with (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15) in Chapter 3) which have been essential tools in the study of the asymptotic behavior of solution of (5.1).

To simplify the exposition we have presented local well-posedness results in Sobolev spaces with integer indexes, i.e., $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s = 0, 1, 2$. Concerning the local existence theory in fractional Sobolev spaces, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \geq 0$, we have the following result due to Cazenave and Weissler [CzW4].

Theorem 5.8. *Let $1 + 4/n \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $s > s_\alpha = n/2 - 2/(\alpha - 1)$, with $[s] < \alpha - 1$ if $\alpha - 1$ is not an even integer. Given $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $T = T(\|v_0\|_{s,2}; s) > 0$ and a unique strong solution $v(\cdot)$ of the IVP (5.1) satisfying*

$$v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W_{s,n}^T. \quad (5.64)$$

Moreover, given $T' \in (0, T)$ there exist a constant $r = r(\|v_0\|_{s,2}; s; T') > 0$ and a continuous, nondecreasing function $G(\cdot) = G(\|v_0\|_{s,2})$ with $G(0) = 0$ such that

$$\sup_{[0, T']} \|(v - \tilde{v})(t)\|_{s,2} \leq G(\|v_0\|_{s,2}) \|v_0 - \tilde{v}_0\|_{s,2} \quad (5.65)$$

for any $\tilde{v}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|v_0 - \tilde{v}_0\|_{s,2} < r$, i.e., the map data-solution is locally Lipschitz.

The space $W_{s,n}^T$ in (5.64) is related to the Strichartz estimates, and its precise definition will not be needed in the discussion below. We recall that for $1 < \alpha < 1 + 4/n$ the problem is locally well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

From the scaling argument, i.e., if $u(x, t)$ is a solution of the IVP (5.1), then

$$u_\mu(x, t) = \mu^{2/(\alpha-1)} u(\mu x, \mu^2 t), \quad \mu > 0, \quad (5.66)$$

is also a solution with data $u_\mu(x, 0) = \mu^{2/(\alpha-1)} u_0(\mu x)$, for which one has that

$$\|D_x^s u_\mu(\cdot, 0)\|_2 = c \mu^{2/(\alpha-1)} \mu^{s-n/2} \|u_0\|_2.$$

To have results invariant by rescaling one needs to consider data $u_0 \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) (= (-\Delta)^{-s/2} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with $s(\alpha) = s_c = n/2 - 2/(\alpha - 1)$ which is called the *critical case*. The case $s > s_c = n/2 - 2/(\alpha - 1)$ is called sub-critical case. Notice that Theorem 5.8 above corresponds to the subcritical case and Theorem 5.3 to the critical case in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($s = 0$).

So the following question arises. Are the results in Theorem 5.8 optimal? This seems to be the case. First let us consider the “focusing case,” i.e., for $\lambda > 0$ in (5.1), the following result was obtained in [BKPSV].

Theorem 5.9. *If $4/n + 1 \leq \alpha < \infty$, then the IVP (5.1) with $\lambda > 0$ is ill-posed in $H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s_c = n/2 - 2/(\alpha - 1)$, in the sense that the time of existence T and the continuous dependence cannot be expressed in term of the size of the data in the H^{s_c} -norm. More precisely, there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $\delta, t > 0$ small there exist data $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\|u_1\|_{s,2} + \|u_2\|_{s,2} \leq c_0, \quad \|u_1 - u_2\|_{s,2} \leq \delta, \quad \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{s,2} > c_0/2,$$

where $u_j(\cdot)$ denotes the solution of the IVP (5.1) with data u_j , $j = 1, 2$.

Proof. For simplicity we shall only consider the case $0 < s_c < 1$ and fix $\lambda = 1$. We consider the one-parameter family of ground state

$$v_v(x, t) = e^{ivt} \phi_v(x) = e^{ivt} v^{1/(\alpha-1)} \phi(\sqrt{v}x),$$

where the function $\phi(\cdot) = \phi_1(\cdot)$ solves the nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem (5.8) with $0 < \alpha < 4/(n-2)$ if $n > 2$. The idea is to estimate

$$\|D_x^{s_c}(v_{v_1} - v_{v_2})(t)\|_2^2$$

and

$$\|D_x^{s_c}(v_1^{1/(\alpha-1)} \phi_1(\sqrt{v_1} \cdot) - v_2^{1/(\alpha-1)} \phi_1(\sqrt{v_2} \cdot))\|_2^2.$$

Choosing $v_1 = (N+1)^2$ and $v_2 = N^2$ so that $v_1 - v_2 > 2N$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_x^{s_c}(v_{v_1} - v_{v_2})(t)\|_2^2 \\
&= \|D_x^{s_c} v_{v_1}(t)\|_2^2 + \|D_x^{s_c} v_{v_2}(t)\|_2^2 - 2\Re \left\{ e^{it(v_1 - v_2)} \langle v_{v_1}(t), v_{v_2}(t) \rangle_{s_c} \right\} \\
&= \Psi(v_1, v_2)(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Given any $T > 0$ there exist $N > c(T)$ and $t \in (0, T)$ such that

$$\Re \{ e^{it(v_1 - v_2)} \langle v_{v_1}(t), v_{v_2}(t) \rangle_{s_c} \} = 0,$$

hence

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \Psi(v_1, v_2)(t) = 2\|D_x^{s_c} \phi_1\|_2^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|D_x^{s_c}(v_{v_1} - v_{v_2})(0)\|_2^2 &= \|D_x^{s_c} v_{v_1}(0)\|_2^2 + \|D_x^{s_c} v_{v_2}(0)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - 2\Re \{ \langle v_{v_1}, v_{v_2} \rangle_{s_c} \} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by using that $v_1/v_2 \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and so

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Re \{ \langle v_{v_1}, v_{v_2} \rangle_{s_c} \} = \|D_x^{s_c} \phi_1\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, for any $T > 0$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{[0, T]} \|D_x^{s_c}(v_{v_1} - v_{v_2})(t)\|_2 = \sqrt{2}\|D_x^{s_c} \phi_1\|_2$$

while

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|D_x^{s_c}(v_1^{1/(\alpha-1)} \phi(\sqrt{v_1} \cdot) - v_2^{1/(\alpha-1)} \phi(\sqrt{v_2} \cdot))\|_2 = 0,$$

which essentially proves the result. \square

Christ, Colliander, and Tao ([CrCT1]) have shown that the results in Theorem 5.9 extend to the defocusing case $\lambda < 0$. Moreover, the following stronger ill-posedness result *norm inflation* concerning the IVP (5.1) in both the focusing and defocusing cases, was established in [CrCT3]:

Theorem 5.10. *Given $s \in (0, s_c) \exists \{u_0^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{t_m : t_m > 0\}$ with $\|u_0^m\|_{s,2} \rightarrow 0$, $t_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \uparrow \infty$ such that the corresponding solution u^m of the IVP (5.1) with $\lambda \neq 0$, and initial data $u^m(x, 0) = u_0^m(x)$ satisfies that*

$$\|u_m(\cdot, t_m)\|_{s,2} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } m \uparrow \infty. \quad (5.67)$$

In the case, $\alpha \geq 3$ this result has been strengthened in [AlCa] by showing:

Theorem 5.11. *Given $\alpha \geq 3$ and $s \in (0, s_c)$ there exists $\{u_0^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{t_m : t_m > 0\}$ with $\|u_0^m\|_{s,2} \rightarrow 0$, $t_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \uparrow \infty$ such that the corresponding solution u^m of the IVP (5.1), in the defocusing case $\lambda < 0$, with initial data $u^m(x, 0) = u_0^m(x)$ satisfies that*

$$\|u_m(\cdot, t_m)\|_{l,2} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } m \uparrow \infty, \quad \forall l \in \left(\frac{2s}{2 + (\alpha - 1)(s_c - s)}, s\right). \quad (5.68)$$

All the existence results for the IVP (5.1) discussed so far are restricted to Sobolev spaces with nonnegative index, i.e., in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \geq 0$, even in the cases when the scaling argument tells us that the critical value is negative, that is, $s(\alpha) = s_c = n/2 - 2/(\alpha - 1) < 0$. Thus, for example we can ask whether for the IVP for the cubic one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + \partial_x^2 v + \lambda |v|^2 v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.69)$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, for which $s_c = 1/2 - 2/(\alpha - 1) = -1/2$, one can obtain a local existence result in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, with $s < 0$ (we recall that Theorem 5.2 provides the result in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, with $s \geq 0$). In this regard, we have the following result found in [KPV4].

Theorem 5.12. *If $s \in (-1/2, 0)$, then the mapping data-solution $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$, where $u(t)$ solves the IVP (5.69) with $\lambda > 0$ (focusing case), is not uniformly continuous.*

In [VV] and [Gr3] Vargas and Vega, and Grünrock found spaces which scale is below the one from L^2 but above that of $\dot{H}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., spaces whose norm is invariant by $\lambda^\theta u_0(\lambda x)$ with $\theta \in (-1/2, 0)$, for which the IVP (5.69) is locally and globally well-posed.

Remark 5.7. The result in Theorem 5.12 can be extended to higher dimensions. More precisely, it applies to the IVP

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{\rho-1} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.70)$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n < 4/(\rho - 1)$, and $s \in (n/2 - 2/(\rho - 1), 0)$.

For the IVP (5.69) on one hand one has that the map data-solution fails to be continuous, i.e., there exist data $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with arbitrary small H^s -norm for $s \leq -1/2$ whose corresponding solution $u(t)$ provided by Theorem 5.2 has arbitrary large H^s -norm at an arbitrary small time (see (5.67)). On the other hand, Theorem 5.12 for the focusing case and the results in [CrCT1] for the defocusing case, shows that the map data-solution is not uniformly continuous in H^s for $s < 0$.

In [KTa2] Koch and Tataru obtained the following a priori estimates for solutions of the IVP (5.69) improving a previous result found in [CrCT2].

Theorem 5.13 ([KTa2]). *Let $s > -1/6$. Then for all $R > 0$ there exist $R', T' > 0$ such that for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u_0\|_2 < R$ the corresponding solution of the IVP (5.69), $u \in C([0, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$ (see Theorem 5.2) satisfies that*

$$\sup_{[0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{s,2} < R'.$$

This a priori estimate allows one to establish the existence of an appropriate class of local weak solution of (5.69) (see [CrCT2]). It was conjectured in [KTa2] that the IVP (5.69) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -1/6$, with the map data-solution being locally Lipschitz.

Proof of Theorem 5.12. As in the previous proof consider the one parameter family of ground state solutions (with $n = 1$ in this case)

$$v_\omega(x, t) = e^{it\omega^2} \varphi_\omega(x),$$

where $\varphi_\omega(x) = \omega \varphi(\omega x)$ and $\varphi(x) = \varphi_1(x)$ solves the nonlinear equation in (5.8) with $\omega = 1$. Using the Galilean invariance (5.10) we obtain the two-parameter family of solutions

$$u_{N,\omega}(x, t) = e^{-itN^2 + iNx} v_\omega(x - 2tN, t) = e^{-it(N^2 - \omega^2)} e^{iNx} \varphi_\omega(x - 2tN).$$

We fix s such that $s \in (-1/2, 0)$ and take $\omega = N^{-2s}$ and $N_1, N_2 \simeq N$.

First we calculate

$$\|u_{N_1,\omega}(0) - u_{N_2,\omega}(0)\|_{s,2}^2.$$

Observing that $\widehat{\varphi}_\omega(\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(\xi/\omega)$ so that $\widehat{\varphi}_\omega(\cdot)$ concentrates in $B_\omega(0) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : |\xi| < \omega\}$. From the choice of ω and $s > -1/2$, if $\xi \in B_\omega(\pm N)$, then $|\xi| \simeq N$. Then, a straight calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{N_1,\omega}(0) - u_{N_2,\omega}(0)\|_{s,2}^2 \\ & \leq cN^{2s} \frac{|N_1 - N_2|}{\omega^2} \left(\int_{\eta+N_2}^{\eta+N_1} d\xi \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}'_\omega(\eta)|^2 d\eta \\ & \leq cN^{2s} (N_1 - N_2)^2 \frac{1}{\omega^2} \omega = c(N^{2s} (N_1 - N_2))^2, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\|u_{N_j,\omega}(0)\|_{s,2}^2 \simeq cN^{2s} \omega = c, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Now we consider the solutions $u_{N_1,\omega}(t), u_{N_2,\omega}(t)$ at time $t = T$, and compute

$$\|u_{N_1,\omega}(T) - u_{N_2,\omega}(T)\|_{s,2}.$$

Note first that

$$\|u_{N_j,\omega}(T)\|_{s,2}^2 \simeq c, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

In fact,

$$\|u_{N_j,\omega}(T)\|_{s,2}^2 = \|u_{N_j,\omega}(0)\|_{s,2}^2 \simeq c, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Note that the frequencies of both $u_{N_j,\omega}(T)$, $j = 1, 2$, are localized around $|\xi| \simeq N$, and hence

$$\|u_{N_1,\omega}(T) - u_{N_2,\omega}(T)\|_{s,2}^2 \simeq N^{2s} \|u_{N_1,\omega}(T) - u_{N_2,\omega}(T)\|_2^2. \quad (5.71)$$

Next, we observe that

$$u_{N_j, \omega}(x, T) = e^{-i(TN_j^2 - N_j x - T\omega^2)} \omega \varphi(\omega(x - 2TN_j)), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Thus, the support of $u_{N_j, \omega}(T)$ is concentrated in $B_{\omega^{-1}}(2TN_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, if for T fixed, N_1, N_2 are chosen such that

$$T(N_1 - N_2) \gg \omega^{-1} = N^{2s},$$

then there is not interaction and

$$\|u_{N_1, \omega}(T) - u_{N_2, \omega}(T)\|_2^2 \simeq \|u_{N_1, \omega}(T)\|_2^2 + \|u_{N_2, \omega}(T)\|_2^2 \simeq \omega.$$

The above estimate combined with (5.71) yields

$$\|u_{N_1, \omega}(T) - u_{N_2, \omega}(T)\|_{s,2}^2 \geq cN^{2s}\omega = c. \quad (5.72)$$

Take now

$$N_1 = N \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = N - \frac{\delta}{N^{2s}},$$

so that

$$\begin{cases} c(N^{2s}(N_1 - N_2))^2 = c\delta^2, \\ T(N_1 - N_2) = T\frac{\delta}{N^{2s}} \gg N^{2s}, \quad \text{i.e., } T \gg \frac{N^{4s}}{\delta}. \end{cases} \quad (5.73)$$

Since $s < 0$, given $\delta, T > 0$, we can choose N so large that (5.73) is valid, and from this we see that (5.72) violates the uniform continuity. \square

Recently, the study of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u \pm \Delta u + N_k(u, \bar{u}) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.74)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$N_k(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{a+b=k} C_k z_1^a z_2^b, \quad (5.75)$$

has been of interest. Even though it does not have a physical interpretation in general, the main purpose of this study was motivated to test new local estimates based on $X_{s,b}$ spaces (see Definition 7.1) and their relation with the geometry of the nonlinearity N_k .

We summarize next some local results obtained for the IVP (5.74). First, we will consider the one-dimensional situation. For the nonlinearity $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u^2$, Bejenaru and Tao [BTo] obtained a sharp local well-posedness result in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -1$. In [KPV12] Kenig, Ponce, and Vega showed local well-posedness for the IVP (5.74) for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u\bar{u}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1/4$, and for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = \bar{u}\bar{u}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$. Grünrock [Gr1] has shown that the IVP (5.74) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > -5/12$ for $N_3(u, \bar{u}) = (\bar{u})^3$ and $N_3(u, \bar{u}) = u^3$ and with $s > -2/5$ for $N_3(u, \bar{u}) = u(\bar{u})^2$. Notice that all these nonlinearities have the same homogeneity,

but only $N_3(u, \bar{u}) = |u|^2 u$ is Galilean invariant. For higher powers in (5.75), the results known are due to Grünrock [Gr1]. He established local well-posedness for the IVP (5.74) when the nonlinearity $N_4(u, \bar{u})$ has either of the following forms: $(\bar{u})^4$, u^4 , $u^3 \bar{u}$ and $\bar{u}^3 u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1/6$, and for $N_4(u, \bar{u}) = |u|^4$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1/8$.

In dimension $n = 2$, Colliander, Delort, Kenig, and Staffilani [CDKS] showed local well-posedness for the IVP (5.74) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > -3/4$ when N_2 has either the form u^2 or $(\bar{u})^2$ and in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > -1/4$, when $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u\bar{u}$. In the three-dimensional case, Tao [To3] proved that the IVP (5.74) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > -1/2$ for either $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u^2$ or $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = \bar{u}^2$, and in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > -1/4$ for the nonlinearity $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u\bar{u}$.

Next, we deal with the existence and uniqueness question for the IVP associated to the cubic Schrödinger equation with the delta function as initial datum.

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u \pm |u|^2 u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \delta(x). \end{cases} \quad (5.76)$$

$t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 5.14 ([KPV5]). *Either there is no weak solution u for the IVP (5.76) in the class*

$$u, |u|^2 u \in L^\infty([0, \infty) : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(\cdot, t) = \delta, \quad (5.77)$$

or there is more than one.

Consider now the local and global well-posedness of the periodic problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\Delta u \pm |u|^{\alpha-1} u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (5.78)$$

$x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 1$.

For $n = 1$, Bourgain [Bo1] established local well-posedness for (5.78) in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s \in [0, 1/2)$ for $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/(1 - 2s))$. This combined with the conservation law $\|u(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2}$ yields the corresponding global well-posedness result.

In the defocussing cubic NLS case ((+) in (5.78)) it was shown in [BGT2], [CrCT1] that the problem (5.78) is ill-posed (the map data-solution is not uniformly continuous) in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s < 0$.

For $n = 3$, local well-posedness with $\alpha = 3$ was proved in [Bo1] for $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)$, $s > 1/2$. For $n \geq 2$, local well-posedness was established in [Bo1] for $\alpha \in [3, 4/(n - 2s))$ and $s > 3n/n + 4$.

The problem (5.78) in an n -dimensional nonflat compact manifold M^n has been studied by Burq, Gerard, and Tzvetkov [BGT2], [BGT3]. Among other results, for the case of the two-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 they have shown that the IVP (5.78) in the cubic defocusing case (i.e., $\alpha = 3$ and positive sign in front of the nonlinearity) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{S}^2)$ for $s > 1/4$ and ill-posed for $s < 1/4$.

The IVP problem (5.74) can also be considered in the periodic setting. We list next some results regarding the local well-posedness for this IVP in this situation.

Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [KPV12] established the local well-posedness theory in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s > -1/2$, for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u^2$, and for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = \bar{u}^2$, and in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s \geq 0$, for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = u\bar{u}$. In the two-dimensional case, Grünrock [Gr1] showed local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $s \geq -1/2$, for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = \bar{u}^2$, and in dimension three that the IVP (5.74) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)$, $s \geq -3/10$, for $N_2(u, \bar{u}) = \bar{u}^2$.

5.5 Exercises

5.1.

- (i) Prove that if $u = u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + |u|^{4/n} u \quad (5.79)$$

(u is the solution of the equation in (5.1) with $\lambda = 1$ and the critical power $\alpha = 4/n + 1$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) then:

$$u_1(x, t) = e^{i\theta} u(x, t),$$

$$u_2(x, t) = u(x - x_0, t - t_0), \text{ with } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ fixed,}$$

$$u_3(x, t) = u(Ax, t), \text{ with } A \text{ any orthogonal matrix } n \times n,$$

$$u_4(x, t) = u(x - 2x_0 t, t) e^{i(x \cdot x_0 - |x_0|^2 t)}, \text{ with } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ fixed,}$$

$$u_5(x, t) = \lambda^{n/2} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ fixed,}$$

$$u_6(x, t) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \omega t)^{n/2}} \exp \left[\frac{i\omega|x|^2}{4(\alpha + \omega t)} \right] u \left(\frac{\gamma + \theta t}{\alpha + \omega t}, \frac{x}{\alpha + \omega t} \right), \quad \alpha\theta - \omega\gamma = 1,$$

also satisfy the equation in (5.79).

- (ii) Prove that u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 , and u_5 (with different powers in λ) still satisfy the equation (5.79) for general nonlinearity $\pm |u|^{\alpha-1} u$ in (5.79).

5.2. Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solve $-\Delta u + au = b|u|^\alpha u$, where $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that u satisfies

- (i)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 dx = b \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\alpha+2} dx. \quad (5.80)$$

- (ii) Pohozaev's identity:

$$(n-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + na \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 dx = \frac{2nb}{\alpha+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\alpha+2} dx, \text{ for } n \geq 3. \quad (5.81)$$

5.3. Use Pohozaev's identity to show that a necessary condition to have solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of problem (5.8) is that the nonlinearity satisfy $1 < \alpha < (n+2)/(n-2)$

$(1 < \alpha < \infty, n = 1, 2).$

5.4.

(i) Show that a formal scaling argument yields the estimate

$$T = T(\|u_0\|_2) = c \|u_0\|_2^{-\beta}, \quad \beta = \frac{4(\alpha - 1)}{4 - n(\alpha - 1)}, \quad (5.82)$$

for the life span of the L^2 -local solution as a function of the size of the data given in Theorem 5.2.

(ii) Review the proof of Theorem 5.2 to obtain the estimate (5.82).

5.5. Let $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x)$. Show that $\|f_\lambda\|_{X_p}$ is independent of λ where $\|\cdot\|_{X_p}$ was defined in (5.57).

5.6. Let

$$u_{0j}(x, y) = \chi_{\{[0, 1/2^j] \times [0, 2^j]\}}(x, y) \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Prove that

$$\|u_{0j}\|_{X_p} \leq 2^{-j/4}.$$

5.7. Show that

$$u(x, t) = e^{it} \frac{1 - 4(1 + 2it)}{1 + 2x^2 + 4t^2}$$

solves the IVP associated to

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + |u|^2 u = 0$$

with datum

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{-3}{1 + 2x^2}.$$

Chapter 6

Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions for the NLS Equation

In this chapter we shall study the long time behavior of the local solutions of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + \lambda |u|^{\alpha-1} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, obtained in the previous chapter.

In the first section we shall present results that—under appropriate conditions involving the dimension n , the nonlinearity α , the sign of λ (focusing $\lambda > 0$, defocusing $\lambda < 0$), and the size of the data u_0 —guarantee that these local solutions extend globally in time, i.e., to any time interval $[-T, T]$ for any $T > 0$.

In the second section we shall see that when these conditions are not satisfied, one can find blow up solutions.

6.1 Global Results

We shall start with the L^2 case. Theorem 5.2 (sub-critical case) tells us that the IVP (6.1) is locally well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/n)$ in a time interval $[0, T]$ with $T = T(\|u_0\|_2) > 0$. Multiplying the equation in (6.1) by \bar{u} , integrating the result in the space variables, and taking the imaginary part we get that

$$\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2 \quad (6.2)$$

(to justify this procedure one needs to use continuous dependence, approximate the data u_0 by a sequence in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and take the limit). The conservation law (6.2) allows us to reapply Theorem 5.2 as many times as we wish preserving the length of the time interval to get a global solution.

Theorem 6.1 (Global L^2 -solution, subcritical case). *If the nonlinearity power $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/n)$, then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the local solution $u = u(x, t)$ of the IVP (6.1) extends globally with*

$$u \in C([0, \infty) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^q([0, \infty) : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

where (p, q) satisfies the condition (4.16) in Theorem 4.2.

The situation for the L^2 -critical case ($\alpha = 1 + 4/n$),

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + \lambda |u|^{4/n} u = 0 \quad (6.3)$$

with $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whose solutions are given by Theorem 5.3, is quite different. In this case the local result shows the existence of solution in a time interval depending on the data u_0 itself and not on its norm. So the conservation law (6.2) does not provide the desired global result. In fact, under these conditions such a global result remains an open problem, and it has only been established under one of the following assumptions:

- (i) $\|u_0\|_2$ is small enough (Corollary 5.2); and
- (ii) for the “defocusing” case, i.e., $\lambda < 0$ in (6.1), with $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 4/7$, [CKSTT2] or under the decay assumption $|x|^l u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $l > 3/5$ [Bo4].

In this case, it was also proved ([Bo2]) that if the local L^2 -solution provided by Theorem 6.1 cannot be extended beyond the time interval $[0, T_*)$, then at least in the two-dimensional case ($n = 2$) the following L^2 -concentration phenomenon of the L^2 mass occurs: There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \uparrow T_*} \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2 : |Q| = (T_* - t)^{1/2}} \int_Q |u(x, t)|^2 dx \geq c, \quad (6.4)$$

where Q denotes a square in \mathbb{R}^2 and $|Q|$ the size of its side. The result in (6.4) holds in both the defocusing case $\lambda < 0$ and the focusing case $\lambda > 0$ in which, as we will see, blow up takes place but in the H^1 -norm.

- (iii) If the initial data $u_0(\cdot)$ are assumed to be radial then:

- In the defocusing case ($\lambda = -1$) global existence and scattering results were established in [TVZ] for dimension $n \geq 3$ and in [KTV] for dimension $n = 2$.
- In the focusing case ($\lambda = 1$) for initial data u_0 satisfying

$$\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2,$$

where φ is the positive solution of the elliptic equation (5.8) with $\omega = 1$ and $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, it was proved in [KTV] that the corresponding local solution extends globally and scattering results hold (this is sharp).

Let us consider now the extension problem of the H^1 -local solution proved in Chapter 5. We first examine the subcritical case (Theorem 5.4), i.e., $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/(n-2))$, $n \geq 3$, or $1 < \alpha \leq \infty$, if $n = 1, 2$, where the time of existence T depends

on the size of the data, i.e., $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^1})$. In this case if u is a solution in the interval $[0, T]$, then multiplying the equation by $-\partial_t \bar{u}$, integrating the result in the space variables, taking its real part and using integration by parts, one gets that for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla_x u(x, t)|^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\alpha+1} |u(x, t)|^{\alpha+1} \right) dx = 0.$$

So $E(u(t))$ is constant and $E(u(t)) = E(u_0)$ or

$$E(u_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla_x u(x, t)|^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\alpha+1} |u(x, t)|^{\alpha+1} \right) dx. \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore, if $\lambda < 0$ (defocusing case) it follows that

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq E(u_0),$$

which combined with (6.2) gives

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{1,2}^2 \leq E(u_0) + \|u_0\|_2^2.$$

This allows us to reapply Theorem 5.4 to extend the local solution u to any time interval.

In the focusing case $\lambda > 0$, using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, see (3.13), we have that for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|u(t)\|_{\alpha+1} \leq c \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2^\theta \|u(t)\|_2^{1-\theta} \leq c \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2^\theta \|u_0\|_2^{1-\theta}, \quad (6.6)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1} = \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1-\theta}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \theta = \frac{n(\alpha-1)}{2(\alpha+1)}.$$

Then,

$$\|u(t)\|_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1} \leq c \|u_0\|_2^{[(\alpha+1)-n(\alpha-1)/2]} \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2^{n(\alpha-1)/2}.$$

This combined with (6.5) proves that if $E(u_0) < \infty$, then

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2^2 \leq |E(u_0)| + c_\alpha |\lambda| \|u_0\|_2^{[(\alpha+1)-n(\alpha-1)/2]} \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2^{n(\alpha-1)/2}. \quad (6.7)$$

Assume first that $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/n)$, so $n(\alpha-1)/2 < 2$. Then from (6.7) and the notation $y = y(t) = \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2$ one gets

$$y^2 \leq E(u_0) + c \|u_0\|_2^{[(\alpha+1)-n(\alpha-1)/2]} y^{2-\gamma}, \quad (6.8)$$

with $\gamma = 2 - n(\alpha - 1)/2 \in (0, 2)$. Therefore, there exists $M = M(\|u_0\|_{1,2}; n; \alpha; \lambda) > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \leq M.$$

The same argument used above will allow us to reapply Theorem 5.4 to extend the local solution u to any time interval.

In the case $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ the inequality (6.8) becomes

$$y^2 \leq E(u_0) + c \|u_0\|_2^{4/n} y^2. \quad (6.9)$$

Hence, there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that if $\|u_0\|_2 < c_0$, then the local solution u provided by Theorem 5.4 extends to any time interval.

Finally, we consider the case $\alpha \in (1 + 4/n, (n+2)/(n-2))$. In this case, using the notation $\delta = \|u_0\|_2$, the inequality (6.7) becomes

$$y^2(t) \leq E(u_0) + c \delta^{[(\alpha+1)-n(\alpha-1)/2]} y^{2+\nu}(t), \quad (6.10)$$

with $\nu = n(\alpha - 1)/2 - 2 \geq 0$. For $\|u_0\|_{1,2} = \|u_0\|_2 + \|\nabla u_0\|_2 \leq \rho$ sufficiently small, it follows from (6.10), evaluated at $t = 0$, that $E(u_0) > 0$. Also from (6.10) one gets that there exists $M > 0$ such that $y(t) = \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \leq M$, which combined with (6.2) allows us to extend the local solution to any interval of time as in the previous case.

Summarizing, we have the following result:

Theorem 6.2. *Under any of the following set of hypotheses the local solution of the IVP (6.1) with $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided by Theorem 5.4 extends globally in time, if*

1. $\lambda < 0$,
2. $\lambda > 0$ and $\alpha < 1 + 4/n$,
3. $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, and $\|u_0\|_2 < c_0$,
4. $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha > 1 + 4/n$, and $\|u_0\|_{1,2} \simeq \|u_0\|_2 + \|\nabla u_0\|_2 \leq \rho$, for ρ sufficiently small.

The size assumption on the data in (iii), i.e., $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, can be made precise. In [W2], Weinstein showed that

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \inf_{f \in H^1} \frac{\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \|f\|_2^{4/n}}{\|f\|_{2+4/n}^{2+4/n}} = \frac{\|\varphi\|_2^{4/n}}{1 + 2/n}, \quad (6.11)$$

and the infimum is attained at φ , where φ is the unique positive solution up to translation of the elliptic problem (5.8). From (6.11) it follows that $E(\varphi) = 0$ and that if $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$, then the corresponding solution of the IVP (6.1) with $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ extends globally in time, i.e., $c_0 = \|\varphi\|_2$ in part (iii) of Theorem 6.2. We shall return to this point after Theorem 6.4.

Next we consider the extension problem of the local solution of the IVP (6.1) with $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the critical case $\alpha = (n+2)/(n-2)$. As we shall see in the next section in the focusing case $\lambda > 0$ local solutions of this problem may blow up. So

we first consider the defocusing case $\lambda < 0$. Under these assumptions one may ask if the local solution provided by Theorem 5.5 extends to all time and there is scattering. For this problem the first result known is due to Bourgain [Bo7], who gave a positive answer in the case of dimensions $n = 3, 4$ for radial data, i.e., $u_0(x) = \phi(|x|)$ (see also [G11]). Recently, Tao [To5] has extended Bourgain's result to any dimension. For any data in dimension $n = 3$, Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, and Tao [CKSTT7] established global well-posedness and scattering results. Ryckman and Visan [RVi] showed the corresponding result in dimension $n = 4$ and Visan [Vs] obtained it for dimension $n \geq 5$.

A similar problem for the semilinear wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 w - \Delta w + |w|^{4/(n-2)} w = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \quad (6.12)$$

with $(w(0), \partial_t w(0)) = (f, g) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was previously solved by Struwe [Stw] in the radial case and $n = 3$, and by Grillakis [G12], [G13] for general data in dimensions $n = 3, 4, 5$ (see [ShS] for a simplified proof and an extension to the cases $n = 6, 7$).

In both cases one reviews the local existence theory to deduce what happens if the local solution is assumed not to extend beyond the time interval $[0, T^*)$. In this case a “concentration of energy” in small sets must occur as $t \uparrow T^*$. In the radial case this can only take place at the origin. Roughly speaking, to exclude this possibility in the case of the wave equation one combines the Morawetz estimate and the finite propagation speed of the solution. The case of the Schrödinger equation is more involved. The corresponding local Morawetz estimate (appropriate truncated version) [LS] (see Exercise 6.3) is significantly more difficult to establish and even in the radial case requires an inductive argument in the accumulation of energy to disprove the possible concentration.

In [KM1], assuming that the $\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -norm of the solution of

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - |u|^2 u = 0$$

remains bounded, Kenig and Merle showed that the above global results apply.

Next consider the \dot{H}^1 critical focusing case ($\lambda = 1$)

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - |u|^{4/(n-2)} u = 0,$$

assuming that the data u_0 are spherically symmetric and $3 \leq n \leq 5$, Kenig and Merle [KM2] established a sharp condition for the global existence and scattering and a sharp condition for blow up. Let Φ be the solution of the elliptic problem

$$\Delta \Phi + |\Phi|^{4/(n-2)} \Phi = 0$$

(so-called Aubin–Talenti solution).

If $E(u_0) < E(\Phi)$ and $\|\nabla u_0\|_2 < \|\Phi\|_2$, then the local solution extends to a global one.

If $E(u_0) < E(\Phi)$ and $\|\nabla u_0\|_2 > \|\Phi\|_2$, then the local solution blows up in finite time.

6.2 Formation of Singularities

In this section we prove that the global results in the previous section are optimal. We shall see that if (i)–(iii) in Theorem 6.2 do not hold, then there exists $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T^* < \infty$ such that the corresponding solution u of the IVP (6.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 = \infty. \quad (6.13)$$

To simplify the exposition we shall assume $\lambda = 1$. In the proof of (6.13) we need the following identities.

Proposition 6.1. *If $u(t)$ is a solution in $C([-T, T]: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of the IVP (6.1) with $\lambda = 1$ obtained in Theorems 5.4 and 5.5, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 |u(x, t)|^2 dx = 4 \mathcal{I}m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} r \bar{u} \partial_r u dx, \quad (6.14)$$

with $r = |x|$ and $\mathcal{I}m(\cdot) = \text{imaginary part of } (\cdot)$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I}m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} r \bar{u} \partial_r u dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx + \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha + 1} - n \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^{\alpha+1} dx. \quad (6.15)$$

Proof. To obtain (6.14) we multiply equation (6.1) by $2\bar{u}$ and take the imaginary part to get

$$\mathcal{I}m(2i\partial_t u \bar{u}) = \partial_t |u|^2 = -\mathcal{I}m(2\Delta u \bar{u}) = -2\text{div}(\mathcal{I}m(\nabla u \bar{u})).$$

Multiplying this identity by $|x|^2$, integrating in \mathbb{R}^n , using integration by parts and that $r\partial_r u = x_j \partial_{x_j} u$ (with summation convention) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^2 |u|^2 dx &= \int |x|^2 \partial_t |u|^2 dx = -2 \int \text{div}(\mathcal{I}m(\bar{u} \nabla u)) |x|^2 dx \\ &= 2 \int \mathcal{I}m(\bar{u} \partial_{x_j} u) 2x_j dx = 4 \int \mathcal{I}m(r \bar{u} \partial_r u) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (6.14).

For (6.15) we multiply the equation in (6.1) by $2r\partial_r \bar{u}$, integrate in \mathbb{R}^n , and take the real part of this expression to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re (2i \int r \partial_r \bar{u} \partial_t u dx) &= i \int r (\partial_r \bar{u} \partial_t u - \partial_r u \partial_t \bar{u}) dx \\
&= -2\Re \int r \partial_r \bar{u} \Delta u dx - 2\Re \int r \partial_r \bar{u} |u|^{\alpha-1} u dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

By integration by parts and the equation in (6.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
i \int r (\partial_r \bar{u} p_t u - \partial_r u \partial_t \bar{u}) dx &= i \int x_j (\partial_{x_j} \bar{u} \partial_t u - \partial_{x_j} u \partial_t \bar{u}) dx \\
&= i \int x_j (\partial_t (\partial_{x_j} \bar{u} u) - \partial_{x_j} (u \partial_t \bar{u})) dx \\
&= i \frac{d}{dt} \int r u \partial_r \bar{u} dx + n i \int u \partial_t \bar{u} dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(i \int r u \partial_r \bar{u} dx \right) + n \left(\int u (\Delta \bar{u} + |u|^{\alpha-1} \bar{u}) dx \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(i \int r u \partial_r \bar{u} dx \right) - n \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + n \int |u|^{\alpha+1} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Similarly, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Re \left(\int r \partial_r \bar{u} \Delta u dx \right) &= 2\Re \left(\int x_j \partial_{x_j} \bar{u} \partial_{x_k}^2 u dx \right) \\
&= 2\Re \left(- \int |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int x_j \partial_{x_k} u \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} \bar{u} dx \right) \\
&= -2 \int |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int x_j \partial_{x_k} u \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} \bar{u} dx \\
&\quad - \int x_j \partial_{x_k} \bar{u} \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} u dx \\
&= -2 \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + n \int |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int x_j \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} u \partial_{x_k} \bar{u} dx - \int x_j \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} u \partial_{x_k} \bar{u} dx \\
&= (n-2) \int |\nabla u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Re \left(\int |u|^{\alpha-1} r u \partial_r \bar{u} dx \right) &= 2\Re \left(\int |u|^{\alpha-1} u x_j \partial_{x_j} \bar{u} dx \right) \\
&= \int x_j (|u|^2)^{(\alpha-1)/2} (\partial_{x_j} u \bar{u} + u \partial_{x_j} \bar{u}) dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\alpha+1} \int x_j \partial_{x_j} [(|u|^2)^{(\alpha+1)/2}] dx \\
&= -\frac{2n}{\alpha+1} \int |u|^{\alpha+1} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

Collecting the information in (6.17)–(6.19) we can rewrite (6.16) as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I}m \left(\int r \bar{u} \partial_r u dx \right) = 2 \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha+1} - n \right) \int |u|^{\alpha+1} dx,$$

which yields (6.15). \square

In the last proof we used implicitly the following result commented on at the end of Chapter 4.

Proposition 6.2 ([HNT2]). *If u is a solution of the IVP (6.1) in $C([-T, T]: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ provided by Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 such that $x_j u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$, then*

$$x_j u(\cdot, t) \in C([-T, T]: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Thus, if $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^2 dx)$, then

$$u(\cdot, t) \in C([-T, T]: H^1 \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx)).$$

Now we shall prove one of the main results in this section.

6.2.1 Case $\alpha \in (1 + 4/n, 1 + 4/(n - 2))$

Theorem 6.3. *Let u be a solution in $C([0, T]: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx))$ of the IVP (6.1) with $\lambda = 1$ provided by Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 and Proposition 6.2. Assume that the initial data u_0 and the nonlinearity α satisfy the following assumptions:*

- (i) $\int \left(|\nabla u_0|^2 - \frac{2}{\alpha+1} |u_0|^{\alpha+1} \right) dx = E(u_0) = E_0 < 0$,
- (ii) $\alpha \in (1 + 4/n, 1 + 4/(n - 2))$;

then there exists $T^* > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 = \infty. \quad (6.20)$$

We observe that condition (i) implies that $\|u_0\|_{1,2}$ is not arbitrarily small. In particular, for any $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has that $E_0(vu_0) < 0$ for $v > 0$ sufficiently large.

In the proof we just need $\alpha > 1 + 4/n$, therefore the theorem extends to solutions $u \in C([0, T]: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx))$, $\alpha < \infty$ for $n \leq 4$ and $\alpha \leq n/(n - 4)$ for $n \geq 5$.

Proof. We first assume that $\mathcal{I}m \left(\int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx \right) < 0$. We define

$$f(t) = - \mathcal{I}m \int r (\partial_r u \bar{u})(x, t) dx.$$

By hypothesis $f(0) > 0$. Using identities (6.15) and (6.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(t) &= -2 \int |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx - \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha+1} - n \right) \int |u(x,t)|^{\alpha+1} dx \\
&= -2 \int |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx + n \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{2}{\alpha+1} \int |u(x,t)|^{\alpha+1} dx \\
&= -2 \int |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx + n \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2} - 1 \right) \left(\int |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx - E_0 \right) \\
&= - \left[2 - n \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2} - 1 \right) \right] \int |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx - n \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2} - 1 \right) E_0 \\
&\geq M \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

since by hypothesis $E_0 < 0$, $\alpha > 1$ implies that $(\alpha+1)/2 - 1 > 0$, and $\alpha > 1 + 4/n$ implies that $n((\alpha+1)/2 - 1) - 2 = M > 0$.

From (6.21) $f(t)$ is an increasing function, so $f(t) \geq f(0) > 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Now we use (6.14) to see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^2 |u(x,t)|^2 dx = 4 \mathcal{M} \int r(\bar{u} \partial_r u)(x,t) dx = -4f(t) < 0.$$

Thus, $h(t) = \int |x|^2 |u(x,t)|^2 dx$ is a decreasing function with

$$h(t) \leq \int |x|^2 |u_0(x)|^2 dx = h(0).$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(t)| &= f(t) = -\mathcal{M} \int r(\bar{u} \partial_r u)(x,t) dx \\
&\leq \left(\int r^2 |u|^2(x,t) dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |\partial_r u|^2(x,t) dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (h(0))^{1/2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

which combined with (6.21) proves that $f(t)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$\begin{cases} f'(t) \geq \frac{M}{h(0)} (f(t))^2, \\ f(0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(h(0))^{1/2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \geq f(t) \geq \frac{h(0)f(0)}{h(0) - Mf(0)t}. \tag{6.22}$$

Defining

$$T_0 = \frac{h(0)}{Mf(0)} > 0 \tag{6.23}$$

we obtain (6.20) with $T^* = T_0$.

Next we consider the case $\mathcal{M} \left(\int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx \right) \geq 0$. From (6.21) it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u} \partial_r u(x, t) dx = 2E_0 + \left(\frac{2(n+2)}{\alpha+1} - n \right) \int |u(x, t)|^{\alpha+1} dx \leq 2E_0$$

because $\alpha > 1 + 4/n$. Hence since $E_0 < 0$ there exists $\hat{t} > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u} \partial_r u(x, \hat{t}) dx < 0$$

and we are in the case previously considered. \square

The previous result gives us an upper bound on the life span of the local solution in H^1 since we have shown that the existence of the interval of time $[0, T^*)$ implies (6.20). This only tells us that the time of life span T^* of the solution is less than or equal to T_0 as above. It is easy to see that the L^p -norm with $p \geq \alpha + 1$ of the solution u , that is $\|u(t)\|_p$, also satisfies an estimate of the type described in (6.20).

6.2.2 Case $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$.

In this case, $n(1 - 2/(\alpha + 1)) = 4/(\alpha + 1)$, (6.15) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u} \partial_r u dx = 2 \left(\int |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx - \frac{2}{\alpha+1} \int |u(x, t)|^{\alpha+1} dx \right) = 2E_0.$$

Integrating this equality we see that

$$\mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u} \partial_r u dx = \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx + 2tE_0,$$

which combined with (6.14) tells us that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^2 |u(x, t)|^2 dx = 4 \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx + 8tE_0.$$

Integrating again, we obtain the identity

$$\int |x|^2 |u(x, t)|^2 dx = \| |x| u_0 \|_2^2 + 4t \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx + 4t^2 E_0. \quad (6.24)$$

Assume first that either (i) $E_0 < 0$ or (ii) $E_0 \leq 0$ (with E_0 as in Theorem 6.3 (i)) and $\mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx < 0$ or (iii) $E_0 > 0$ and $\mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx < -\sqrt{E_0} \| |x| u_0 \|_2$.

Suppose that the desired result (6.20) does not hold, i.e., the H^1 -solution can be extended globally.

Our assumptions and (6.24) allow us to deduce that there exists T^* such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \| |x| u(\cdot, t) \|_2 = 0. \quad (6.25)$$

Now, we recall Weyl–Heisenberg’s inequality (see Exercise 3.11): For any $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx)$

$$\|f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} \| |x| f \|_2 \|\nabla f\|_2. \quad (6.26)$$

Notice that (6.22) still holds when one substitutes x by $x - a$ for any fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Combining (6.2) and (6.26) it follows that

$$0 < \|u_0\|_2^2 = \|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} \| |x| u(\cdot, t) \|_2 \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_2,$$

which together with (6.25) leads to a contradiction. Therefore it follows that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 = \infty.$$

Thus we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $u \in C([-T, T]: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx))$ be the solution of the IVP (6.1) with $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ obtained in Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 6.2 such that the initial data $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x| dx)$ satisfy*

$$(i) \ E_0 < 0,$$

$$(ii) \ E_0 \leq 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx < 0,$$

or

$$(iii) \ E_0 > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \int r \bar{u}_0 \partial_r u_0 dx \leq -\sqrt{E_0} \| |x| u_0 \|_2,$$

where E_0 was defined in Theorem 6.3 (i). Then there exists T^* for which identity (6.20) holds.

It is important to notice that (6.25) has not been proved as part of Theorem 6.3, since the singularity in (6.20) could form before time T^* , i.e., $T_0 < T^*$, T_0 being the time when the inequality (6.20) occurs since we assume the existence in the time interval $[0, T_0]$. However, when T_0 in (6.20) and T^* in (6.25) coincide then (6.20), (6.2), and (6.25) ensure that

$$|u(\cdot, t)| \rightarrow c\delta(\cdot) \quad (\text{“concentration”}) \quad (6.27)$$

when $t \uparrow T^*$ in the distribution sense.

In the critical case $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ the pseudoconformal invariance tells us that if $u = u(x, t)$ is a solution of the equation in (6.1) with $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$, then

$$v(x, t) = \frac{e^{i|x|^2/4t}}{|t|^{n/2}} u\left(\frac{x}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right) \quad (6.28)$$

solves the same equation for $t \neq 0$, with $v(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx)$. In particular, in the focusing case $\lambda = 1$, if $u(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} \varphi(x)$ is the standing wave solution of the equation in (6.1) see Chapter 5 (5.7)–(5.8), to simplify the notation we fix $\omega = 1$. Then

$$z(x, t) = \frac{e^{i(|x|^2 - 4)/4t}}{|t|^{n/2}} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \quad (6.29)$$

is also a solution in $C(\mathbb{R} - \{0\} : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx))$, which blows up at time $t = 0$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} \|\nabla z(t)\|_2 = \infty.$$

Moreover, $\|\nabla z(t)\|_2 \sim c/t$.

The next result tells us that this is the “unique” minimal mass blow up solution. Observe that $\|z(t)\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2$ and as it was commented before if $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$, then the corresponding H^1 -solution extends globally in time.

Theorem 6.5 ([Me3]). *Let u_1 be a solution of the IVP (6.1) with $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, and data $u_{1,0} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\|u_{1,0}\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2,$$

where φ is the unique positive solution of the elliptic problem (5.8). Assume that u_1 blows up at time $T > 0$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T} \|\nabla u_1(t)\|_2 = \infty. \quad (6.30)$$

Then

$$u_1(x, t) = \left(\frac{1}{T-t}\right)^{n/2} e^{i(|x|^2 - 4)/(4(T-t))} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{T-t}\right)$$

up to the invariance of the equation (see (5.9)–(5.10)).

Next we consider the IVP (6.1) as in Theorem 6.5, i.e., $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, and $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n = 1, 2$ (so that the nonlinearity is smooth). Assuming that for some $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\|u_0\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2 + \delta$$

and that (6.30) occurs, Bourgain and Wang [BoW] have shown that the corresponding solution u can be written as

$$u(x, t) = u_1(x, t) + u_2(x, t),$$

with u_1 as in Theorem 6.5 and where u_2 remains smooth after the blow up time T , i.e., for some $\rho > 0$,

$$\partial_t u_2 + \Delta u_2 + |u_2|^{4/n} u_2 = 0, \quad t \in (T - \rho, T + \rho),$$

with $u_2(x, T) = \phi(x)$, where ϕ is smooth, with fast decay at infinity and vanishes at 0 of sufficiently high order.

In particular, this result tells us that at the blow up time the solution does not need to absorb all the L^2 -mass.

The following result is concerned with the concentration phenomenon in the blow up solutions.

Theorem 6.6 ([Me2]). *Given $T > 0$ and a set of points $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists an initial datum u_0 such that the corresponding solution of the IVP (6.1) with $\lambda = 1$ and $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ blows up exactly at time T with the total L^2 -mass concentrating at the points $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.*

Next we comment on the blow up rates. As a consequence of the H^1 -local existence theorem (Theorem 5.19) we have,

Corollary 6.1 ([CzW4]). *If the solution of the IVP (6.1) satisfies*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 = \infty, \quad (6.31)$$

then

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \geq c_0(T^* - t)^{-(1/(\alpha-1) - (n-2)/4)}. \quad (6.32)$$

We recall that (6.31) can only occur in the focusing case $\lambda = 1$ with $\alpha \geq 1 + 4/n$.

Proof. For $t_0 < T^*$ we consider the IVP (6.1) for time $t > t_0$ with data $u(t_0)$. By hypothesis the solution cannot be extended in H^1 beyond the interval $[0, T^*)$. From the proof of Theorem 5.4 (estimates (5.37)–(5.38)), it follows that if for some $M > c\|u(t_0)\|_{1,2}$ one has that

$$c\|u(t_0)\|_{1,2} + c(T - t_0)^\delta M^\alpha \leq M, \quad \delta = 1 - \frac{(n-2)(\alpha-1)}{4}, \quad (6.33)$$

then $T < T^*$. Therefore, for all $M > c\|u(t_0)\|_{1,2}$

$$c\|u(t_0)\|_{1,2} + c(T^* - t_0)^\delta M^\alpha \geq M. \quad (6.34)$$

Choosing $M = 2c\|u(t_0)\|_{1,2}$ it follows that

$$(T^* - t_0)^\delta \|u(t_0)\|_{1,2}^{\alpha-1} \geq c_0. \quad (6.35)$$

Since $\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2$ it follows that

$$\|\nabla_x u(t_0)\|_2 \geq c_0(T^* - t_0)^{-\delta/(\alpha-1)} = c_0(T^* - t_0)^{-(1/(\alpha-1) - (n-2)/4)}.$$

□

Thus on one hand we have that, in the critical case $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, Corollary 6.1 gives the following estimate for the lower bound for the blow up rate

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \geq c_0(T^* - t)^{-1/2}.$$

On the other hand, numerical simulations in [LPSS] suggest the existence of solutions with blow up rates as

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \sim \left(\frac{\ln |\ln |T^* - t||}{T^* - t} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (6.36)$$

The constructions of the two previous blow up solutions imply the following: there are at least two blow up dynamics for (6.1) with two different rates, one which is continuation of the explicit $z(x, t)$ blow up dynamic with the $1/(T - t)$ rate (6.31), and which is expected to be unstable; another one with the log-log rate (6.36), which has been conjectured to be stable.

In the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$), Perelman [Pe1] established the existence of a solution blowing up at the rate described in (6.36).

In [MeRa1], [MeRa2] Merle and Raphael have obtained general upper bound results for the blow up rate. More precisely, they characterize a set of data, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*} = \{u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int Q^2 \leq \int |u_0|^2 \leq \int Q^2 + \alpha^*\}, \quad (6.37)$$

where α^* is a small enough parameter and Q is a ground state solution of (6.1), for which the corresponding solutions of the IVP (6.1), with $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ and $\lambda = 1$, satisfying

$$E_G(u) = E(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}m(\int \nabla_x u \bar{u})}{\|u\|_{L^2}} \right)^2 < 0 \quad (6.38)$$

blow up with an upper rate of the form

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{\ln |\ln |T^* - t||}{T^* - t} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (6.39)$$

Regarding the dynamics of the blow up solutions Raphael [Ra1] established the following result:

Theorem 6.7 ([Ra1]). *Let $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. There exist universal constants $C^*, C_1^* > 0$ such that the following is satisfied:*

(i) *Rigidity of blow up rate: Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ with*

$$E_G(u_0) > 0,$$

and assume the corresponding solution $u(t)$ to (6.1) blows up in finite time $T < \infty$; then there holds for t close to T either

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \leq C^* \left(\frac{\log |\log(T - t)|}{T - t} \right)^{1/2} \quad (6.40)$$

or

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_2 \geq \frac{C_1^*}{(T - t)\sqrt{E_G(u_0)}}.$$

(ii) *Stability of the log-log law: Moreover, the set of initial data $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ such that $u(t)$ blows up in finite time with upper bound (6.40) is open in H^1 .*

6.3 Comments

The results shown in Section 6.1 are due to Glassey [G2], based on previous ideas of Zakharov and Shabat [ZS]. Section 6.2 was based on ideas of Tsutsumi [Ts] and of Nawa and Tsutsumi [NT]. Proposition 6.1, crucial in the proof of Theorem 6.2, is known as “the pseudoconformal invariant property” and was proved by Ginibre and Velo [GV1]. Observe that all these blow up results apply to local solution $u \in C([0, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^2 dx))$. In [OgT] the one-dimensional case $n = 1$ critical case $\alpha = 5$ the weighted condition $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ was removed. The formation of singularities in solutions of the problem associated to the equation in (6.1) in the case of boundary and periodic values was studied in [Ka].

We recall that the existence of solutions in L^2 for the critical power $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$ was proved in Theorem 5.2 (see [CzW2]). Using this result we have that an extension is only possible when the L^2 - $\lim_{t \uparrow T_0} u(t)$ exists. The identity (6.2) assures the existence of the limit in the weak topology of L^2 . It was proved in [MT] that the weak limit does not exist and moreover that the same extension does not exist. This shows that if a solution that corresponds to radial data and dimension $n \geq 2$ develops singularities, then this solution satisfies (6.20) and (6.25).

Recently, Raphael [Ra2] studied the dynamical structure of the blow up for the quintic nonlinear Schrödinger in two dimensions, i.e., the equation in (6.1) with $\alpha = 5$, $\lambda = 1$, and $n = 2$, which is supercritical in L^2 . Among others results he showed the existence of H^1 radial initial data for which the associated solutions blow up in finite time on a sphere of strictly positive radius.

Corollary 6.1 was taken from Cazenave and Weissler [CzW4]. There they also showed that the IVP (6.1) with data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \in (0, 1)$, and $\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u_0\|_2$ sufficiently small and nonlinearity $\alpha = 1 + 4/(n - 2s)$ has a unique global solution (notice that the cases $s = 0$, $s = 1$ were covered in Corollaries 5.2, 5.4, respectively). This result holds in both the focusing and defocusing case. In fact, it is just based on the homogeneity of the nonlinearity so it applies to any nonlinear term of the form $f(u, \bar{u})$ with $f(\lambda u, \lambda \bar{u}) = \lambda^\alpha f(u, \bar{u})$.

Based on a pioneering idea of Bourgain [Bo5], one can obtain a global solution below the “energy norm,” which in this case is H^1 . The argument in [Bo5] has been significantly refined in a sequence of works of Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, and Tao [CKSTT1], [CKSTT2], [CKSTT3]. For the IVP (6.1) with $\lambda < 0$ they have shown that in the cases $(n, \alpha) = (1, 5)$, $(2, 3)$, $(3, 3)$, $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 1/2$, $1/2$, $4/5$, respectively, suffice for the global existence. In the last case $(n, \alpha) = (3, 3)$ with radial initial data the condition is lower: $s > 5/7$. Notice that in the above cases the IVP (6.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s > 0$, $0, 1/2$. So it is unclear whether these results are optimal.

Now we regard the problem of the rate of growth of the higher Sobolev norm. Consider the IVP (6.1) defocusing case $\lambda < 0$ with $\alpha \in (1 + 4/n, (n + 2)/(n - 2))$. Theorem 5.4 provides the global solution for data $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t)\|_{1,2} < \infty.$$

Assuming that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 1$, and the nonlinearity is sufficiently smooth, one can ask what are the best possible bounds for $\|u(t)\|_{s,2} \sim \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u(t)\|_2$.

Standard energy estimates will give an exponential upper bound. In [Bo6] Bourgain showed that if $n = 3$ and $\alpha < 5$, then

$$\|u(t)\|_{s,2} \leq c |t|^{c(s-1)}$$

for some constant c . In [Sta1] Staffilani refined the arguments seen in [Bo6] and among other results in the case $(n, \alpha) = (1, 3)$ she showed that

$$\|u(t)\|_{s,2} \leq c |t|^\mu \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

with $\mu = 2/3(s-1)^+$.

Concerning the asymptotic behavior of the H^1 -global solution of the IVP (6.1) obtained in Theorem 6.2 one has the following L^p -norm decay result due to Ginibre and Velo [GV2].

Theorem 6.8. *Assume*

$$\lambda < 0, \quad \alpha \in \left(1 - \frac{4}{n}, 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}\right), \quad \text{and } n \geq 3. \quad (6.41)$$

Then for each $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the corresponding global solution $u(t)$ of the IVP (6.1) provided by Theorem 6.2 (i) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|u(t)\|_p = 0 \quad \text{for } p \in \left(2, \frac{2n}{n-2}\right). \quad (6.42)$$

In addition, in [GV2]–[GV3] Ginibre and Velo proved the following theorems:

Theorem 6.9. *Under assumption (6.41), for each $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a unique $u_0^\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|e^{it\Delta} u_0^\pm - u(t)\|_{1,2} = 0 \quad (6.43)$$

with

$$\|u_0^\pm\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u_0^\pm\|_2^2 = E(u_0).$$

Theorem 6.10. *Under assumption (6.41) for each $u_0^\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a unique $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (6.43) holds.*

Theorems 6.9 and 6.10 were used in [GV2]–[GV3] to define (continuous) maps W^\pm (asymptotic states) and Ω^\pm (wave operators) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively, as $W^\pm(u_0) = u_0^\pm$ and $\Omega^\pm(u_0^\pm) = u_0$. Hence, $W^\pm \Omega^\pm = I$ on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and one has the scattering operator $S = W^+ \Omega^-$, with $S(u_0^-) = u_0^+$. For extensions of these results see [GV2], [GV3]; for results in the cases $n = 1, 2$ see [Na].

Regarding global well-posedness for the periodic problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\Delta u \pm |u|^{\alpha-1}u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (6.44)$$

$x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 1$, we have the following results:

For $n = 2$, Bourgain [Bo1] showed that (5.78) with $\alpha \geq 3$ in the defocussing case ((-) sign) is globally well-posed. A similar result holds for the focusing case ((+) sign) under the additional assumption of $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$ small enough or for $\alpha > 3$ assuming that $\|u_0\|_{1,2}$ is sufficiently small. In [BGT1] Burq, Gerard, and Tzvetkov proved the existence of finite time ($T < \infty$) H^1 blow up solutions, with data close to the ground state, i.e., $\lim_{t \uparrow T} \|u(t)\|_{1,2} = \infty$ for (5.78) with $\alpha = 3$ in the focusing case. Moreover, they found the precise rate of the blow up showing that $(T - t) \|u(t)\|_{1,2} \sim c_0$.

Finally, we will describe some results concerning the stability and instability of standing waves. Before doing that we will introduce the following notation:

$$A = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n); u \neq 0 \text{ and } -\Delta u + \omega u = |u|^{\alpha-1}u\} \quad (6.45)$$

and

$$G = \{u \in A; S(u) \leq S(v) \text{ for all } v \in A\}, \quad (6.46)$$

where

$$S(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{\alpha+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\alpha+1} dx - \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 dx.$$

The functions in the first set are called *bound states* and the members of the second one are said to be *ground states*.

If we require the conditions be satisfied

- (i) $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, $\omega > 0$ and $\varphi \in A$ or
- (ii) $1 + 4/n < \alpha < (n+2)(n-2)$, ($1 + 4/n < \alpha < \infty$, $n = 1, 2$), $\omega > 0$ and $\varphi \in G$,

then $u(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} \varphi(x)$ is an unstable solution of (6.1), in the sense that there is a sequence $\{\varphi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi_m \rightarrow \varphi \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and such that the corresponding maximal solution u_m of (6.1) blows up in a finite time for both $t > 0$ and $t < 0$.

The result in case (i) was established by Weinstein [W2] and case (ii) was proved by Berestycki and Cazenave [BC2]. The methods of proof involve variational methods.

On the other hand, if we let $1 < \alpha < 1 + 4/n$, $\omega > 0$, and $\varphi \in G$, then the solution $u(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} \varphi(x)$ is a stable solution of (6.1), in the sense that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ verifies $\|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^1} < \delta(\varepsilon)$, then the corresponding maximal solution v of (6.1) verifies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|v(\cdot, t) - e^{i\theta} \varphi(\cdot - y)\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon.$$

This result shows orbital stability in the subcritical case. It was established by Cazenave and Lions [CzL]. Extensions of this result to other dispersive equations can be found in [AL].

The interaction of solitary waves

$$R(x, t) = e^{i(v \cdot x - |v|^2 t + \omega t + \theta)} \varphi_\omega(x - 2vt - x_0), \quad (6.47)$$

with $v, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega > 0$, and φ_ω a solution of (5.8), is not yet well understood. For example the detailed description of solutions of the IVP (6.1) with $\lambda > 0$ and data

$$u_0(x, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^N R_j(x, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{i(v_j \cdot x + \theta_j)} \varphi_\omega(x - x_0), \quad N \geq 2 \quad (6.48)$$

such that $\exists j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $j \neq k$, and $\widehat{t} > 0$ with

$$|2(v_j - v_k)\widehat{t} - (x_{0_j} - x_{0_k})| \ll 1$$

(i.e., at $t = \widehat{t}$ the solitary waves $R_j(x, t)$ and $R_k(x, t)$ interact) is quite open. In the integrable case $n = 1$, $\alpha = 3$ [ZS] the scattering theory describes the solution $u(x, t)$ in terms of the data as a nearly perfect interaction between solitary waves. In the nonintegrable case, numerical simulations predict a similar behavior which has not been rigorously established. However, some results are known: In [MM7] Martel and Merle for the L^2 -subcritical case ($1 < \alpha < 1 + 4/n$) proved the existence of multisolitary waves. More precisely, for

$$R(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{i(v_j \cdot x - |v_j|^2 t + \omega_j t + \theta_j)} \varphi_\omega(x - 2v_j t - x_0) \quad (6.49)$$

the sum of the N -traveling waves in (6.47) with $v_j \neq v_k$ if $j \neq k$, they showed that there exists $u \in C([0, \infty) : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ solution of the equation in (6.1) with $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$

$$\|R(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|_{1,2} \leq c e^{-\alpha_0 t} \text{ for some } c, \alpha_0 > 0. \quad (6.50)$$

Notice that in the L^2 -subcritical case the solitary waves are stable (see [CzL]), (for other results in this direction see [Pe2].)

In the same vain in [HZ], [HMZ], and [DH] the time evolution of the solution of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u - q\delta_0(x)u + |u|^2 u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = e^{ivx} \operatorname{sech}(x - x_0), \quad x_0 \ll -1, \end{cases} \quad (6.51)$$

$q \in \mathbb{R}$, has been studied. Notice that if $q = 0$ the solution of (6.51) is the soliton

$$u(x, t) = e^{ivx} e^{-iv^2 t} \operatorname{sech}(x - 2vt - x_0), \quad (6.52)$$

and that for $q \neq 0$ the “soliton” should interact with the localized potential at time $t \sim |x_0|/v$. In [HZ] it was shown that for $|q| \ll 1$ the “soliton solution” of (6.51) remains “intact.” In the repulsive case ($q > 0$) for high velocity ($v \gg 1$) it was proven in [HMZ] that the incoming solution split into transmitted and reflected components (traveling a velocity v to the right and to the left, respectively). The attractive case ($q < 0$) was studied in [DH].

In the one-dimensional L^2 -supercritical case

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + |u|^{\alpha-1} u = 0, \quad \alpha > 5,$$

it is known that the traveling wave solution in (5.13) is unstable. In [KrS] a kind of “finite dimensional version” of the stable manifold for the ODE system was constructed.

6.4 Exercises

6.1.

- (i) Let $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/n]$. Prove that the local L^2 -solution of the IVP (5.1) provided by Theorems 5.2–5.3 satisfies the identity (5.2).
- (ii) Let $\alpha \in (1, 1 + 4/(n-2)]$. Show that the local H^1 -solution of the IVP (5.1) provided by Theorems 5.4–5.5 satisfies the identities (5.2)–(5.3).
- (iii) If in addition to the hypothesis in (ii) assuming that $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ prove (5.5).
- (iv) Assume $\lambda < 0$ (defocusing case) and $\alpha \geq 1 + 4/n$ with the hypotheses in (iii); prove the decay estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{\alpha+1} \leq ct^{-2/(\alpha+1)}.$$

6.2. Consider the IVP (6.1) with $\alpha = 1 + 4/n$. Let $u(t)$ be its global L^2 -solution corresponding to a datum $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|u_0\|_2 < \varepsilon$ provided by Theorem 6.2 (iii).

- (i) Prove that there exist $u_0^\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$u(t) = e^{\pm i t \Delta} u_0^\pm + R_\pm(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|R_\pm(t)\|_2 = 0. \quad (6.53)$$

- (ii) Prove that (6.53) fails for arbitrary $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Hint: (i) Using Theorem 4.2, inequality (4.15), and Corollary 5.2 prove that

$$u_0^\pm = u_0 + \int_0^{\pm\infty} e^{-it'\Delta} |u|^{4/n} u(t') dt' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(ii) Use the standing wave solutions in (5.12).

6.3. (Morawetz's estimate) Consider the IVP (6.1) in the defocusing case $\lambda = -1$, with $\alpha < 1 + 4/(n-2)$, and $n \geq 3$. Let $u \in C([-T_0, T_1] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be the local solution of this problem provided by Theorem 5.4.

(i) Prove the following estimates:

(a)

$$\Re \int i \partial_t u \left(\partial_r \bar{u} + \frac{(n-1)}{2r} \bar{u} \right) dx = \Re \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int i u \partial_r u dx.$$

(b)

$$\Re \int \Delta u \left(\partial_r \bar{u} + \frac{(n-1)}{r} \bar{u} \right) dx \leq 0.$$

(c)

$$\Re \int -|u|^{\alpha-1} u \left(\partial_r \bar{u} + \frac{(n-1)}{r} \bar{u} \right) dx = -\frac{\alpha-1}{2(\alpha+1)} \int \frac{(n-1)}{2r} |u|^{\alpha+1} dx$$

where $r = |x|$.

(ii) Using part (i) and the equation in (6.1) show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int i u \partial_r \bar{u} dx \geq \frac{(\alpha-1)(n-1)}{4(\alpha+1)} \int \frac{|u(x,t)|^{\alpha+1}}{|x|} dx. \quad (6.54)$$

(iii) Integrate (6.54) in the interval $(t_1, t_2) \subset [-T_0, T_1]$ to get

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x,t)|^{\alpha+1}}{|x|} \leq c (\|u(t_1)\|_{1,2} + \|u(t_2)\|_{1,2}). \quad (6.55)$$

(iv) Use Theorem 6.2 to conclude that if in addition $\alpha < 1 + 4/n$, then the global solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x,t)|^{\alpha+1}}{|x|} dx dt < \infty. \quad (6.56)$$

(Notice that $|x|^{-1}$ is not integrable around the origin, so (6.56) gives information over the movement in time of the solution around the origin.)

Chapter 7

Korteweg-de Vries Equation

In this chapter we will study the local well-posedness for the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v^k \partial_x v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The family of equations above is called the *k-generalized Korteweg-de Vries (k-gKdV) equation*. The case $k = 1$ is known as the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation and is the most famous of the family. It was first derived as a model for unidirectional propagation of nonlinear dispersive long waves [KdV] but it also has been considered in different contexts, namely in its relation with inverse scattering (see Chapter 9, Section 9.6 for a brief introduction to it), in plasma physics, and in algebraic geometry (see [Mu] and references therein). The case $k = 2$ is called the *modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation*. Like the KdV equation it models propagation of weak nonlinear dispersive waves and it also can be solved via inverse scattering, i.e., this is a completely integrable system. There is an important relationship between these two equations given by the Miura transformation [Mu1]. More precisely, if we assume u to be a solution of the mKdV equation then

$$v = i\sqrt{6}\partial_x u + u^2 \quad (7.2)$$

is a solution for the KdV equation. This relation was first used to obtain the inverse scattering results for both equations. Below we will return to this transformation when we discuss global and ill-posedness results.

The KdV and mKdV equations have an infinite number of conserved quantities (see [MGK]). For $k > 2$, that is not the case. However real solutions to the k-gKdV equation have the following conserved quantities: total mass

$$I_1(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0(x) dx; \quad (7.3)$$

the L^2 -norm

$$I_2(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^2(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0^2(x) dx; \quad (7.4)$$

and the energy

$$I_3(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((\partial_x v)^2 - c_k v^{k+2} \right)(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((v_0')^2 - c_k v_0^{k+2} \right)(x) dx, \quad (7.5)$$

where $c_k = 2\{(k+1)(k+2)\}^{-1}$.

The k-gKdV equation admits solitary wave solutions having strong decay at infinity. These solutions are given by $v_{c,k}(x, t) = \phi_{c,k}(x - ct)$, $c > 0$ (c is the propagation speed) where

$$\phi_{c,k}(x) = \left\{ \frac{(k+2)}{2} c \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{k}{2} \sqrt{c} x\right) \right\}^{1/k} \quad (7.6)$$

are the unique (up to translation) positive solutions decaying at infinity of

$$c\varphi + \varphi'' + \frac{1}{k+1} \varphi^{k+1} = 0. \quad (7.7)$$

To motivate the local results that we will describe in this chapter we first note that if v solves (7.1), then, for $\lambda > 0$, so does $v_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{2/k} v(\lambda x, \lambda^3 t)$, with data $v_\lambda(x, 0) = \lambda^{2/k} v(\lambda x, 0)$.

Note that

$$\|v_\lambda(\cdot, 0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|D_x^s v_\lambda(\cdot, 0)\|_2 = \lambda^{2/k+s-1/2} \|v(\cdot, 0)\|_{\dot{H}^s}. \quad (7.8)$$

This suggests that the optimal s , for the power k , is $s = s_k = 1/2 - 2/k$. Thus $s_k \geq 0$ if and only if $k \geq 4$. Another consequence of this scaling argument is the form of the speed dependence of the solitary waves.

A simple computation shows that

$$\|\phi_{c,k}\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|D^{s_k} \phi_{c,k}\|_2 = a_k, \text{ independent of } c, \quad (7.9)$$

and if $s \neq s_k$, $\|D^{s_k} \phi_{c,k}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as either $c \rightarrow 0$ or $+\infty$. Later on we will illustrate the significance of this.

The best local well-posedness results in Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ known for the k-gKdV equation can be summarized as follows:

k	scaling	result
1	$s = -\frac{3}{2}$	$s \geq -\frac{3}{4}$
2	$s = -\frac{1}{2}$	$s \geq \frac{1}{4}$
3	$s = -\frac{1}{6}$	$s \geq -\frac{1}{6}$
$k \geq 4$	$s = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{k}$	$s \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{k}$

In this chapter the local results apply to both real and complex solutions. In Chapter 8, where we will study global well-posedness for the k -gKdV, we will only consider real solutions since they satisfy the conservation laws (7.4) and (7.5).

Here we will provide the proofs of the local results for the IVP associated to the KdV ($k = 1$), mKdV ($k = 2$), and the critical gKdV ($k = 4$) equations.

The approach we will follow for the last two equations is closely related to the previous one described for the NLS equation. However we shall remark that the situation faced here is more difficult to deal with due to the presence of derivatives on the nonlinearity that causes the so-called loss of derivatives. The idea is to analyze the special properties of solutions of the associated linear problem, such as smoothing effects like those of Strichartz (4.27) and Kato type (4.45), maximal function estimates combined with interpolated estimates. These plus some commutator estimates for fractional derivatives and the contraction mapping principle are the main ingredients in this method.

On the other hand, to establish *local well-posedness* (LWP) for the IVP associated to the KdV equation we will use the function spaces $X_{s,b}$ introduced in [Bo1]. These functions spaces have a norm given in terms of the symbol of the associated linear operator (in this case $\partial_t + \partial_x^3$) and have been very useful in analysis of the interaction between the nonlinear and the dispersive effects. In this point the so-called bilinear estimates play a main role to obtain sharp results.

In Section 7.3 we will also list some results regarding the supercritical case ($k > 4$). There we will use (7.6) and (7.9) mentioned above to illustrate ill-posedness results and thus the sharpness of the LWP results for the g-KdV equation for $k \geq 4$.

7.1 Linear Properties

In this section we will establish a series of estimates for solutions of the linear IVP,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (7.10)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, These estimates will be useful to show sharp local well-posedness results for the IVP (7.1) for $k = 2$ and $k \geq 4$.

We first recall that the solution of the IVP (7.10) is given by

$$v(x, t) = V(t)v_0(x) = S_t * v_0(x), \quad (7.11)$$

where

$$S_t(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i x \xi} e^{8\pi^3 i t \xi^3} d\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{t}} S_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{t}}\right)$$

(see (1.30)).

Notice that $\{V(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ defines a unitary group operator in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ (see Proposition 4.2).

We begin by showing a sharpened version of the “local smoothing” effect found by Kato and Kruskov and Faminskii (see (4.45)) for solutions of the linear equation (7.10) and the inhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v = f, \\ v(x, 0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (7.12)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 7.1. *The group $\{V(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ satisfies*

$$\|\partial_x V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq c \|v_0\|_2, \quad (7.13)$$

$$\left\| \partial_x^2 \int_0^t V(t-t') f(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq c \|f\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}. \quad (7.14)$$

Remark 7.1. The proofs show that in (7.13) and (7.14) we can also have $D^{1+i\gamma}$, $D^{2+i\gamma}$, γ real.

Remark 7.2. To simplify the exposition from now on we will omit the 2π factor in the Fourier transform. Thus in particular we will write

$$V(t)u_0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\xi + t\xi^3)} \widehat{v}_0(\xi) d\xi.$$

Proof. We only give the proof of (7.13), and refer to the proof of Theorem 4.4 estimate (4.24) for an argument similar to that needed to obtain (7.14).

The change of variables $\xi^3 = \eta$ shows that

$$\partial_x V(t)v_0(x) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\eta} e^{ix\eta^{1/3}} \eta^{-2/3+1/3} \widehat{v}_0(\eta^{1/3}) d\eta.$$

Using Plancherel’s identity (1.11) in the t variable, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x V(t)v_0\|_{L_t^2}^2 &= \frac{1}{9} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| e^{ix\eta^{1/3}} \eta^{-2/3+1/3} \widehat{v_0}(\eta^{1/3}) \right|^2 d\eta \\
&= c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2 d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

using $\eta^{1/3} = \xi$. This proves (7.13). \square

A consequence of (7.13) is

Corollary 7.1.

$$\left\| \partial_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(t-t')g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{L^2} \leq c \|g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}. \quad (7.15)$$

Remark 7.3. The result in (7.15) is equivalent to (7.13) by duality. Note that this corollary implies

$$\sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \left\| \partial_x \int_0^t V(t-t')g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{L^2} \leq c \|g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}. \quad (7.16)$$

The next lemma will be useful to obtain maximal function estimates.

Lemma 7.2. *For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|I^t(x)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\xi + t\xi^3)} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{1/2+i\gamma}} \right| \leq \frac{c(1+|\gamma|)}{|x|^{1/2}} \quad (7.17)$$

for γ real.

Proof. Since for $t = 0$ the result is obvious (Exercise 1.14), we assume $t \neq 0$ and see that a dilation argument reduces the proof to show

$$|I^1(x)| \leq \frac{c(1+|\gamma|)}{|x|^{1/2}}.$$

This can be done using a similar argument as the one in the proof of Proposition 1.6, taking into account the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : |\xi| \leq 2\}, \\
\Omega_2 &= \{\xi \notin \Omega_1 : |3\xi^2 + x| \leq |x|/2\}, \\
\Omega_3 &= \mathbb{R} - (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Next we have maximal function estimates for solutions of (7.10).

Lemma 7.3.

$$\left\| \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |V(t)v_0| \right\|_{L_x^4} = \|V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2, \quad (7.18)$$

$$\left\| D_x^{-1/2+i\gamma} \int_0^t V(t-t')f(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq c_\gamma \|f\|_{L_x^{4/3} L_t^1}, \quad (7.19)$$

where γ is real.

Remark 7.4. The estimate (7.18) due to [KR] is sharp in the sense that for any $p \neq 4$ on the left hand side will require even in a finite time interval more than $1/4$ derivatives on the right hand side of the inequality.

Proof. Showing estimate (7.18) is equivalent to proving

$$\|D_x^{-1/4+i\gamma} V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq c_\gamma \|v_0\|_2. \quad (7.20)$$

Hence we do so for $\gamma = 0$ and prove (7.20).

We will see that a version of (7.19) implies (7.20) by a method that follows the proof of the Stein–Tomas L^2 -restriction theorem for the Fourier transform. In fact, duality shows that (7.18) is equivalent to

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^{-1/4} V(t)g(\cdot, t) dt \right\|_{L^2} \leq c \|g\|_{L_x^{4/3} L_t^1}.$$

Squaring the left hand side of the inequality we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^{-1/4} V(t)g(\cdot, t) dt \right\|_2^2 = \iint g(x, t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^{-1/2} V(t-t') \overline{g(\cdot, t')} dt' dx dt,$$

so that (7.18) follows from

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^{-1/2} V(t-t')g(\cdot, t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq c \|g\|_{L_x^{4/3} L_t^1}. \quad (7.21)$$

Next, we observe that (7.17) shows that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^{-1/2} V(t-t')g(\cdot, t') dt' \right| \leq \frac{c}{|x|^{1/2}} * \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\cdot, t')| dt'.$$

Thus, inequality (7.21) can be deduced from the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev theorem (Theorem 2.6), since $\frac{c}{|x|^{1/2}} * : L^{4/3} \rightarrow L^4$. The estimate (7.19) follows by the same argument. \square

Lemma 7.4.

1. If $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\|V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} \leq c \|v_0\|_2. \quad (7.22)$$

2. If $g \in L_x^{5/4} L_t^{10/9}$, then

$$\left\| \int_0^t V(t-t')g(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} \leq c \|g\|_{L_x^{5/4} L_t^{10/9}}. \quad (7.23)$$

Proof. To prove (7.22) we consider the analytic family of operators

$$T_z v_0 = D_x^{-z/4} D_x^{(1-z)} V(t)v_0, \quad \text{with } z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \Re z \leq 1.$$

When $z = i\gamma$,

$$T_{i\gamma} v_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V(t) D_x^{-i5\gamma/4} H v_0,$$

where H denotes the Hilbert transform (see (1.18)). Hence, estimate (7.13) implies

$$\|T_{i\gamma} v_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq c \|v_0\|_2,$$

where we used that $\|D_x^{-i5\gamma/4} H v_0\|_2 = \|v_0\|_2$.

On the other hand, setting $z = 1 + i\gamma$ we get

$$T_{1+i\gamma} v_0 = D_x^{-1/4} V(t) D_x^{-i\gamma 5/4} v_0.$$

Thus estimate (7.18) yields

$$\|T_{1+i\gamma} v_0\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq c \|v_0\|_2.$$

Hence from Stein's analytic interpolation (Theorem 2.7) the estimate (7.22) follows by choosing $z = 4/5$.

Part (ii) follows a similar argument but more delicate (see Corollary 3.8 in [KPV4]). \square

Lemma 7.5. If $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\|D_x V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^{20} L_t^{5/2}} \leq \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2. \quad (7.24)$$

Proof. The result follows using the Stein interpolation theorem (see Theorem 2.7) and the estimates (7.13), i.e.,

$$\|D_x^{5/4} D_x^{i\gamma} V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2,$$

and (7.18), i.e.,

$$\|D_x^{i\gamma} V(t)v_0\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2,$$

with $\theta = 4/5$. □

Lemma 7.6 (Leibniz rule). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, \alpha]$ with $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Let $p, q, p_1, p_2, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$, $q_1 \in (1, \infty]$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}.$$

Then

$$\|D_x^\alpha(fg) - fD_x^\alpha g - gD_x^\alpha f\|_{L_x^p L_T^q} \leq c \|D_x^{\alpha_1} f\|_{L_x^{p_1} L_T^{q_1}} \|D_x^{\alpha_2} g\|_{L_x^{p_2} L_T^{q_2}}. \quad (7.25)$$

Moreover, for $\alpha_1 = 0$ the value $q_1 = \infty$ is allowed.

Lemma 7.7 (Chain rule). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p, q, p_1, p_2, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$, $q_1 \in (1, \infty]$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}.$$

Then

$$\|D_x^\alpha F(f)\|_{L_x^p L_T^q} \leq c \|F'(f)\|_{L_x^{p_1} L_T^{q_1}} \|D_x^\alpha f\|_{L_x^{p_2} L_T^{q_2}}. \quad (7.26)$$

For the proof of Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7 we refer to [KPV4] (see also [CrW]).

The extra difficulty in obtaining these estimates comes from the fact that one needs to control derivatives in the space variable in a norm depending on the t variable first.

7.2 Modified Korteweg–de Vries Equation

In this section we establish the local well-posedness theory for the IVP associated to the modified KdV equation,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v^2 \partial_x v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (7.27)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The idea of the proof is to use the linear estimates that we have obtained in the previous section plus a contraction mapping argument. As in the case of the NSL equation we will employ the integral equation form of (7.27) for the same reason, i.e., it does not require differentiability of the solution.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $s \geq 1/4$. Then for any $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ there exist $T = T(\|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2) = c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2^{-4}$ and a unique strong solution $v(t)$ of the IVP (7.27) such that*

$$v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.28)$$

$$\|D_x^s \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left(\int_{-T}^T |D_x^s \partial_x v(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad (7.29)$$

$$\|D_x^{s-1/4} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^{20} L_T^{5/2}} + \|D_x^s v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} < \infty, \quad (7.30)$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L_x^4 L_T^\infty} < \infty. \quad (7.31)$$

Moreover, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of v_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $\tilde{v}_0 \mapsto \tilde{v}(t)$ from \mathcal{V} into the class defined by (7.28)–(7.31) is smooth.

Proof. We define

$$\mathcal{X}_T = \{v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R})) : \|v\|_T < \infty\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}_T^a = \{v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R})) : \|v\|_T \leq a\},$$

where

$$\|v\|_T = \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} + \|D_x^s \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} + \|D_x^{s-1/4} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^{20} L_T^{5/2}} + \|D_x^s v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} + \|v\|_{L_x^4 L_T^\infty}.$$

We shall prove that for appropriate values of a and T the operator

$$\Psi_{v_0}(v)(t) = \Psi(v)(t) = V(t)v_0 - \int_0^t V(t-t')(v^2 \partial_x v)(t') dt' \quad (7.32)$$

defines a contraction map on \mathcal{X}_T^a .

We only consider the case $s = 1/4$. Since the higher derivatives appear linearly in the norms (7.28)–(7.30) the argument below will also provide the proof in the general case $s > 1/4$.

Using the operator (7.32), group properties, and the Minkowsky and Cauchy–Schwarz inequalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_x^{1/4} \Psi(v)(t)\|_2 &\leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|D_x^{1/4} (v^2 \partial_x v)\|_2 dt \\ &\leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + c T^{1/2} \|D_x^{1/4} (v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the last term we make use of the Leibniz rule for fractional derivatives (7.25) and the chain rule (7.26). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^2} \\
& \leq \|v^2\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \|D_x^{1/4} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} + \|D_x^{1/4}(v^2)\|_{L_x^{20/9} L_T^{10}} \|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^{20} L_T^{5/2}} \\
& \leq \|v\|_{L_x^4 L_T^\infty}^2 \|D_x^{1/4} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^4 L_T^\infty} \|D_x^{1/4} v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} \|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^{20} L_T^{5/2}} \\
& \leq c \|v\|_T^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.33}$$

Hence

$$\|D_x^{1/4} \Psi(v)(t)\|_2 \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + c T^{1/2} \|v\|_T^3. \tag{7.34}$$

From definition (7.32), Minkowski's inequality, group properties, the smoothing effect (7.13), and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_x^{1/4} \partial_x \Psi(v)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \\
& \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_x(V(t)V(-t')) D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} dt' \\
& \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + c T^{1/2} \|D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.35}$$

The maximal function norm of Ψ can be estimated applying Minkowski's inequality, group properties, (7.18), and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi(v)(t)\|_{L_x^4 L_T^\infty} & \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|V(t)V(-t')(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^4 L_T^\infty} dt' \\
& \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + c T^{1/2} \|D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.36}$$

A similar argument as the previous one but using (7.24) instead of (7.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x \Psi(v)(t)\|_{L_x^{20} L_T^{5/2}} & \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_x V(t)V(-t')(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^{20} L_T^{5/2}} dt' \\
& \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + c T^{1/2} \|D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.37}$$

Finally, the estimate (7.22) and the above argument gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_x^{1/4} \Psi(v)(t)\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} & \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|V(t)V(-t') D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} dt' \\
& \leq c \|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2 + c T^{1/2} \|D_x^{1/4}(v^2 \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.38}$$

Hence, the argument used in (7.33) applied in (7.35)–(7.38) gives

$$\|\Psi(v)(t)\|_T \leq c \|v_0\|_{1/4,2} + c T^{1/2} \|v\|_T^3. \tag{7.39}$$

Choosing $a = 2c\|v_0\|_{1/4,2}$ and T such that

$$ca^2T^{1/2} < \frac{1}{2} \quad (7.40)$$

we obtain that $\Psi_{v_0} : \mathcal{X}_T^a \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_T^a$.

A similar argument shows that

$$\|\Psi(v) - \Psi(\tilde{v})\|_T \leq cT^{1/2}(\|v\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{v}\|_T^2) \|v - \tilde{v}\|_T \leq 2cT^{1/2}a^2 \|v - \tilde{v}\|_T.$$

Then the choice of a and T in (7.40) implies that Ψ is a contraction. Consequently, we have that there exists a unique $v \in \mathcal{X}_T^a$ with $\Psi_{v_0}(v) \equiv v$, i.e.,

$$v(t) = V(t)v_0 - \int_0^t V(t-t')(v^2\partial_x v)(t') dt'. \quad (7.41)$$

Using similar arguments as above we also deduce that for $T_1 \in (0, T)$

$$\|\Psi_{v_0}(v) - \Psi_{v_0}(\tilde{v})\| \leq c\|v_0 - \tilde{v}_0\|_{s,2} + cT_1^{1/2}(\|v\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}\|^2) \|v - \tilde{v}\|.$$

This shows that for $T_1 \in (0, T)$ the map $\tilde{v}_0 \mapsto \tilde{v}$ on a neighborhood \mathcal{W} of v_0 depending on T_1 to \mathcal{X}_T^a is Lipschitz. We notice that an argument as the one used in Corollary 5.6 allows one to prove that this map actually is smooth.

Hence the solution $v(\cdot) \in \mathcal{X}_T^a$ of the integral equation (7.41) is a strong solution of the IVP (7.27). In particular v satisfies the equation in (7.27) in the distribution sense.

Next we extend the uniqueness result to the class \mathcal{X}_T . Suppose $w \in \mathcal{X}_{T_1}$ for small $T_1 \in (0, T)$ is a strong solution of the IVP (7.27). The argument used in (7.39) shows that for some $T_2 \in (0, T_1)$, $w \in \mathcal{X}_{T_2}^a$. Thus (7.40) implies $w \equiv v$ in $\mathbb{R} \times [-T_2, T_2]$. By reapplying this argument the result can be extended to the whole interval $[-T, T]$. This yields the uniqueness result in \mathcal{X}_T . \square

7.3 Generalized Korteweg–de Vries Equation

The local theory for the IVP (7.1) when $k \geq 4$ will be discussed in this section. We will prove the local theory for the critical case $k = 4$. For the case $k > 4$ we will give the statements of the local well-posedness results without proofs and talk over the sharpness of these results.

We will first consider the L^2 -critical case (see (7.8)), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v^4 \partial_x v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (7.42)$$

To show the local well-posedness for (7.42) we will follow a similar approach to the one used for the mKdV equation.

Theorem 7.2. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\|v_0\|_2 < \delta,$$

there exists a unique strong solution $v(\cdot)$ of the IVP (7.42) satisfying

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.43)$$

$$\|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty, \quad (7.44)$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} < \infty. \quad (7.45)$$

Moreover, the map $v_0 \mapsto v(t)$ from $\{v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \|v_0\|_2 < \delta\}$ into the class defined by (7.43)–(7.45) is smooth.

Remark 7.5. Observe that this global L^2 result is valid for real or complex solutions. This is due to the homogeneity of the equation (scaling argument) and not to the L^2 conserved quantity.

Remark 7.6. It is expected that δ in the theorem be equal to the size of the solitary wave solution in the L^2 -norm (7.6) with $k = 4$.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. We now define, for $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\|v_0\|_2 < \delta$,

$$\Psi(v)(t) = \Psi_{v_0}(v)(t) = V(t)v_0 - \int_0^t V(t-t') v^4 \partial_x v(t') dt'. \quad (7.46)$$

We shall show that there is $\delta > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that if $\|v_0\|_2 < \delta$ then

$$\Psi : \mathcal{X}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_a$$

is a contraction map, where

$$\mathcal{X}_a = \{w \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R})) : \|w\| \leq a\}$$

and

$$\|w\| = \|\partial_x w\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} + \|w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|w\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}}.$$

Using (7.46) and (7.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(v)(t)\|_2 &\leq \|v_0\|_2 + c \left\| \int_0^t V(t-t') v^5(t') dt' \right\|_2 \leq \|v_0\|_2 + c \|v^5\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2} \\ &\leq \|v_0\|_2 + c \|v\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}}^5 \leq \|v_0\|_2 + c \|v\|^5. \end{aligned} \quad (7.47)$$

Similarly (7.22), (7.23) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Psi(v)(t)\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \left\| \int_0^t V(t-t') \partial_x(v^5)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} \\
 &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \|v^4 \partial_x v\|_{L_x^{5/4} L_t^{10/9}} \\
 &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \|v\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}}^4 \|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\
 &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \|v\|^5.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.48}$$

Finally, we use (7.13) and (7.14) to have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\partial_x \Psi(v)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \left\| \partial_x^2 \int_0^t V(t-t') (v^5)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\
 &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \|v^5\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2} \\
 &\leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c \|v\|^5.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.49}$$

Using Remark 7.3 it follows that $\Psi(v) \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(R))$. Thus from (7.47)–(7.49) we obtain that

$$\|\Psi(v)\| \leq c\|v_0\|_2 + c\|v\|^5.$$

Now choosing δ such that

$$c(4c\delta)^4 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad a \in (2c\delta, 3c\delta)$$

we conclude that $\Psi : \mathcal{X}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_a$.

A similar argument leads to

$$\|\Psi(v) - \Psi(\tilde{v})\| \leq c(\|v\|^4 + \|\tilde{v}\|^4) \|v - \tilde{v}\| \leq 2ca^4 \|v - \tilde{v}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v - \tilde{v}\|.$$

Since the remainder of the proof follows the argument employed in Theorem 7.1 it will be omitted. \square

As a corollary of this result we have the local well-posedness for the L^2 -critical case.

Theorem 7.3 (Critical case). *Let $k = 4$. Given any $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ there exist $T = T(v_0) > 0$ and a unique strong solution $v(\cdot)$ of the IVP (7.42) satisfying*

$$v \in C([-T, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R})), \tag{7.50}$$

$$\|v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} < \infty, \tag{7.51}$$

and

$$\|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} < \infty. \tag{7.52}$$

Given $T' \in (0, T)$ there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{W} of v_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $\tilde{v}_0 \mapsto \tilde{v}(t)$ from \mathcal{W} into the class defined by (7.50)–(7.52) with T' instead of T is smooth.

If $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 0$ the previous result extends to the class

$$v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

and

$$\|D_x^s \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} < \infty.$$

in the above time interval $[-T, T]$.

Remark 7.7. The norm we define to prove this result is as follows:

$$\|v\| = \|v - V(t)v_0\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}},$$

which is “similar” to the L^2 -critical case for the semilinear Schrödinger equation (see Theorem 5.3). Notice that in Theorem 7.3 the time of existence of the local solution depends on v_0 itself and not on its norm.

Next we have the subcritical local existence result.

Theorem 7.4. Let $s > 0$. Then for any $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ there exist $T = T(\|v_0\|_{s,2})$ (with $T(\rho, s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$) and a unique strong solution $u(\cdot)$ of the IVP (7.42) satisfying

$$v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.53)$$

$$\|v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} + \|D_x^s v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} + \|D_t^{s/3} v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} < \infty, \quad (7.54)$$

and

$$\|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} + \|D_x^s \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} + \|D_t^{s/3} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} < \infty. \quad (7.55)$$

Given $T' \in (0, T)$ there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of v_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $\tilde{v}_0 \mapsto \tilde{v}(t)$ from \mathcal{V} into the class defined by (7.53), (7.54), and (7.55) with T' instead of T is smooth.

Next we consider the IVP (7.1) in the L^2 -supercritical case, i.e., $k > 4$. The results will be listed without proof.

Theorem 7.5. Let $k > 4$ and $s_k = (k - 4)/2k$. Then there exists $\delta_k > 0$ such that for any $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|D_x^{s_k} v_0\|_2 \leq \delta_k,$$

there exists a unique strong solution $v(\cdot)$ of the IVP (7.1) satisfying

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} : \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.56)$$

$$\|D_x^{s_k} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} < \infty, \quad (7.57)$$

$$\|D_x^{s_k} v\|_{L_x^5 L_T^{10}} < \infty, \quad (7.58)$$

and

$$\|D_x^{1/10-2/5k} D_t^{3/10-6/5k} v\|_{L_x^{p_k} L_t^{q_k}} < \infty, \quad (7.59)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p_k} = \frac{2}{5k} + \frac{1}{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q_k} = \frac{3}{10} - \frac{4}{5k}.$$

Moreover, the map $v_0 \mapsto v(t)$ from

$$\mathcal{V} = \{v_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}) : \|D_x^{s_k} v_0\|_2 \leq \delta_k\}$$

into the class defined by (7.56)–(7.59) is smooth.

Next we have the result corresponding to any data size.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $k > 4$ and $s_k = (k-4)/2k$. Given $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ there exist $T = T(v_0) > 0$ and a unique strong solution $v(\cdot)$ of the IVP (7.1) satisfying*

$$v \in C([-T, T] : \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.60)$$

$$\|D_x^{s_k} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty, \quad (7.61)$$

$$\|D_x^{s_k} v\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} < \infty, \quad (7.62)$$

and

$$\|D_x^{1/10-2/5k} D_t^{3/10-6/5k} v\|_{L_x^{p_k} L_t^{q_k}} < \infty \quad (7.63)$$

with p_k and q_k as in (7.59).

Given $T' \in (0, T)$, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{W} of $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $\tilde{v}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{v}(t)$ from \mathcal{W} into the class defined by (7.59)–(7.63) is smooth.

If $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq s_k$, the previous results extend to the class

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

and

$$\|D_x^s \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty$$

in the above interval $[-T, T]$.

Corollary 7.2. *Let $k > 4$ and $s > s_k = (k-4)/2k$. Then for any $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ there exists $T = T(\|v_0\|_{s,2}) > 0$ ($T(\rho; s) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$) and a unique strong solution $v(\cdot)$ of the IVP (7.1) satisfying in addition to (7.61)–(7.63)*

$$v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.64)$$

$$\|D_x^s \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} + \|D_t^{s/3} \partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty, \quad (7.65)$$

$$\|D_x^s v\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} + \|D_t^{s/3} v\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} < \infty, \quad (7.66)$$

and

$$\|D_x^{s/5} D_t^{3s/5} v\|_{L_x^{p_k} L_t^{q_k}} < \infty \quad (7.67)$$

with p_k and q_k as in (7.59).

Given $T' \in (0, T)$, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{W} of $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $\tilde{v}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{v}(t)$ from \mathcal{W} into the class defined by (7.61)–(7.67) is smooth.

If $v_0 \in H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s' > s$ the previous results hold with s' instead of s in the same time interval $[-T, T]$.

To conclude this section we will discuss the sharpness of the results described in this section.

In [BKPSV] it was proved that if the notion of well-posedness given in Chapter 5 is strengthened, then the IVP (7.1) is ill-posed for $k \geq 4$. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 7.7. *The IVP (7.1) with $k \geq 4$ is ill-posed in $H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s_k = 1/2 - 2/k$ in the sense that the time of existence and the continuous dependence cannot be expressed in terms of the size of the data in the H^{s_k} -norm.*

Proof. We only consider the case $k = 4$. We will prove that if we assume $T = T(\|v_0\|_{L^2}) > 0$, then the part in the theorem regarding the continuous dependence of the solution upon the data fails. The proof below will also establish the second part of the theorem.

Consider the solitary wave solutions $\phi_{c,4}$ in (7.6) and $v_{c_k,4}(x, t)$ the solution corresponding to initial data $v_0(x) = \phi_{c_k,4}(x)$. We compare

$$\|\phi_{c_1,4} - \phi_{c_2,4}\|_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_{c_1,4}(\cdot, t) - v_{c_2,4}(\cdot, t)\|_2^2$$

for $t \neq 0$. We will show that one can choose c_1 and c_2 so that the first expression tends to 0 while the second one does not. Thus, well-posedness cannot hold for these data.

Let $a_4^2 = \int \phi_{c_j,4}^2$, $j = 1, 2$, and note that

$$\|\phi_{c_1,4} - \phi_{c_2,4}\|_2^2 = \|\phi_{c_1,4}\|_2^2 + \|\phi_{c_2,4}\|_2^2 - 2\langle \phi_{c_1,4}, \phi_{c_2,4} \rangle.$$

Writing $\varphi_4(x) = 3^{1/4} (\text{sech}^2(2x))^{1/4}$, the inner product equals

$$c_1^{1/4} c_2^{1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_4(\sqrt{c_1}x) \varphi_4(\sqrt{c_2}x) dx.$$

If $\sqrt{c_1}x = y$, we get

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_4(y) \varphi_4\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_2}}y\right) dy \rightarrow a_4^2 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{c_1}{c_2} \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus,

$$\|\phi_{c_1,4} - \phi_{c_2,4}\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0. \tag{7.68}$$

Analyzing $\|v_{c_1,4}(\cdot, t) - v_{c_2,4}(\cdot, t)\|_2^2$ similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_4^2 + a_4^2 - \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_4(y - c_1^{3/2}t) \varphi_4\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_2}}y - c_2^{3/2}t\right) dy \\
& = a_4^2 + a_4^2 - \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_4(z) \varphi_4\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_2}}z - c_2^{1/2}(c_1 - c_2)t\right) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Choose now $c_1/c_2 \rightarrow 1$, but $c_2^{1/2}(c_1 - c_2) \rightarrow \infty$ (for instance, $c_1 = N + 1$, $c_2 = N$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). The rapid decay of φ_4 shows that the integral approaches 0. Thus,

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|v_{c_1,4}(\cdot, t) - v_{c_2,4}(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \rightarrow 2a_4^2 \quad \text{for any } T > 0 \quad (7.69)$$

as $c_1/c_2 \rightarrow 1$.

Finally, (7.8), (7.68), and (7.69) yield the result. \square

7.4 Korteweg–de Vries Equation

In this section we will establish the local theory of the IVP associated to the Korteweg–de Vries equation, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v \partial_x v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (7.70)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The method used here is quite different from the one illustrated for the NLS equation in Chapter 5 and in the previous three sections of this chapter for the mKdV and gKdV equations.

We start out by defining the function spaces introduced in the context of dispersive equations by Bourgain in [Bo1]:

Definition 7.1. For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$X_{s,b} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{2b} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau < \infty \right\},$$

where $\widehat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier transform in \mathbb{R}^2 .

We will solve (a variant) of the integral equation, namely

$$v(t) = \theta(t)V(t)v_0 + \theta(t) \int_0^t V(t-t')v \partial_x v(t') dt', \quad (7.71)$$

where $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $\theta \equiv 1$ near 0, $\text{supp } \theta \subseteq [-1, 1]$ with $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $v \in X_{s,b}$.

Remark 7.8. Let $\widehat{J^s f}(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}(\xi)$, and $\widehat{\Lambda^b f}(\tau) = (1 + |\tau|^2)^{b/2} \widehat{f}(\tau)$, where $\widehat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier transform in one variable. Then,

$$\|f\|_{X_{s,b}} = \|\Lambda^b J^s V(-t)f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_t^2}.$$

Corollary 7.3. *If $b > 1/2$,*

$$X_{s,b} \subset C((-\infty, \infty) : H^s(\mathbb{R})).$$

This is an easy consequence of Remark 7.8 and the usual Sobolev embedding theorem.

Let us set $\theta_\rho(t) = \theta(\rho^{-1}t)$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$, where θ is as above.

Lemma 7.8. *For any $b > 1/2$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|\theta_\rho V(t)v_0\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \|v_0\|_{s,2}. \quad (7.72)$$

Proof.

$$\theta_\rho(t)V(t)v_0 = \theta(\rho^{-1}t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} e^{it\tau} \delta(\tau - \xi^3) \widehat{v}_0 d\xi d\tau,$$

so that $(\theta(\rho^{-1}t)V(t)v_0)^\wedge(\xi, \tau) = \rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho(\tau - \xi^3)) \widehat{v}_0(\xi)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\theta(t)V(t)v_0\|_{X_{s,b}}^2 \\ &= c\rho^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}(\rho(\tau - \xi^3))|^2 (1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{2b} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\widehat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &= c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\widehat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 \left(\rho^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}(\rho(\tau - \xi^3))|^2 (1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{2b} d\tau \right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $b > 1/2$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ the inner integral can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}(\rho(\tau - \xi^3))|^2 (1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{2b} d\tau \\ & \leq c\rho^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}(\rho(\tau - \xi^3))|^2 d\tau + c\rho^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}(\rho(\tau - \xi^3))|^2 |\tau - \xi^3|^{2b} d\tau \\ & \leq c\rho + c\rho^{1-2b} \leq c\rho^{1-2b}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 7.9. *For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1/2 < b \leq 1$,*

$$\|\theta_\rho v\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq c\rho^{(1-b)/2} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}}. \quad (7.73)$$

Proof. $(\theta_\rho(t)v(x,t))^\wedge = \widehat{v} *_t (\rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \cdot))$, so that by definition of the $X_{s,b}$ -norm, the proof reduces to showing that, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(\rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \cdot)) * \widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 (1 + |\tau - a|)^{2b} d\tau \leq c \rho^{(1-2b)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 (1 + |\tau - a|)^{2b} d\tau.$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \tau)| d\tau < \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(\rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \cdot)) * \widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 d\tau.$$

We turn to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(\rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \cdot)) * \widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 |\tau - a|^{2b} d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D_t^b(e^{iat} v(t) \theta(\rho^{-1} t))|^2 dt.$$

The Leibniz rule (7.25) shows

$$\|D_t^b(e^{iat} v \theta(\rho^{-1} \cdot)) - e^{iat} v D_t^b \theta(\rho^{-1} \cdot)\|_{L_t^2} \leq c \|D_t^b(e^{iat} v)\|_{L_t^2} \|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty}.$$

Note that $\|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty} \leq c$, and

$$\|D_t^b(e^{iat} v)\|_{L_t^2}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 |\tau - a|^{2b} d\tau.$$

Thus, we only have to bound the term

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{iat} v D_t^b \theta(\rho^{-1} t)|^2 dt.$$

But the Sobolev embedding theorem and the fact that $b > 1/2$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{iat} v D_t^b \theta(\rho^{-1} \cdot)|^2 dt \right) \\ & \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{iat} v(t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D_t^b(e^{iat} v)|^2 dt \right) \|D_t^b \theta(\rho^{-1} \cdot)\|_{L_t^2}^2 \\ & \leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau - a|^{2b} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \|D_t^b \theta(\rho^{-1} \cdot)\|_{L_t^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel's identity (1.11) and since $b > 1/2$ we have

$$\|D_t^b \theta(\rho^{-1} \cdot)\|_{L_t^2}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau|^{2b} \rho^2 |\widehat{\theta}(\rho \tau)|^2 d\tau \leq c \rho^{(1-2b)} \|\theta\|_{H_t^1}^2.$$

The proof of the lemma then follows. \square

Lemma 7.10. *Let $w(x, t) = \int_0^t V(t-t')h(t') dt'$. If $1/2 < b \leq 1$; then*

$$\|\theta_\rho w\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq c \rho^{(1-2b)/2} \|h\|_{X_{s,b-1}}. \quad (7.74)$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\rho(t) \int_0^t V(t-t')h(t') dt' \\ &= \theta_\rho(t) \iint e^{ix\xi} \frac{e^{it\tau} - e^{it\xi^3}}{\tau - \xi^3} \widehat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\ &= \theta_\rho(t) \iint e^{ix\xi} \frac{e^{it\tau} - e^{it\xi^3}}{\tau - \xi^3} \theta(\tau - \xi^3) \widehat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\ &\quad + \theta_\rho(t) \iint e^{ix\xi} \frac{e^{it\tau} - e^{it\xi^3}}{\tau - \xi^3} (1 - \theta)(\tau - \xi^3) \widehat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\ &\equiv I + II. \end{aligned} \quad (7.75)$$

A Taylor expansion gives us

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \theta_\rho(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} e^{it\xi^3} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{h}(\xi, \tau) (\tau - \xi^3)^{k-1} \theta(\tau - \xi^3) d\tau \right) d\xi. \quad (7.76)$$

Let $t^k \theta_\rho(t) = \rho^k (t/\rho)^k \theta(\rho^{-1}t) = \varphi_k(t)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}_k(\rho \tau)|^2 (1 + |\tau|)^{2b} d\tau \\ \leq c \rho^2 \left(\int |\widehat{\varphi}_k(\rho \tau)|^2 d\tau + \int |\tau|^{2b} |\widehat{\varphi}_k(\rho \tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \\ \leq c \rho^{(1-2b)} (\|\varphi_k\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|D_t \varphi_k\|_{L_t^2}^2) \leq c \rho^{(1-2b)} (1+k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the proof of (7.72) and (7.76)

$$\|I\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+k^2}{k!} \rho^k \rho^{(1-2b)} \left\| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{h}(\xi, \tau) (\tau - \xi^3)^{k-1} \theta(\tau - \xi^3) d\tau \right)^\vee \right\|_{s,2}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{h}(\xi, \tau) (\tau - \xi^3)^{k-1} \theta(\tau - \xi^3) d\tau \right)^{\vee} \right\|_{s,2}^2 \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{h}(\xi, \tau) (\tau - \xi^3)^{k-1} \theta(\tau - \xi^3)| d\tau \right)^2 d\xi \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \left(\int_{|\tau - \xi^3| < 1} |\hat{h}(\xi, \tau)| d\tau \right)^2 d\xi \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{h}(\xi, \tau)|}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{(1-b)}} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^b} d\tau \right)^2 d\xi \\
& \leq c \|h\|_{X_{s,b-1}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

since $b > 1/2$.

Next we estimate II in (7.75). We rewrite it as $II = II_1 + II_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 &= -\theta_p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\xi + t\xi^3)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \theta)(\tau - \xi^3)}{\tau - \xi^3} \hat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\tau \right) d\xi \\
II_2 &= \theta_p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\xi + t\tau)} \frac{(1 - \theta)(\tau - \xi^3)}{\tau - \xi^3} \hat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7.8, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, and $b > 1/2$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\|II_1\|_{X_{s,b}} &\leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \left\| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \theta)(\tau - \xi^3)}{\tau - \xi^3} \hat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\tau \right)^{\vee} \right\|_{s,2} \\
&\leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\int_{|\tau - \xi^3| \leq 1/2} \frac{1}{1 + |\tau - \xi^3|} |\hat{h}(\xi, \tau)| d\tau \right)^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\int_{|\tau - \xi^3| \leq 1/2} \frac{|\hat{h}(\xi, \tau)|}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{(1-b)}} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^b} d\tau \right)^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \|h\|_{X_{s,b-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (7.73) and the definition of $X_{s,b-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,b}} &\leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x\xi+t\tau)} \frac{(1-\theta)(\tau-\xi^3)}{\tau-\xi^3} \widehat{h}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \right\|_{X_{s,b}} \\ &\leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \|h\|_{X_{s,b-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.11.

$$\|\theta_\rho(t) \int_0^t V(t-t')h(t')dt'\|_{s,2} \leq c\rho^{(1-2b)/2} \|h\|_{X_{s,b-1}}. \quad (7.77)$$

Proof. A similar argument as the one used to show Lemma 7.10 yields (7.77). Thus we will omit it. \square

Lemma 7.12. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $b', b \in (1/2, 7/8)$ with $b < b'$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$; then for $v \in X_{s,b'-1}$ we have*

$$\|\theta_\rho v\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \leq c\rho^{(b'-b)/8(1-b)} \|v\|_{X_{s,b'-1}}. \quad (7.78)$$

Proof. To prove (7.78) we will use duality and will prove the estimate

$$\|\theta_\rho v\|_{X_{-s,1-b'}} \leq c\rho^{(b'-b)/8(1-b)} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b}}. \quad (7.79)$$

This result will follow by interpolation. To do so we need to establish the next inequalities

$$\|\theta_\rho v\|_{X_{-s,0}} \leq c\rho^{1/8} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b}} \quad (7.80)$$

and

$$\|\theta_\rho v\|_{X_{-s,1-b}} \leq c \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b}}. \quad (7.81)$$

Combining Remark 7.8, the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality (Theorem 3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_\rho v\|_{X_{-s,0}} &= \|J^{-s}V(t)(\theta(\rho^{-1}\cdot)v)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|V(t)\theta(\rho^{-1}\cdot)J^{-s}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\ &= \|\theta(\rho^{-1}\cdot)V(t)J^{-s}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \leq c\rho^{1/8} \|V(t)J^{-s}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{8/3}} \\ &\leq c\rho^{1/8} \|V(t)J^{-s}v\|_{L_x^2 H_t^{1/8}} = c\rho^{1/8} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1/8}} \\ &\leq c\rho^{1/8} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $1-b > 1/8$. This shows (7.80).

On the other hand, to prove (7.81) we will use a similar argument to the one applied in the proof of Lemma 7.10. Since $(\theta_\rho(t)v(x,t))^\wedge = \widehat{\theta}_\rho *_t \widehat{v}$, by the definition of the $X_{s,b}$ -space the proof reduces to showing that, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}_\rho *_t \widehat{v}|^2 (1+|\tau-a|)^{2(1-b)} d\tau \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}|^2 (1+|\tau-a|)^{2(1-b)} d\tau. \quad (7.82)$$

Since $\|\rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \cdot)\|_{L_t^1} < \infty$ we have that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}_\rho *_t \widehat{v}|^2 d\tau \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\tau.$$

Next we estimate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\theta}_\rho *_t \widehat{v}|^2 |\tau-a|^{2(1-b)} d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D_t^{1-b}(e^{iat} v(t) \theta(\rho^{-1}t))|^2 dt.$$

Using the Leibniz rule (7.25) we have that

$$\|D_t^{1-b}(e^{iat} v \theta_\rho) - e^{iat} v D_t^{1-b} \theta_\rho\|_{L_t^2} \leq c \|D_t^{1-b}(e^{iat} v)\|_{L_t^2} \|\theta_\rho\|_{L_t^\infty}. \quad (7.83)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (7.83) can be estimated as follows. We first notice that $\|\theta_\rho\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Thus Plancherel identity (1.11) gives us

$$\|D_t^{1-b}(e^{iat} v)\|_{L_t^2}^2 = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 |\tau-a|^{2(1-b)} d\tau \right)^{1/2}. \quad (7.84)$$

To bound $\|e^{iat} v D_t^{1-b} \theta_\rho\|_{L_t^2}$, we use the Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\|e^{iat} v D_t^{1-b} \theta_\rho\|_{L_t^2} \leq \|e^{iat} v\|_{L_t^{2p}} \|D_t^{1-b} \theta_\rho\|_{L_t^{2q}}$$

with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then we choose p such that $1/2 - 1/2p = 1 - b$. Using the Sobolev inequality (Theorem 3.3) we have

$$\|e^{iat} v\|_{L_t^{2p}} \leq \|e^{iat} v\|_{H_t^{1-b}} = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|\tau-a|)^{2(1-b)} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 d\tau. \quad (7.85)$$

Since the inverse Fourier transform is bounded from $L^{\frac{2q}{(2q-1)}}(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^{2q}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_t^{1-b} \theta_\rho\|_{L_t^{2q}} &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau|^{1-b} \rho \widehat{\theta}(\rho \tau) \left| \frac{2q}{2q-1} \right| d\tau \right)^{\frac{2q-1}{2q}} \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau|^{1-b} \widehat{\theta}(\tau) \left| \frac{2q}{2q-1} \right| d\tau \right)^{\frac{2q-1}{2q}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (7.86)$$

Combining (7.85) and (7.86) we have

$$\|e^{iat} v D_t^{1-b} \theta_\rho\|_{L_t^2} \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\tau - a|)^{2(1-b)} |\widehat{v}(\tau)|^2 d\tau. \quad (7.87)$$

Thus (7.84) and (7.87) yield (7.82).

The estimates (7.80) and (7.81) and interpolation yield the inequality (7.79). Thus the lemma follows. \square

The next estimate is the key argument to obtain the local result for the IVP (7.70). Notice that when we estimate the $X_{s,b}$ -norm of the integral part in Lemma 7.10 we end up in the space $X_{s,b-1}$, we have lost “one derivative,” so to apply a contraction mapping argument we need to have an estimate that takes the nonlinear part back to the space $X_{s,b}$.

Lemma 7.13.

1. If $v \in X_{s,b}$, $s > -3/4$, there exists $b > 1/2$ such that $v\partial_x v \in X_{s,b-1}$ and

$$\|\partial_x(v^2)\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \leq c \|v\|_{X_{s,b}}^2.$$

2. Given $s \leq -3/4$ the estimate above fails for any b .

We restate Lemma 7.13 in an equivalent form:

For $v \in X_{s,b}$, let $f(\xi, \tau) = \widehat{v}(\xi, \tau)(1 + |\xi|)^s(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^b$, so that $\|v\|_{X_{s,b}} = \|f\|_2$. In terms of f we can express $v\partial_x v$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\partial_x(v^2)}(\xi, \tau) &= i\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi_1, \tau_1) f(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1) \\ &\quad \times \frac{d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{(1 + |\xi_1|)^s(1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^b(1 + |\xi - \xi_1|)^s(1 + |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we let

$$\begin{aligned} B(f, f, s, b) &= \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^s}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{1-b}} |\xi| \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(\xi, \xi_1, \tau, \tau_1) f(\xi_1, \tau_1) f(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1) d\xi_1 d\tau_1, \end{aligned} \quad (7.88)$$

where

$$K(\xi, \xi_1, \tau, \tau_1) = \frac{(1 + |\xi_1|)^{-s}(1 + |\xi - \xi_1|)^{-s}}{(1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^b(1 + |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^b},$$

Lemma 7.13 is equivalent to proving the next result for the bilinear operator $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined in (7.88).

Lemma 7.14.

1. If $s > -3/4$, then

$$\|B(f, f, s, b)\|_2 \leq c \|f\|_2^2. \quad (7.89)$$

2. If $s \leq -3/4$, the above estimate fails for each b .

We will prove (7.89) in detail for $s = 0$. For this purpose we will need some lemmas. The first one is regarding some elementary inequalities.

Lemma 7.15. If $b > 1/2$, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1+|x-\alpha|)^{2b}(1+|x-\beta|)^{2b}} \leq \frac{c}{(1+|\alpha-\beta|)^{2b}}, \quad (7.90)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1+|x|)^{2b}|\sqrt{a-x}|} \leq \frac{c}{(1+|a|)^{1/2}}. \quad (7.91)$$

Lemma 7.16. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(\xi, \tau) &= \frac{|\xi|}{(1+|\tau-\xi^3|)^{1-b}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{(1+|\tau_1-\xi_1^3|)^{2b}(1+|\tau-\tau_1-(\xi-\xi_1)^3|)^{2b}} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.92)$$

If $1/2 < b \leq 3/4$, then

$$|G(\xi, \tau)| \leq c.$$

Proof. Let us set $\alpha = \xi_1^3$ and $\beta = \tau - (\xi - \xi_1)^3$ in (7.92). Then by (7.90) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{(1+|\tau_1-\xi_1^3|)^{2b}(1+|\tau-\tau_1-(\xi-\xi_1)^3|)^{2b}} \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1}{(1+|\tau-(\xi-\xi_1)^3-\xi_1^3|)^{2b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we use the change of variable

$$\mu = \tau - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 - \xi_1^3 = \tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1), \quad d\mu = 3\xi(\xi - 2\xi_1)d\xi_1,$$

and

$$\xi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \xi \pm \sqrt{\frac{4\tau - \xi^3 - 4\mu}{3\xi}} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$|\xi(\xi - 2\xi_1)| \simeq \sqrt{|\xi|} \sqrt{4\tau - \xi^3 - 4\mu}$$

and

$$d\xi_1 \simeq \frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{|\xi|} \sqrt{4\tau - \xi^3 - 4\mu}}.$$

Substituting this on the right hand side of the previous inequality and using (7.91) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\xi|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu}{(1+|\mu|)^{2b} \sqrt{4\tau - \xi^3 - 4\mu}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\xi|}} \frac{c}{(1+|4\tau - \xi^3|)^{1/2}}.$$

Hence, using the hypotheses we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |G(\xi, \tau)| &\leq \frac{|\xi|}{(1+|\tau - \xi^3|)^{1-b}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1/4}} \frac{c}{(1+|4\tau - \xi^3|)^{1/4}} \\ &\leq \frac{c|\xi|^{3/4}}{(1+|\tau - \xi^3|)^{1-b}(1+|4\tau - \xi^3|)^{1/4}} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 7.14. We will prove 1. in the case $s = 0$. Definition (7.88), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Lemma 7.16, Fubinni’s theorem, and Young’s inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(f, f, 0, b)\|_{L_\tau^2 L_\xi^2} &= \left\| \frac{|\xi|}{1+|\tau - \xi^3|^{1-b}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\xi_1, \tau_1) f(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1) d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{(1+|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^b (1+|(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^b} \right\|_{L_\tau^2 L_\xi^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{|\xi|}{(1+|\tau - \xi^3|)^{1-b}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{(1+|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^{2b} (1+|(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_\tau^\infty L_\xi^\infty} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\xi_1, \tau_1)|^2 |f(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1)|^2 d\xi_1 d\tau_1 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_\tau^2 L_\xi^2} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{L_\tau^2 L_\xi^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

As a corollary we have the next result.

Corollary 7.4. *For $s > -3/4$ and $b \in (1/2, 3/4]$ and $b' \in (1/2, b]$ we have that*

$$\|B(f, f)\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \leq c \|f\|_{X_{s,b'}}^2. \quad (7.93)$$

Now we are in position to prove the local well-posedness result for the IVP (7.70). More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 7.8. *Let $s \in (-3/4, 0]$. Then there exists $b \in (1/2, 1)$ such that for any $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ there exist $T = T(\|v_0\|_{s,2})$ with $(T(\rho) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0)$ and a unique solution $v(t)$ of the IVP (7.70) in the time interval $[-T, T]$ satisfying*

$$v \in C([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad (7.94)$$

$$v \in X_{s,b} \subset L_{x,\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R} : L_t^2(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (7.95)$$

$$\partial_x(v^2) \in X_{s,b-1} \quad (7.96)$$

and

$$\partial_t v \in X_{s-3,b-1}. \quad (7.97)$$

Moreover, for any $T' \in (0, T)$ there exist $R = R(T') > 0$ such that the map $\tilde{v}_0 \mapsto \tilde{v}(t)$ from $\{\tilde{v}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) : \|v_0 - \tilde{v}_0\|_{s,2} < R\}$ into the class defined by (7.94)–(7.97) with T' instead of T is smooth.

In addition, if $v_0 \in H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s' > s$, the previous results hold with s' instead of s in the same time interval $[-T, T]$.

Proof. We define

$$\mathcal{X}_a = \{v \in X_{s,b} : \|v\|_{X_{s,b}} < a\}. \quad (7.98)$$

For $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$, we define the operator

$$\Psi_{v_0}(v) = \Psi(v) = \theta_1(t)V(t)v_0 - \frac{\theta_1(t)}{2} \int_0^t V(t-t') \theta_\rho(t') \partial_x(v^2(t')) dt'. \quad (7.99)$$

We will prove that $\Psi(\cdot)$ defines a contraction on \mathcal{X}_a .

Let $\beta = (b - b')/8(1 - b')$. By using (7.72), (7.73), (7.74), and (7.89) in Lemma 7.13 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(v)\|_{X_{s,b}} &\leq c \|v_0\|_{s,2} + c \|\theta_\rho(t) \partial_x v^2(\cdot, t)\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \\ &\leq c \|v_0\|_{s,2} + c \rho^\beta \|\partial_x v^2(\cdot, t)\|_{X_{s,b'-1}} \\ &\leq c \|v_0\|_{s,2} + c \rho^\beta \|v\|_{X_{s,b}}^2 \\ &\leq c \|v_0\|_{s,2} + c \rho^\beta a^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.100)$$

Setting $a = 2c \|v_0\|_{H^s}$ and ρ such that

$$c \rho^\beta a < \frac{1}{2}$$

we have

$$\|\Psi(v)\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq a.$$

A similar argument shows that for $v, \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{X}_a$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(v) - \Psi(\tilde{v})\|_{X_{s,b}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \theta_1(t) \int_0^t V(t-t') \theta_\rho(t') \partial_x(v^2 - \tilde{v}^2)(t') dt' \right\|_{X_{s,b}} \\ &\leq c\rho^\beta \|v + \tilde{v}\|_{X_{s,b}} \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{X_{s,b}} \\ &\leq 2c\rho^\beta a \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{X_{s,b}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{X_{s,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Psi(\cdot)$ is a contraction from \mathcal{X}_a into itself and we obtain a unique fixed point that solves the equation for $T < \rho$, i.e.,

$$v(t) = \theta_1(t)V(t)v_0 - \frac{\theta_1(t)}{2} \int_0^t V(t-t') \theta_\rho(t') \partial_x(v^2(t')) dt'. \quad (7.101)$$

The additional regularity

$$v \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

is proved as follows:

Using the integral equation (7.101), Lemma 7.11, and Lemma 7.12, for $0 \leq \tilde{t} < t \leq 1$ and $t - \tilde{t} \leq \Delta t$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t) - v(\tilde{t})\|_{s,2} &\leq \|V(t - \tilde{t})v(\tilde{t}) - v(\tilde{t})\|_{s,2} \\ &\quad + c \left\| \int_{\tilde{t}}^t V(t-t') \theta^2\left(\frac{t' - \tilde{t}}{\Delta t}\right) \partial_x(v^2(t')) dt' \right\|_{s,2} \\ &\leq \|V(t - \tilde{t})v(\tilde{t}) - v(\tilde{t})\|_{s,2} + c \left\| \theta\left(\frac{\cdot - \tilde{t}}{\Delta t}\right) \partial_x v^2 \right\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \\ &\leq \|V(t - \tilde{t})v(\tilde{t}) - v(\tilde{t})\|_{s,2} + c (\Delta t)^{\frac{(b-b')}{8(1-b')}} \|\partial_x v^2\|_{X_{s,b'-1}} \\ &\leq \|V(t - \tilde{t})v(\tilde{t}) - v(\tilde{t})\|_{s,2} + c (\Delta t)^{\frac{(b-b')}{8(1-b')}} \|v\|_{X_{s,b'}}^2 = o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (7.102)$$

as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. This yields the persistence property. \square

7.5 Comments

The well-posedness of the k-gKdV equation has been studied extensively for many years. Improving results in [BS], [BSc], [ST] it was shown in [K5] that the IVP

(7.10) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/2$. However, as Kato remarked in [K2], “In fact, local well-posedness has almost nothing to do with the special structure of the KdV equation”. In other words, the local result in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/2$, does not distinguish the powers k and works for any skew-symmetric operator (instead of ∂_x^3) or omitting it (hyperbolic case).

For the study of the stability of solitary wave solutions of the k-gKdV equation it was important to have local well-posedness in Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \leq 1$.

For the KdV equation the L^2 local well-posedness was established by Bourgain [Bo1]. The proof given here was taken from [KPV6], where local well-posedness was obtained for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$ (Lemmas 7.14–7.15 were proved in [KPV6] and by Nakanishi, Takaoka, and Tsutsumi [NTT] in the case $s = -3/4$).

Extensions of the bilinear estimates (Lemma 7.14 (i)) were first obtained by Colliander, Staffilani, and Takaoka [CST], motivated by the study of global well-posedness below the L^2 -norm for the KdV equation. A further extension was given by Tao [To3].

In the limiting case $s = -3/4$ existence of solutions has been obtained by Christ, Colliander, and Tao [CrCT1]. They first gave a different proof of the $H^{1/4}$ result for the mKdV equation in the $X_{s,b}$ spaces and then used the Miura transformation (7.2) (the function spaces in their proof are given implicitly and depend on this transformation).

It is interesting to compare this local well-posedness result for the KdV with those for the viscous Burgers’ equation,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u + u \partial_x u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \quad (7.103)$$

In [Dx] Dix showed that (7.103) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq -1/2$ (scaling $s = -1/2$) and uniqueness fails for $s < -1/2$ (a construction based in the Cole–Hopf transformation). Therefore from the local well-posedness point of view the KdV equation is better than the (parabolic) Burgers’ equation.

The proof of the local well-posedness result for the mKdV was taken from [KPV4]. The estimate (7.13) is the sharp version of the Kato smoothing effect. It was already commented on at the end of Chapter 4 (see (4.44)–(4.57)) which was used to obtain weak L^2 solutions for the KdV equation.

The estimate (7.18) regarding the continuity of the maximal function associated to the group $V(t)$, i.e., $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |V(t)v_0|$, is due to Kenig and Ruiz [KR] and was obtained in their study of the problem mentioned in Chapter 4 (see (4.47)).

It was shown in [KPV5] that the result $s \geq 1/4$ is optimal, i.e., the map data solution $v_0 \mapsto v(t)$ cannot be uniformly continuous in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s < 1/4$. The proof of this assertion follows a close argument to the one provided in Chapter 5 for the cubic (focusing) NLS equation in one dimension. There it was constructed a two-parameter family of solutions for the cubic (focusing) NLS by combining the Galilean and the scaling invariance of the solutions. However the mKdV equation is not Galilean invariant. So to overcome this, one first considers the complex version

of the mKdV equation, namely,

$$\partial_t w + \partial_x^3 w + |w|^2 \partial_x w = 0 \quad (7.104)$$

(see [GO], [KSC]), which has a set of solutions that is Galilean invariant. In fact, we have the two-parameter family

$$w_{N,\omega}(x,t) = \sqrt{3} e^{-it(3N\omega^2 - N^3)} e^{ixN} \varphi_\omega(x - t\omega^2 + 3tN^2), \quad (7.105)$$

where φ solves (5.8) (i.e., $-\varphi + \varphi'' + \varphi^3 = 0$ so $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$); and $\varphi_\omega(x) = \omega \varphi(\omega x)$ (notice that $\sqrt{3} \varphi_\omega(x - t\omega^2)$ solves (7.104)). With the two-parameter family we follow an argument similar to the one employed in Theorem 5.12 to obtain the result for the equation in (7.104). To pass to the mKdV equation one uses a special solution called a “breather,” see [Wa],

$$\begin{aligned} v_{N,\omega}(x,t) &= -2\sqrt{6} \omega \operatorname{sech}(\omega x + \gamma t) \\ &\times \left(\frac{\cos(Nx + \delta t) - (\omega/N) \sin(Nx + \delta t) \tanh(\omega x + \gamma t)}{1 + (\omega/N)^2 \sin^2(Nx + \delta t) \operatorname{sech}(\omega x + \gamma t)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7.106)$$

with $\delta = N(N^2 - 3\omega^2)$ and $\gamma = \omega(3N^2 - \omega^2)$ and observe that for $\omega/N \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{N,\omega}(x,t) &\approx -2\sqrt{6} \cos(Nx + N(N^2 - 3\omega^2)t) \\ &\times \omega \operatorname{sech}(\omega x + \omega(3N^2 - \omega^2)t), \end{aligned}$$

which is basically a multiple of the real part of (7.105).

As it was remarked above, Bourgain introduced the spaces $X_{s,b}$ in the context of dispersive equations. Previously they were used by Rauch and Reed [RuR] and Beals [Bs] in their respective studies of propagation of singularities for solutions of semilinear classical wave equation.

Results concerning the smoothing effects of solutions of (7.10) due to special decay of the data were first given by Cohen [Co1] and Cohen and Kappeler [CoK] for the KdV equation for step data using the inverse scattering theory. In [K2] Kato showed that if $v_0 \in Y^k = H^{2k}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(|x|^k dx)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then the local solution describes a continuous curve on Y^k as far as it exists. In particular, the solution flow preserves the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Roughly, this is due to the fact that the operators $L = \partial_t + \partial_x^3$ and $\Gamma = x + 3t \partial_x^2$ commute.

Also in [K2] Kato proved the following for the KdV equation: if $e^{\beta x} v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R})$ then as far as the local solution $v(t)$ exists for any $t > 0$, $e^{\beta x} v(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $v(\cdot, t)$ is C^∞ for $t > 0$. Formally, one has that the semigroup $\{V(t) = e^{-t\partial_x^3} : t \geq 0\}$ in $L^2(e^{\beta x} dx)$ is equivalent to $\{e^{-t(\partial_x - \beta)^3} : t \geq 0\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., if

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u = 0,$$

then $v(x,t) = e^{\beta x} u(x,t)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t v + (\partial_x - \beta)^3 v = \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v - 3\beta \partial_x^2 v + 3\beta^2 \partial_x v - \beta^3 v = 0,$$

which explain this “parabolic effect.” In [KF] Kruskov and Faminskii showed another version of this result by considering weights of the form $x_+^\alpha = x^\alpha \chi_{(0,\infty)}(x)$.

Tarama [Ta2] showed that solutions of the KdV equation with real-valued initial data $v_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\delta|x|^{1/2}} |v_0(x)|^2 dx < \infty$$

with some positive constant δ , become analytic with respect to the variable x for all $t > 0$. The proof of this theorem is based on the inverse scattering method (see Section 9.6), which transforms the KdV equation into a linear dispersive equation for which the analyticity smoothing effect can be established through the analytic properties of the fundamental solutions. However, for higher powers a similar result is unknown even for the integrable case $k = 2$, i.e., for the modified KdV.

In [GT] Ginibre and Tsutsumi proved for the mKdV that if $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $x_+^{1/8} v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then the uniqueness holds (observe that decay corresponds to $1/4$ derivatives via the operator Γ above which is the sharp local well-posedness).

The local well-posedness result for the case $k = 3$ for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1/6$, was obtained by Grünrock [Gr2]. The key tool to prove that result was the following bilinear estimate for solutions of the linear problem. More precisely,

$$\|D_x(V(t)f \cdot V(t)g)\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2} \leq c \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

Tao [To6] extended Grünrock’s result to the critical case by showing local well-posedness for data in $\dot{H}^{-1/6}(\mathbb{R})$. From this result follows readily the global one for small data due to the criticality of the space. Thus the case $k = 3$ exhibits similar properties to the case $k \geq 4$; see Theorems 7.2 and 7.3 and the Remarks 7.15 and 7.16.

The results for $k \geq 4$ were taken from [KPV4] (Theorems 7.2–7.6). Their sharpness was established in [BKPSV] (Theorem 7.7).

Next we consider the local well-posedness for the periodic boundary value problem associated to the k-gKdV.

For the case $k = 1$, the KdV equation, local well-posedness was proven in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s \geq -1/2$ by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [KPV6] (improving an earlier result of Bourgain [Bo1] for $s \geq 0$). The proofs are based on the modified version of the $X_{s,b}$ spaces, i.e., the spaces $Y_{s,b}$, which are the completion under the norm

$$\|f\|_{Y_{s,b}} = \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\tau - m^3|)^{2b} |m|^{2s} |\widehat{f}(m, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \quad (7.107)$$

of the space \mathscr{Y} defined as the function space of all f such that

- (i) $f : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

- (ii) $f(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{S}$ for each $x \in \mathbb{T}$,
- (iii) $x \mapsto f(x, \cdot)$ is C^∞ ,
- (iv) $\hat{f}(0, \tau) = 0$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Bourgain [Bo8] also showed that below $-1/2$ (for $s < -1/2$) the smoothness of the map data solution fails. We recall that the smoothness of this map is a by-product of the contraction principle. So this type of result in particular shows that the iteration process by itself will not provide a result in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s < -1/2$.

For the mKdV equation ($k = 2$), local well-posedness was established in [KPV6] in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s \geq 1/2$. This was proven to be sharp in [CrCT1]. By requiring the dependence of solutions on the initial data be just continuous and considering real solutions, Takaoka and Tsutsumi [TTs] were able to lower the Sobolev index $s > 1/2$ to $s > 3/8$. One the main new ideas in their approach was the modification of the Bourgain norm (7.107) by

$$\|f\|_{Z_{s,b}} = \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |\tau - m^3 - m|\widehat{u}_0(m)|^2|)^{2b} |m|^{2s} |\widehat{f}(m, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2},$$

where u_0 is the considered initial data. Notice that the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{Z_{s,b}}$ depends on the initial data.

For $k \geq 3$ the best local well-posedness result is in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s \geq 1/2$ (see [CKSTT4]).

7.6 Exercises

7.1. Consider the IVP associated to the KdV equation (7.70).

- (i) Prove that $v(x, t) = \frac{x}{1+t}$ is solution of (7.70) with $v(x, 0) = x$ for any time $t > 0$.
- (ii) Prove that $v(x, t) = \frac{-x}{1-t}$ solves (7.70) with $v(x, 0) = -x$, but it blows up in finite time.
- (iii) Prove that parts (i) and (ii) also hold for the Burgers' equation (7.103) and the Benjamin-Ono equation (9.8).

7.2. (Zabusky [Za]) Show that $v(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(c - \frac{4c}{4c^2(x - 6c^2t)^2 + 1} \right)$ solves the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation (7.27).

7.3. (Critical KdV) Show that if $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then the solution of the KdV equation (7.70) $u(\cdot, t) \notin \dot{H}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \neq 0$.

7.4. Using a formal scaling argument obtain the estimate of the life span of the local solutions as a function of the size of the initial data given in Theorem 7.1, i.e., $T(\|D_x^{1/4} v_0\|_2) = c \|D^{1/4} v_0\|_2^{-4}$.

7.5. (Two-soliton solution of the KdV) Given the solution of the KdV

$$v(x, t) = 72 \frac{3 + 4 \cosh(2(x - 4t)) + \cosh(4(x - 16t))}{[3 \cosh(x - 28t) + \cosh(3(x - 12t))]^2}$$

show that for $\xi_1 = x - 16t$ fixed

$$v(x, t) \sim 48 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(2\xi_1 \mp \frac{\log 3}{2}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty;$$

show that for $\xi_2 = x - 4t$ fixed

$$v(x, t) \sim 12 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(2\xi_2 \pm \frac{\log 3}{2}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Conclude that

$$v(x, t) \sim 48 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(2\xi_1 \mp \frac{\log 3}{2}\right) + 12 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(2\xi_2 \pm \frac{\log 3}{2}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

7.6 ([KPV6]).

- (i) Assuming that the following inequality holds for $s \in (-3/4, -1/2)$ and $b = b(s) \in (1/2, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iiint \frac{|\xi| h(\xi, \tau)}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{1-b} (1 + |\xi|)^{-s}} \frac{(1 + |\xi_1|)^{-s} f(\xi_1, \tau_1)}{(1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^b} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{(1 + |\xi - \xi_1|)^{-s} g(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1)}{(1 + |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^b} d\tau_1 d\xi_1 d\tau d\xi \right| \\ & \leq c \|h\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2} \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2} \|g\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.108)$$

prove Corollary 7.4 with $b' = b$. Sketch the local well-posedness result for the IVP associated to the KdV equation (7.70) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in (-3/4, -1/2)$.

- (ii) Prove that if either $|\xi_1| \leq 1$ or $|\xi - \xi_1| \leq 1$, then

$$(1 + |\xi_1|)^{-s} (1 + |\xi - \xi_1|)^{-s} \leq c (1 + |\xi|)^{-s},$$

so the proof of (7.108) in this domain reduces to the estimate (7.92).

- (iii) Show by symmetry that to prove (7.108) it suffices to consider

$$|\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau - \xi_1^3|.$$

- (iv) Combine (ii) and (iii) to show that in order to obtain Corollary 7.4 with $b' = b$ it suffices to establish the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\xi, \tau} \frac{|\xi|}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{1-b} (1 + |\xi|)^{-s}} \\
& \times \left(\iint_A \frac{|\xi_1 (\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s}}{(1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^{2b} (1 + |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^{2b}} d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \right)^{1/2} < c,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.109}$$

with $A = A(\xi, \tau)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
A = \{ (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \\
|\xi_1|, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau - \xi^3| \}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\xi_1, \tau_1} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|)^b} \\
& \times \left(\iint_B \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi \xi_1 (\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s}}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3|)^{2(1-b)} (1 + |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|)^{2b}} d\tau d\xi \right)^{1/2} < c,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.110}$$

with $B = B(\xi, \tau)$ as

$$B = \left\{ (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1|, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|, \right. \\
\left. |\tau - \xi^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|. \right\}.$$

- (v) Following the argument given in Lemma 7.16 prove the inequality (7.109) (for the proof of (7.110) we refer the reader to [KPV6]).

Chapter 8

Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions for the k-gKdV Equations

This chapter is concerned with the long time behavior of solutions to the IVP associated to the k-gKdV equations,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v^k \partial_x v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

We shall restrict ourselves to consider only real solutions of (8.1). In these cases the following quantities are preserved by the solution flow

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x, t) dx, \quad (8.2)$$

$$I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^2(x, t) dx, \quad (8.3)$$

$$E(v_0) = I_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((\partial_x v)^2 - \frac{2}{(k+1)(k+2)} v^{k+2} \right) (x, t) dx. \quad (8.4)$$

Combining them with the local existence theory in Chapter 7 we shall see that for $k = 1, 3$ the IVP (8.1) with $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has a unique globally bounded solution. For the case $k = 2$ the same holds in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

In fact, we shall see in Section 8.1 that a quite strong set of results has been established in the case $k = 1, 2, 3$.

In Section 8.2 we treat the L^2 -critical case $k = 4$. A major set of results due to Martel and Merle will be discussed. In particular, they have settled a long standing open problem by proving the finite time blow up of some local H^1 -solutions.

Similar results for $k \geq 5$ remain as open problems.

In Section 8.3 we add some further comments.

8.1 Cases $k = 1, 2, 3$

We observe that if $v(t)$ is a local real H^1 -solution of (8.1), combining Gagliardo–Nirenberg (3.13) and (8.3)–(8.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(v_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[(\partial_x v)^2 - \frac{2}{(k+1)(k+2)} v^{k+2} \right] (x, t) dx \\
 &\geq \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{2}{(k+1)(k+2)} \|v(t)\|_{k+2}^{k+2} \\
 &\geq \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^2 - c_k \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^{k/2} \|v(t)\|_2^{2+k/2} \\
 &\geq \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^2 - c_k \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^{k/2} \|v_0\|_2^{2+k/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

Hence, using the notation $\eta = \eta(t) = \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2$ it follows that

$$E(v_0) \geq \eta^2 - c_k \|v_0\|_2^{2+k/2} \eta^{k/2}. \tag{8.6}$$

So for $k < 4$ one obtains the a priori estimate

$$\eta(t) \leq M(\|v_0\|_2; k). \tag{8.7}$$

In this sense and by the scaling for the L^2 -norm (see (7.8)) the case $k = 4$ is critical.

Thus for $k = 2$, (8.7) allows us to reapply the local existence theory (local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 1/4$) for data $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 8.1. *For $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ real the corresponding local solution of the IVP (8.1) with $k = 2$ given by Theorem 7.1 extends in the same class to any time interval with*

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} : H^1(\mathbb{R})). \tag{8.8}$$

Moreover, if $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 1$, then

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R})). \tag{8.9}$$

In the cases $k = 1, 3$ the local well-posedness was established in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq -3/4$ and $s > -1/6$, respectively (see Theorem 7.8 and [Gr2]). These cases are subcritical so the interval of existence in each case $[0, T]$ satisfies that $T = T(\|u_0\|_{s,2}) > 0$. Therefore if $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by I_2 (see (8.3)) we can reapply this local theory to obtain the following global result.

Theorem 8.2. *For $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ real the corresponding local solution of the IVP (8.1) with $k = 1$ or 3 extends in the same class to any time interval with*

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R})). \tag{8.10}$$

Moreover, if $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 0$, then

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R})). \quad (8.11)$$

In the cases $k = 1, 2$ due to the form of the infinite conservation laws one can replace (8.9) and (8.11) by $v \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ with $s = j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

These local and global results present the following questions:

Question 1. What happen with the longtime behavior of the solution corresponding to data $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \in [-3/4, 0)$, $[1/4, 1)$, and $(-1/6, 0)$ in the cases $k = 1, 2$, and 3, respectively?

The first result in this direction was given by Bourgain [Bo5] in his study of the critical two-dimensional NLS equation. He set up a general argument to obtain global solutions corresponding to data whose regularity is below that required if one is to use the conservation law.

To illustrate his approach we take the mKdV equation, $k = 2$ in (8.1), with $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in [1/4, 1)$ (see [FLP2]).

First, one splits the data according to the frequency (low–high). For N large to be determined one considers

$$v_0 = (\mathcal{X}_{\{|\xi| \leq N\}} \widehat{v_0})^\vee + (\mathcal{X}_{\{|\xi| > N\}} \widehat{v_0})^\vee = v_{1,0} + v_{2,0}. \quad (8.12)$$

Thus $v_{1,0} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $\|v_{1,0}\|_{1,2} \leq cN^{1-s}$ and $\|v_{2,0}\|_{r,2} \leq cN^{r-s}$ for $r \in [1/4, s)$.

One solves the mKdV with data $v_{1,0}$ as in Theorem 7.1 so the corresponding solution $v_1(t)$ satisfies

$$\|v_1(t)\|_{1,2} \leq cN^{1-s}, \quad t \in [0, \Delta T], \quad \Delta T \simeq \|D_x^{1/4} v_{1,0}\|_2^{-4}, \quad (8.13)$$

and $v_2(t)$ satisfies the error equation (using that $v = v_1 + v_2$)

$$\partial_t v_2 + \partial_x^3 v_2 + v^2 \partial_x v - v_1^2 \partial_x v_1 = 0, \quad t \in [0, \Delta T], \quad (8.14)$$

with data $v_{2,0}$ (small) in $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ for $r \in [0, s)$. The interval $[0, \Delta T]$ is given by the local well-posedness. Using that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(t) &= V(t)v_{2,0} + \int_0^t V(t-t') [(v_1 + v_2)^2 \partial_x (v_1 + v_2) - v_1^2 \partial_x v_1](t') dt' \\ &= V(t)v_{2,0} + z(t), \end{aligned}$$

one observes that $z(t)$ is smoother than $V(t)v_{2,0}$ (see Exercise 8.1 and comments there). Indeed, it belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with a “good” estimate for its norm. Define

$$\begin{cases} v_{1,0}(\Delta T) = v_1(\Delta T) + z(\Delta T), \\ v_{2,0}(\Delta T) = V(\Delta T)v_{2,0} \end{cases}$$

and repeat the argument in $[\Delta T, 2\Delta T]$.

Briefly, to reach the time T^* we apply it $T^*/\Delta T$ times. If one proves that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{T^*/\Delta T} \|z(j\Delta T)\|_{1,2} \leq cN^{1-s}, \quad (8.15)$$

then all the previous estimates will be uniform and one can extend the solution to $[0, T^*]$. It is in (8.15) where the restriction on s appears.

By introducing the I-method (see [KT2]) in this context Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, and Tao [CKSTT4], [CKSTT5], [CKSTT6] have improved most of the result obtained by the above argument. By defining

$$If(x) = I_{N,s}f(x) = (m(\xi)\widehat{f})^\vee, \quad (8.16)$$

where $m(\xi)$ is a smooth and monotone function given by

$$m(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \leq N, \\ N^{-s}|\xi|^s, & |\xi| > 2N, \end{cases} \quad (8.17)$$

with N to be determined and $s < 0$, they obtain a series of “almost conserved quantities.”

By using the “cancelation” in the multilinear form working directly with the equation, in this case the KdV, they show that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Iv(t)\|_2 \leq \|Iv(0)\|_2 + cN^{-\beta} \|Iv(0)\|_2^3 \quad (8.18)$$

for some small $\beta > 0$. So if N is large the increment in $\|Iv(t)\|_2$ is controlled. In particular for the IVP (8.1) they have shown the following.

Theorem 8.3 ([CKSTT5]).

1. *The local real solutions of the IVP (8.1) with $k = 1$ corresponding to data $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$, extend to any time interval $[0, T^*]$.*
2. *The local real solutions of the IVP (8.1) with $k = 2$ corresponding to data $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 1/4$, extend to any time interval $[0, T^*]$.*

For the sake of completeness we will explain how the first step of this method works for the IVP associated to the KdV equation (8.1) ($k = 1$).

The material described below was essentially taken from the lecture notes given by Staffilani at IMPC (see [Sta3]).

One first notices that the operator defined in (8.16) is the identity operator on low frequencies $\{\xi : |\xi| < N\}$ and simply an integral operator in high frequencies. In general, it commutes with differential operators and maps $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

As we mentioned before the goal is to establish an estimate as the one in (8.18). To do so we first use the fundamental theorem of calculus, the equation and integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Iv(t)\|_2^2 &= \|Iv(0)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}(Iu(s), Iu(s)) ds \\
&= \|Iv(0)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t \left(\frac{d}{ds} Iv(s), Iv(s) \right) ds \\
&= \|Iv(0)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t (I(-v_{xxx} - v v_x), Iv(s)) ds \\
&= \|Iv(0)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t (I(-v v_x), Iv(s)) ds \\
&= \|Iv(0)\|_2^2 + R(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.19}$$

where

$$R(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (-Iv^2) Iv dx ds \tag{8.20}$$

is an error term. Hence

$$\|Iv(t)\|_2^2 = \|Iv_0\|_2^2 + R(t). \tag{8.21}$$

We shall show then that locally in time $R(t)$ is small. This can be achieved using local well-posedness estimates. Since one introduces the operator I in this analysis a well-posedness result involving it has to be proved. A similar argument as the one given in the proof of Theorem 7.8 and the bilinear estimates (7.89) obtained by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [KPV6] provide us the local well-posedness result. More precisely:

Theorem 8.4. *For any $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$, the IVP (8.1), $k = 1$, is locally well-posed in the Banach space $I^{-1}L^2 = \{\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})\}$ furnished with the norm $\|I\phi\|_{L^2}$, with time existence satisfying*

$$T \gtrsim (\|Iv_0\|_2)^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{8.22}$$

Moreover,

$$\|\theta(\cdot/T)Iv\|_{X_{0,b}} \leq C\|Iv_0\|_2, \tag{8.23}$$

where θ was defined in (7.71).

The proof of this theorem follows by using the same procedure to establish Theorem 7.8 once one has the bilinear estimate

$$\|\partial_x I(uv)\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \leq c \|Iu\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|Iv\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}. \tag{8.24}$$

To prove the bilinear estimate (8.24) one applies the usual bilinear estimate (7.89) due to Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [KPV6] combined with the following extra smoothing bilinear estimate whose proof is given in [CKSTT5].

Proposition 8.1. *The bilinear estimate*

$$\|\partial_x \{IuIv - I(uv)\}\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \leq cN^{-\frac{3}{4}+} \|Iu\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \|Iv\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \quad (8.25)$$

holds.

Proof. Just to give a flavor of the proof we will consider the case when u is localized in a very small frequency ($|\xi| \ll 1$) and v localized in a very large one ($|\xi| \gg N$). One notices that in this situation

$$|(I(uv) - IuIv)(\xi)| = \int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} |m(\xi) - m(\xi_2)| |\widehat{u}(\xi_1)| |\widehat{v}(\xi_2)|.$$

Since m is smooth, the mean value theorem yields

$$|(I(uv) - IuIv)(\xi)| \leq \int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} |m'(\xi_2)| |\widehat{u}(\xi_1)| |\widehat{v}(\xi_2)|,$$

where $|\xi_2| \sim |\xi| \gg N$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $m'(\xi_2) \sim N^{-1}m(\xi_2)$. Thus

$$\|\partial_x (I(uv) - IuIv)\|_{X_{0,-1/2+}} \leq N^{-1} \|\partial_x (I(u)I(v))\|_{X_{0,-1/2+}}. \quad (8.26)$$

In this point one uses the bilinear estimate (8.24) to get (8.25). For the estimates involving intermediate size frequencies the best gain that one can obtain is $N^{-3/4}$. \square

Next we will obtain the so-called almost conserved quantity from (8.21). Note that the cancellation property

$$\int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x (Iu)^2 Iu dx dt = 0 \quad (8.27)$$

holds. In what follows this identity will play an important role.

Using (8.27) one can write $R(t)$ as

$$R(t) = \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x \{ (Iv)^2 - I(v^2) \} Iv dx ds. \quad (8.28)$$

The Plancherel identity and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yield

$$|R(t)| \leq c \|\partial_x \{ (Iv)^2 - I(v^2) \}\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \|Iv\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}}. \quad (8.29)$$

Now, using (8.29) and Proposition 8.1 the identity (8.21) gives the almost conservation law,

$$\|Iv(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|Iv(0)\|_2^2 + cN^{-\frac{3}{4}+} \|Iv\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}}^3. \quad (8.30)$$

From (8.30) it is clear that the contribution of the error term $R(t)$ is very small for large N and therefore one can use (8.30) in the iteration process to extend the local solution.

Now we are in position to prove the following global well-posedness result.

Theorem 8.5. *The IVP (8.1), $k = 1$, is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for all $s > -3/10$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the IVP (8.1) can be extended to $[0, T]$ for arbitrary $T > 0$. To make the analysis easy one uses the scaling (7.8) mentioned in Chapter 7. More precisely, if v solves the IVP (8.1), $k = 1$, with initial data v_0 then for $1 > \lambda > 0$ so does v_λ ; where $v_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^2 v(\lambda x, \lambda^3 t)$, with initial data $v_0^\lambda(x) = \lambda^2 v_0(\lambda x)$. Observe that v exists in $[0, T]$ if and only if v_λ exists in $[0, \lambda^{-3} T]$. So we are interested to extend v_λ in $[0, \lambda^{-3} T]$.

An easy calculation shows that

$$\|Iv_0^\lambda\|_2 \leq c \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}+s} N^{-s} \|v_0\|_{s,2}, \quad (8.31)$$

where $N = N(T)$ will be chosen later but now we pick $\lambda = \lambda(N)$ by demanding

$$c \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}+s} N^{-s} \|v_0\|_{s,2} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}} \ll 1. \quad (8.32)$$

From (8.32) one deduces that $\lambda \sim N^{\frac{2s}{3+2s}}$ and using (8.32) in (8.31) one gets

$$\|Iv_0^\lambda\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \ll 1. \quad (8.33)$$

Therefore, if we choose ε_0 arbitrarily small then from Theorem 8.4 we see that IVP (8.1), $k = 1$, is well-posed for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Now, using the almost conserved quantity (8.30), the identity (8.33), and Theorem 8.4, one gets

$$\|Iv_\lambda(1)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} + c N^{-\frac{3}{4}+} \left[3 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq \varepsilon_0 + c N^{-\frac{3}{4}+} \varepsilon_0. \quad (8.34)$$

So, one can iterate this process $c^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{4}-}$ times before doubling $\|Iv_\lambda(t)\|_2^2$. Hence one can extend the solution in the time interval $[0, c^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{4}-}]$ by taking $c^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{4}-}$ steps of size $O(1)$. As one is interested to define the solution in the time interval $[0, \lambda^{-3} T]$, one chooses $N = N(T)$ such that, $c^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{4}-} \geq \lambda^{-3} T$. That is,

$$N^{\frac{3}{4}-} \geq c \frac{T}{\lambda^3} \sim T N^{\frac{-6s}{3+2s}}.$$

Therefore for large N , the existence interval will be arbitrarily large if we choose s such that $s > -3/10$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Question 2. For these global solutions whose regularity is below or between those given by the conservation law one can ask for upper and lower bounds for the growth of the H^s -norm.

Theorem 8.1 provides some upper bound. In the case $k = 2$ where infinitely many conservation laws are available, one has the upper bound

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{s,2} \leq c T^{\theta(s)}, \quad \theta(s) = \min\{s - [s], [s+1] - s\} \quad (8.35)$$

(see [Fo], [Sta1]). A similar result for the case $k = 1$ is unknown as well as any lower bound estimate of the growth of the H^s -norm of the solutions.

For the case $k = 3$ the best known global result for large H^s -data is due to [GPS] for $s > -1/42$. We recall that $s_3 = -1/6$ and the results in [To6] included global well-posedness for small data in $\dot{H}^{-1/6}(\mathbb{R})$.

8.2 Case $k = 4$

In this section we shall attempt to describe some of the main results in the recent series of works by Martel and Merle. Among other conclusions they proved that blow up in finite time occurs in some H^1 local solutions of the IVP (8.1) with $k = 4$.

For convenience sake we shall follow their notation, so we rewrite the equation in (8.1) with $k = 4$ in divergence form to get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 u + u^5) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (8.36)$$

i.e., $v(x, t) = \sqrt[4]{5}u(x, t)$. In this setting the conservation law E (or I_3) becomes

$$E(u_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[(\partial_x u)^2 - \frac{2}{6} u^6 \right] (x, t) dx. \quad (8.37)$$

We shall recall that the “traveling wave” $\varphi(x) = 3^{\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{1}{2}}(2x)$ satisfies

$$\varphi'' + \varphi^5 = \varphi \quad (8.38)$$

and $E(\varphi) = 0$.

In [W2] Weinstein obtained the following sharp version of a Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality,

$$\text{for all } w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \frac{1}{6} \int w^6 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\int w^2}{\int \varphi^2} \right)^2 \int (\partial_x w)^2 dx. \quad (8.39)$$

Thus if $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$ one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\int u_0^2}{\int \varphi^2} \right)^2 \int (\partial_x u)^2(x, t) dx \leq E(u_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.40)$$

This a priori estimate together with I_2 ($\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2$) allows one to extend the local solution of (8.36) globally in time.

Notice that based on homogeneity, Theorem 7.2 guarantees the existence of global solutions for $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u_0\|_2 < \delta$ sufficiently small. From these results it is reasonable to conjecture that $\delta = \|\varphi\|_2$ (see the comments at the end of this chapter).

Also from the proof of Theorem 7.4 with $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in (0, 1]$, and using an idea in [CzW4] one has that if there exists $T^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|u(t)\|_{s,2} = \infty \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1) \quad (8.41)$$

then

$$\|u(t)\|_{s,2} \geq c (T^* - t)^{-s/3}, \quad (8.42)$$

and by [W], [Me5] there exist $c_0, R_0 > 0$ both depending on $\|u_0\|_2$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \uparrow T^*} \int_{|x-x(t)| \leq R_0(T^*-t)^{1/3}} |u(x, t)|^2 dx \geq c_0, \quad (8.43)$$

for some function $x(t)$.

The next result by Martel, Merle [MM3] tells us that any global H^1 solution of (8.36) that at $t = 0$ is close to a traveling wave and does not disperse has to be precisely the traveling wave.

Theorem 8.6 ([MM3] of Liouville's type). *Let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let*

$$\|u_0 - \varphi\|_{1,2} = \alpha. \quad (8.44)$$

Suppose that the corresponding H^1 solution of (8.36) satisfies:

(i) *There exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$c_1 \leq \|u(t)\|_{1,2} \leq c_2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.45)$$

(ii) *There exists $x(t)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_0 > 0$ so that*

$$\inf_{\substack{x(t) \in \mathbb{R} \\ |x-x(t)| > R_0}} \int u^2(x, t) dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.46)$$

Then there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ one has

$$u(x, t) = \lambda_0^{1/2} \varphi(\lambda_0(x - x_0) - \lambda_0^3 t) \quad (8.47)$$

for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of this theorem is quite interesting.

First the problem is renormalized by properly fixing the “center of mass” $x(t)$ and the “scaling” $\lambda(t)$, which is possible due to the invariance up to translations and dilations of the equation. Next the authors establish a uniform-in-time exponential decay in the x -variable by using (8.46). Once this exponential decay is available they reduce the problem to studying which solutions of the associated linearized equation have such decay. They show that the solutions should have nontrivial projection on the singular spectrum of the linearized problem. But this possibility is withdrawn by using the choice of the parameters $x(t)$, $\lambda(t)$. So the solution of the linearized problem has to be the trivial one.

Theorem 8.7 ([MM5]). *Under the hypotheses (8.44) and (8.45) in Theorem 8.6 there exists α_1 such that if $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1)$ then there exist $\lambda(t)$, $x(t)$ such that*

$$\lambda^{1/2}(t) u(\lambda(t)(x - x(t)), t) = \varphi(x) + u_R(x, t), \quad (8.48)$$

where

$$u_R(t) \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in } H^1 \text{ as } t \uparrow \infty. \quad (8.49)$$

In fact one has that

$$\lambda(t) \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and } x(t) \uparrow \infty \text{ as } t \uparrow \infty. \quad (8.50)$$

In [MM1] Martel, Merle studied the stability of the traveling wave solution of the IVP (8.1) with $k = 4$.

We recall that it was shown in [Be1] and [BSS] that for the IVP (8.1) with $k = 1, 2, 3$ the corresponding traveling waves were stable and in [BSS], that for $k \geq 5$ they were unstable. Also, we recall that for the IVP (8.36) we have that φ satisfies

$$E(\varphi) = \int \left((\varphi')^2 - \frac{2}{6} \varphi^6 \right) dx = 0$$

and using (8.38) that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla E(\varphi)\phi &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} E(\varphi + \varepsilon\phi) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 2 \int (\varphi' \phi' - \varphi^5 \phi) dx \\ &= -2 \int (\varphi'' + \varphi^5) \phi dx = -2 \int \varphi \phi dx = \langle -2\varphi, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\nabla E(\varphi) = -2\varphi.$$

Let $\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\varepsilon\|_{1,2} \ll 1$; thus $E(\varphi + \varepsilon) \sim \langle -2\varphi, \varepsilon \rangle$.

The next result establishes the instability of the traveling wave in this critical case $k = 4$ in (8.1) (see also (8.36)).

Theorem 8.8 ([MM1]). *There exist $\alpha_0, a_0, b_0, c_0 > 0$ such that if $u_0 = \varphi + \varepsilon$ with*

$$\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \|\varepsilon\|_{1,2} < a_0, \quad x\varepsilon^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (8.51)$$

$$|\varepsilon(x)| < b_0(1+x)^{-2}, \quad \text{for all } x > 0 \quad (8.52)$$

and

$$0 < \int \varepsilon \varphi dx < c_0 \int \varphi^2 dx, \quad (8.53)$$

then there exists $t_0 = t_0(u_0)$ such that

$$\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(\cdot, t_0) - \varphi(\cdot - y)\|_{1,2} \geq \alpha_0. \quad (8.54)$$

In fact, they show that (8.54) holds in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Observe that taking $\varepsilon_n = n^{-1}\varphi$ for n large enough, ε_n satisfies the hypotheses (8.51)–(8.53). Similarly, if $\varepsilon = a\varphi + \varepsilon_0$ with $x\varepsilon^2 \in L^1$, $(1+x)^2|\varepsilon_0(x)| \leq c_0$ for all $x \geq 0$ with $\|\varepsilon_0\|_{1,2} \leq b_0\sqrt{a_0}$, then ε also satisfies (8.51)–(8.53).

In [Me4] Merle proved the existence of blow up solutions of (8.36) in finite or infinite time.

Theorem 8.9 ([Me4]). *There exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that if $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$E(u_0) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int \varphi^2 < \int u^2 < \int \varphi^2 + \alpha_0, \quad (8.55)$$

then the corresponding solution $u(t)$ of (8.36) blows up in the H^1 -norm in finite or infinite time.

Observe that since $E(\varphi) = 0$ and $\nabla E(\varphi) = -2\varphi$ there is a large class of data u_0 satisfying (8.55) whose corresponding solution blows up.

In [MM5] the authors show that any blow up solution close to the traveling wave φ behaves asymptotically like it up to rescaling and translation, i.e., for some C^1 functions $x(t)$, $\lambda(t)$,

$$\pm \lambda^{1/2}(t) u(\lambda(t)x + x(t), t) \rightharpoonup \varphi \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ as } t \uparrow T, \quad T \leq \infty.$$

(See [ABLS] for a related result.)

As a consequence they show that the blow up at finite time must occur at a rate that in particular excludes the possibility of blow up at the self similar rate

$$u(x, t) \sim \frac{1}{(T-t)^{1/6}} h \left[\frac{x - x(t)}{(T-t)^{1/3}} \right]$$

since they establish that in this case (finite blow up time T)

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T} (T-t)^{1/3} \|\partial_x u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = \infty.$$

Based on these works Martel and Merle were able to show the blow up in finite time [MM4] for solutions corresponding to data u_0 with negative energy ($E(u_0) < 0$), L^2 -norm close to that of the solitary wave, see (8.55), and with sufficient decay at the right; i.e., there exists $\theta > 0$ such that for all $x_0 > 0$

$$\int_{x \geq x_0} u_0^2(x) dx \leq \frac{\theta}{x_0^6}. \quad (8.56)$$

Theorem 8.10 ([MM4]). *Under the hypotheses (8.55) and (8.56) the corresponding solutions of the IVP (8.36) blow up in finite time $T < \infty$, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T} \|\partial_x u(t)\|_2 = \infty. \quad (8.57)$$

Moreover, let $t_n \uparrow T$ be the sequence defined as

$$\|\partial_x u(\cdot, t_n)\|_2 = 2^n \|\partial_x \varphi\|_2 \quad (8.58)$$

with

$$\|\partial_x u(\cdot, t)\|_2 > 2^n \|\partial_x \varphi\|_2, \quad t \in (t_n, T).$$

Then there exists $n_0 = n(u_0)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\|\partial_x u(\cdot, t_n)\|_2 \leq \frac{c_0}{|E(u_0)|(T - t_n)}, \quad (8.59)$$

where $c_0 = 4(\int \varphi)^2 \|\partial_x \varphi\|_2$.

Notice that (8.58) follows if we assume that $x^3 u_0 \in L^2([0, \infty))$.

The proof of this theorem used the results in the previous one together with some elliptic and oscillatory integral type estimates.

Finally we have their following result regarding the nonexistence of minimal mass blow up solutions.

Theorem 8.11 ([MM6]). *Let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ be such that*

$$\|u_0\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2.$$

Assume that for some $c > 0$ and $\theta > 3$

$$\int_{x > x_0} u_0^2(x) dx \leq \frac{c}{x_0^\theta} \quad \text{for all } x_0 > 0.$$

Then the corresponding solution $u(t)$ of the IVP (8.36) does not blow up in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ either in finite or in infinite time.

We recall that for $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$ global existence is known (see (8.40)). Also that for the NLS with critical power there exists a unique (up to the invariants of the equation) blow up solution with minimal mass, i.e., a blow up solution for

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{4/n} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

$\alpha = 1 + 4/n$, and $\|u_0\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2$, where φ is a solution of (5.8) (see [Me3]).

8.3 Comments

The global solution for the IVP (8.1) with $k \geq 5$ with small data $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ follows by the argument used in (8.5). This tells us that

$$E(v_0) \geq \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^2 - c_k \|\partial_x v(t)\|_2^{k/2} \|v_0\|_2^{2+2/k}. \quad (8.60)$$

Since at $t = 0$ we have

$$E(v_0) \geq \|\partial_x v_0\|_2^2 - c_k \|\partial_x v_0\|_2^{k/2} \|v_0\|_2^{2+2/k}$$

then for $\|v_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x v_0\|_2 \ll 1$ one has $E(v_0) > 0$, which inserted into (8.60) provides an a priori estimate for $\|\partial_x v(t)\|_2$ through an argument similar to the one in (6.10). This combined with I_2 gives an a priori estimate for $\|v(t)\|_{1,2}$.

We also recall that in the case $k \geq 5$ global well-posedness based on the homogeneity (scaling argument) was established in Theorem 7.5 for small data in $\dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$, $s_k = 1/2 - 2/k$.

Consider the periodic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v^k \partial_x v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{T}), \end{cases} \quad (8.61)$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{T}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Global well-posedness for (8.61) with $k = 1, 2, 3$ has been established in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ with $s \geq -1/2$, $s \geq 1/2$, $s > 5/6$, respectively by Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, and Tao [CKSTT4], [CKSTT5].

For $k \geq 4$ the best results are due to Staffilani [Sta2] ($s \geq 1$ with a smallness condition on the $\|v_0\|_2$ norm).

In the same regard for the IVP (8.36), global well-posedness is known in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/4$ for data satisfying $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$ (see [FLP1]). As it was mentioned this result should hold in L^2 , i.e., if $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$, then the local solution extends globally or $\delta = \|\varphi\|_2$ in Theorem 7.2 with φ as in (8.38).

Next we shall briefly comment on stability for the solitary wave solutions (7.6) for the k-gKdV equation. In [Be1] and [Bn2] the stability of the solitary wave solution for the KdV equation was established. The stability is in the following sense: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|v_0 - \phi_{c,1}\|_{1,2} < \delta$, then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there is $x(t)$ such that

$$\|v(\cdot + x(t), t) - \phi_{c,1}(\cdot)\|_{1,2} < \varepsilon. \quad (8.62)$$

For the k-gKdV, it was proved in [BSS] that for $k < 4$ (subcritical case) the solitary waves are stable, and for $k > 4$ they are unstable (see also [GSS]). Martel and Merle [MM1] have recently shown the instability of the solitary waves in the critical case $k = 4$. Regarding asymptotic stability of the solitary waves $\phi_{c,k}$, Pego and Weinstein [PW] obtained results for the cases $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ for data decaying exponentially as $x \rightarrow \infty$. In [MM2] the following assertion was proved: Given c_0 there exists a δ_{0,c_0}

such that for $\|v_0 - \phi_{c_0,k}\|_{1,2} \leq \delta_{0,c_0}$ there exist c_∞ a constant and $x(t)$ a real function so that,

$$v(x + x(t), t) \rightharpoonup \phi_{c_\infty,k} \quad \text{in } H^1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$, i.e., the subcritical case. The results listed above were obtained in the H^1 -norm. Merle and Vega [MV] have shown the stability and asymptotic stability for the solitary wave solutions of the KdV equation in the L^2 -norm.

In the introduction to this chapter we mentioned the fact that the KdV and mKdV equations can be solved via the inverse scattering method. Now we would like to describe some interesting applications deduced from this method. The first one regards the construction of explicit solutions called N -solitons. These solutions generalize the solitary wave solutions or “solitons” (7.6) ($k = 1, 2$) (see [Lb], [Sc]). In particular, they describe the interaction between several solitons with different speeds. In addition, the N -soliton solutions decompose exactly as a sum of N solitons as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. In other words, for any given $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_N$, x_1, \dots, x_N , there exists an explicit N -soliton solution $v(t)$ such that

$$\left\| v(t) - \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_{c_j}(\cdot - x_j - c_j t) \right\|_{1,2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (8.63)$$

Another interesting result shown in [ES] case $k = 1$ is the following: Any sufficiently smooth and decaying solution v of (7.1) splits into two parts as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$v(x, t) = v_d(x, t) + v_c(x, t),$$

where v_d is an N -soliton solution and $v_c(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $x > 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. (see [Sc]).

Concerning the stability of N -solitons in the sense given in (8.62) for the solitary waves, Martel, Merle, and Tai-Peng Tsai [MMT] obtained for powers $k = 1, 2$ (integrable cases) and $k = 3$ (nonintegrable) the following result:

Theorem 8.12. *Let $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_N$. There exists $\gamma_0, A, L_0, \alpha_0 > 0$ such that the following is satisfied. Assume that there exist $L > L_0$, $\alpha < \alpha_0$, and $x_1^0 < \dots < x_N^0$ such that*

$$\left\| v(0) - \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_{c_j}(\cdot - x_j^0) \right\|_{1,2} \leq \alpha, \quad \text{with } x_j^0 > x_{j-1}^0 + L$$

for all $j = 2, \dots, N$. Then there exist $x_1(t), \dots, x_N(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\left\| v(t) - \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_{c_j}(x - x_j(t)) \right\|_{1,2} \leq A(\alpha + e^{-\gamma_0 L}).$$

The above result tells us that if $v(0)$ is close in the H^1 -norm to the sum of N -solitons whose speeds are ordered (so they do not interact for $t > 0$) and whose centers are far apart then the corresponding solution $v(t)$ remains close in H^1 -norm to a translated sum of N -solitons for all $t > 0$.

In [Ma] the following existence and uniqueness result of an asymptotic N -soliton-like solution was established for the subcritical $k = 1, 2, 3$ and critical case $k = 4$ in (8.1).

Theorem 8.13. *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_N$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists a unique $v \in C([T_0, \infty) : H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ for some $T_0 > 0$ solution of the equation (8.1) with $k = 1, 2, 3$, or 4 such that (8.63) holds. Moreover, there exist $A, \gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\|v(t) - \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_{c_j}(-x_j - c_j t)\|_{1,2} \leq A e^{-\gamma t}.$$

Notice that Theorem 8.13 extends the estimate (8.63) to the nonintegrable cases $k = 3, 4$.

It is an interesting problem to characterize the initial data which precede to the formation of these special solution solitons or “breathers”. Using the *inverse scattering method* (IST) this question was studied in [SaYa].

Also, it will be interesting to describe the interaction between these solutions traveling in opposite directions. In this regard one has the results concerning the so-called *extended Korteweg-de Vries* equation found in [CGD]

$$\partial_t u + \alpha u \partial_x u + \beta u^2 \partial_x u + \delta \partial_x^3 u = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.64)$$

It has been proved that this equation is integrable and also arises in the study of wave propagation. Notice that in (8.64) the interaction between the dispersion and the nonlinearity cubic and quadratic should be considered. It was shown in [CGD] that (8.64) possesses breather solutions and solitons traveling in both directions when $\beta \delta > 0$. Also based on the Hirota bilinear method explicit expressions describing the interaction of these solutions were deduced. It was proved that these solutions retain their shape after the interaction except for a phase shift, and numerical simulations were presented to confirm this fact.

Analytic solutions of this kind for the modified KdV equation are unknown, as is any stability study of its breather solutions.

In the same regard, one has the special solutions of the modified KdV equation

$$\partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v^2 \partial_x v = 0,$$

given by solitons (described in (7.6)) traveling to the right and the breathers (see (7.106)), which travel to the left if $3N^2 > \omega^2$. The description of the interaction of these solutions is largely open.

8.4 Exercises

8.1. Consider the IVP (8.36) with a real-valued datum $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\varphi\|_2$ with φ as in (8.38). As it was shown in this case, $u \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is the global solution of the problem.

- (i) Prove that for any time interval $(t_0, t_0 + \Delta T)$ with $\Delta T > 0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 \left(\|\partial_x^j u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}((t_0, t_0 + \Delta T))} + \|\partial_x^{j+1} u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2((t_0, t_0 + \Delta T))} \right) \leq c(\|u_0\|_{1,2}; \Delta T). \quad (8.65)$$

Hint: Use Theorem 7.4, and the conservation laws I_2 and I_3 in (7.4) and (7.5). Notice that in this case $s = 1$ one can take ∂_x instead of D_x in (7.54) and (7.55).

- (ii) Prove that for any time interval $(t_0, t_0 + \Delta T)$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty((t_0, t_0 + \Delta T))} \leq c(\|u_0\|_{1,2}; \Delta T). \quad (8.66)$$

Hint: Use Lemma 7.3 and the integral equation

$$u(t) = V(t)u_0 - \int_0^t V(t-t') \partial_x(u^5)(t') dt' = V(t)u_0 + z(t). \quad (8.67)$$

- (iii) Prove that $z(\cdot)$ in (8.67) satisfies

$$z \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^2(\mathbb{R})). \quad (8.68)$$

Hint: First observe that to obtain (8.68) it suffices to show that $\partial_x^2 z \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Use (7.16) to reduce the problem to bound $\|\partial_x^2(u^5)\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2((t_0, t_0 + \Delta T))}$ with $\Delta T \ll 1$. Now combine parts (i) and (ii) to get the desired result.

Remark 8.1. Roughly speaking, Exercise 8.1 illustrates a general principle, i.e., if $v \in C([0, T] : H^{\hat{s}}(\mathbb{R}))$ is a solution of the kgKdV (7.10) with $\hat{s} > s_{0,k}$, where $s_{0,k}$ is the smallest Sobolev exponent where local well-posedness can be established (i.e., $s_{0,1} = -3/4$, $s_{0,2} = 1/4$, ...) then the integral term in $z_k(t)$

$$v(t) = V(t)v_0 - \int_0^t V(t-t') v^k \partial_x v(t') dt' = V(t)v_0 + z_k(t)$$

is more regular in the $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ scale than both $v(t)$ and the linear part $V(t)v_0$.

8.2. Let $v \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ be a solution of the KdV equation.

- (i) Prove that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$I_4(v)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{9}{5} (\partial_x^2 v)^2 - 3u(\partial_x v)^2 + \frac{1}{4} v^4 \right] (x, t) dx = I(v)(0) = I(v_0).$$

(8.69)

- (ii) Prove that there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|v(t)\|_{2,2} \leq c \|v_0\|_{2,2}.$$

Hint: Combine (8.69) and I_2, I_3 in (7.4) and (7.5).

- (iii) If $\tilde{v} \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is solution of the IVP associated to the KdV equation and $\tilde{v}_0 \in H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R})$, prove that $\tilde{v} \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}))$ and deduce an upper bound for

$$\Phi(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{v}(t)\|_{1+\delta,2}$$

in terms of T and $\|\tilde{v}_0\|_{1+\delta,2}$ (for the case of the mKdV, see (8.35)).

Chapter 9

Other Nonlinear Dispersive Models

In this chapter we will discuss local and global well-posedness for some dispersive models arising in different physical situations. Our goal is to present some relevant results associated to the equations we will treat here and it is by no means an exhaustive study of each of them. In Section 9.1 we will treat the Davey–Stewartson systems. The Ishimori equations will be considered in Section 9.2. The Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equations will be discussed in Section 9.3. The Benjamin–Ono equation will be studied in Section 9.4 and finally in Section 9.5 we will examine the Zakharov systems. Finally, in Section 9.6 we will briefly discuss the inverse scattering method and well-posedness results regarding higher order KdV equations.

9.1 Davey–Stewartson Systems

The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = |u|^2 u, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

among other phenomena models the propagation of wave packets in the theory of water waves. It is also a complete integrable system. The corresponding bi-dimensional model is called the Davey–Stewartson system, which is given by the nonlinear system of partial differential equations,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + c_0 \partial_x^2 u + \partial_y^2 u = c_1 |u|^2 u + c_2 u \partial_x \varphi, \\ \partial_x^2 \varphi + c_3 \partial_y^2 \varphi = \partial_x (|u|^2), \end{cases} \quad (9.1)$$

$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, where $u = u(x, y, t)$ is a complex-valued function, $\varphi = \varphi(x, y, t)$ is a real-valued function, and c_0, c_3 are real parameters and c_1, c_2 are complex parameters. It was first derived by Davey and Stewartson in [DS] for $c_3 > 0$. When capillary effects are important, Djordjevic and Redekopp [DR] showed that c_3 can be negative (see also Benney and Roskes [BnR]). Independently, Ablowitz and Haber-

man [AH] obtained a particular form of (9.1) as an example of a completely integrable model also generalizing the two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. In the context of inverse scattering theory the system above with parameters $(c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3) = (1, -1, -2, -1)$, $(-1, -2, 1, 1)$, and $(-1, 2, -1, 1)$ are known as DSI, DSII defocusing, and DSII focusing, respectively. For these particular cases several results regarding the existence of solitons and the Cauchy problem have been established by inverse scattering techniques (see [AnF], [BC1], [FS1], [Su1]). For instance, in [FS1] Fokas and Sung proved that for initial data in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and boundary data $\partial_x \varphi_1(x, t)$ and $\partial_y \varphi_2(y, t)$ in the Schwartz class in the spatial variable and continuous in t , (9.1) has a unique global solution in time t which, for each t belongs to the Schwartz class in the spatial variable. The same result was obtained in [BC1] for the DSII defocusing.

Ghigladi and Saut [GS] classified the system as elliptic-elliptic, hyperbolic-elliptic, elliptic-hyperbolic, and hyperbolic-hyperbolic according to the signs of the parameters (c_0, c_3) , i.e., $(+, +)$, $(-, +)$, $(+, -)$, and $(-, -)$, respectively.

The elliptic-elliptic and hyperbolic-elliptic cases were considered by Ghigladi and Saut [GS]. In these cases they reduced the system (9.1) to the nonlinear cubic Schrödinger equation with a nonlocal nonlinear term, i.e.,

$$i\partial_t u + c_0 \partial_x^2 u + \partial_y^2 u = c_1 |u|^2 u + E(u),$$

where $E(u) = (\Delta^{-1} \partial_x^2 |u|^2)u$. They showed local well-posedness for data in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ using Strichartz estimates (see (4.19)) and the continuity properties of the operator Δ^{-1} . They also established a global well-posedness as well as blow up results for the elliptic-elliptic case. Ozawa in [Oz] found exact blow up solutions in this case.

For the elliptic-hyperbolic and hyperbolic-hyperbolic cases the Strichartz estimates cannot be applied. To explain this we will consider without loss of generality $c_0 = \pm 1$ and $c_3 = -1$. So using a rotation in the xy -plane and assuming that φ satisfies the radiation condition

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, y, t) = \varphi_1(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, y, t) = \varphi_2(y, t),$$

for some given functions φ_1, φ_2 , then the system (9.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + Hu = d_1 |u|^2 u + d_2 u \int_y^\infty \partial_x (|u(x, y', t)|^2) dy' \\ \quad + d_3 u \int_x^\infty \partial_y (|u(x', y, t)|^2) dx' + d_4 u \partial_x \varphi_1 + d_5 u \partial_y \varphi_2, \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (9.2)$$

where $H = \Delta$ in the elliptic-hyperbolic case and $H = 2\partial_x \partial_y$ in the hyperbolic-hyperbolic case. The difficulty of these problems comes from the fact that the nonlinear terms contain derivatives of the unknown function and that terms

$$\int_y^\infty \partial_x(|u(x, y', t)|^2) dy' \quad \text{and} \quad \int_x^\infty \partial_y(|u(x', y, t)|^2) dx'$$

do not decay as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, respectively.

To describe the results in these two cases we introduce the weighted Sobolev spaces $H^{m,l}$ defined as follows:

$$H^{m,l}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_{H^{m,l}} = \|(1 - \Delta)^{m/2} (1 + |x|^2)^{l/2} f\|_{L^2} < \infty\}.$$

First we look at the elliptic-hyperbolic case. In [LiPo] Linares and Ponce proved local well-posedness for the IVP (9.2) for sufficiently small data in $H^{m,0} \cap H^{6,6}$, $m \geq 12$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \equiv 0$. They use the smoothing effect of Kato's type associated to the group $\{e^{iHt}\}$. Chihara [Ch1], using pseudo differential operators, obtain a local result for data in $u_0 \in H^{m,0}$ satisfying $\|u_0\|_2 \leq 1/(2\sqrt{\max\{d_1, d_2\}})$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \equiv 0$, for m sufficiently large. Hayashi in [H2] showed local well-posedness for small data in $H^{m,0} \cap H^{0,l}$, $m, l > 1$. The main tool for accomplishing this was the use of smoothing effects. In [HH2] Hayashi and Hirata proved that one can have local result in the usual Sobolev space $H^{5/2,0}$ for data with L^2 -norm small. The latest updated result is due to Hayashi [H3], where he proved local well-posedness for the IVP (9.2) for data of any size in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \geq 2$. Global results were obtained by Hayashi and Hirota in [HH1] for small data in $H^{3,0} \cap H^{0,3}$; see also [Ch1]. For analytic function spaces a global result for small data was established by Hayashi and Saut in [HS].

For the hyperbolic-hyperbolic case, using Kato's smoothing effect Linares and Ponce proved local well-posedness for small data in $H^{6,0} \cap H^{3,2}$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$ in [LiPo]. Hayashi [H2] showed local well-posedness for small data in $H^{\delta,0} \cap H^{0,\delta}$, $\delta > 1$. No local well-posedness results are known without restriction on the size of the data.

9.2 Ishimori Equation

In this section we discuss local and global well-posedness results for a two dimensional generalization of the Hesenberg equation, called the Ishimori equation which reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t S = S \wedge (\partial_x^2 S \pm \partial_y^2 S) + b(\partial_x \phi \partial_y S + \partial_y \phi \partial_x S), \\ \partial_x^2 \phi \mp \partial_y^2 \phi = \mp 2S \cdot (\partial_x S \wedge \partial_y S), \end{cases} \quad (9.3)$$

$x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $S(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\|S\| = 1$, $S \rightarrow (0, 0, 1)$ as $\|(x, y)\| \rightarrow \infty$, and \wedge denotes the wedge product in \mathbb{R}^3 .

This model was proposed by Ishimori in [Is1] as a two-dimensional generalization of the Heisenberg equation in ferromagnetism, which corresponds to the case $b = 0$ and signs $(-, +, +)$ in (9.3) and it was studied in [SSB].

For $b = 1$ the system (1.1) is completely integrable by inverse scattering (see [AH], [BC1], [KMa], [Su2], [ZK], and references therein).

Using the stereographic variable $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ one can get rid of the constraint $\|S\| = 1$. Thus, for

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{S_1 + iS_2}{1 + S_3}, \\ S = (S_1, S_2, S_3) &= \frac{1}{1 + |u|^2} (u + \bar{u}, -i(u - \bar{u}), 1 - |u|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

the IVP for (9.3) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + a\partial_y^2 u = 2u \frac{(\partial_x u^2 - \partial_y u^2)}{(1 + |u|^2)} - ib(\partial_x \phi \partial_y u - \partial_y \phi \partial_x u), \\ \partial_x^2 \phi + a' \partial_y^2 \phi = 8 \mathcal{A}m \frac{(\partial_x u \partial_y u)}{(1 + |u|^2)^2}, \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (9.5)$$

with the condition $u(x, y, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|(x, y)\| \rightarrow \infty$, where $a, a' \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

To discuss the local and global results we will distinguish two cases: case $(-, +)$, i.e., $a < 0$ in the first equation, and $a' > 0$ in the second equation in (9.5) and case $(+, -)$ with similar connotation.

The case $(-, +)$ was studied by Soyeur [Sy]. He obtained local well-posedness for the IVP (9.5) for small data in $H^m(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $m \geq 4$. Assuming additional regularity on the data he extended the local solution globally in $H^m(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $m \geq 6$. The argument used here does not extend to the case $(+, -)$.

The case $(+, -)$ was first studied by Hayashi and Saut [HS]. They considered the problem in a class of analytic functions obtaining local and global existence results for small analytic data. This approach allows them to overcome the loss of derivatives introduced by the nonlinearity.

Hayashi in [H4] removed the analyticity hypotheses used in [HS]. He established local well-posedness for the IVP (9.5) for small data in the weighted Sobolev $H^4(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2((x^2 + y^2)^4 dx dy)$.

In [KPV9] Kenig, Ponce, and Vega established a local well-posedness result for data of arbitrary size in the space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(|x|^m dx)$. The method of proof follows closely the method explained in detail in the next chapter.

9.3 KP Equations

In this section we will discuss some well-posedness results for the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equations. The KP equations are two-dimensional versions of the KdV equation. They arise in many physical contexts as models for the propagation of weakly nonlinear dispersive long waves, which are essentially one-directional,

with weak transverse effects. For instance, in the plasma physics context these models were derived by Kadomtsev and Petviashvili [KP]. Meanwhile, in surface water wave theory, they were deduced by Ablowitz and Segur in [AS1]. It is also one of the classical prototype problems in the field of exactly solvable equations (see [AC] for a complete set of references in this field).

The equation reads as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u\partial_x u) \mp \partial_y^2 u = 0 \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (9.6)$$

$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$. Under some conditions on the initial data, (9.6) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u\partial_x u \mp \partial_x^{-1} \partial_y^2 u = 0 \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (9.7)$$

$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$. When the sign in front of $\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y^2$ in (9.7) is minus we refer to this equation as the KPI equation; otherwise we called it the KP II equation.

The results concerning well-posedness for KPI and KP II equations are quite different. We will first list the results regarding the KP II equation.

Bourgain [Bo10] showed local and global well-posedness for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \geq 0$. The local result was obtained by the Fourier transform restriction method introduced by him to study nonlinear dispersive equations. In [Tz1] Tzvetkov obtained local results in anisotropic Sobolev spaces $H^{s_1, s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined as

$$H^{s_1, s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_{H^{s_1, s_2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi_1|)^{2s_1} (1 + |\xi_2|)^{2s_2} |\widehat{f}(\xi_1, \xi_2)|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 < \infty\},$$

with $s_1 > -1/4$, $s_2 \geq 0$. He combined the ideas in [Bo1] with bilinear estimates in [KPV6] and Strichartz estimates. Improvements of these results were obtained in [Tz2], [Tk2]. Independently, Isaza and Mejia [IM1] and Takaoka and Tzvetkov [TT] established local well-posedness for data in $H^{s_1, s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s_1 > -1/3$ and $s_2 \geq 0$. Global results are also obtained in [IM1], [Tk2] using Bourgain's method in [Bo5]. Recently Isaza and Mejia [IM2] using the I-method introduced by [CKSTT6] showed global well-posedness for data in $H^{s_1, s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s_1 > -1/14$ and $s_2 \geq 0$.

The problem for the KPI equation is completely different. The techniques used in Bourgain [Bo1] do not work any more here due to the lack of symmetry of the symbol associated to the equation. In [IN] Iorio and Nunes showed local existence result using the Kato quasilinear theory for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > 2$. Molinet, Saut, and Tzvetkov [MST1] showed that the difficulty with respect to the symmetry of the symbol was not at all technical, by proving that a Picard's scheme cannot be applied to study local well-posedness for that equation in pure Sobolev spaces. However, they showed [MST2] using the conservation laws for the solution flow of the KPI equation and a compactness argument the global existence of solutions for (9.7).

More precisely, let

$$Z = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_Z < \infty\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_Z := \|f\|_2 + \|\partial_x^3 f\|_2 + \|\partial_y f\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y f\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{-2} \partial_y^2 f\|_2.$$

Theorem 9.1. *Let $u_0 \in Z$. Then there exists a unique global solution u of the KPI equation (9.7) with initial data u_0 , such that*

$$u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, \infty) : Z), \quad \partial_t u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, \infty) : H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Colliander, Kenig, and Staffilani [CKeS] have shown using smoothing effects, local and global results for data in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap W$, where W is a weighted Sobolev space.

Recently, Kenig ([Ke]) showed local well-posedness in

$$Y_s = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|u\|_2 + \|J_x^s u\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y u\|_2 < \infty\}$$

for the KPI equation, $s > 3/2$. Combining this local result with the results in [MST2] he established global well-posedness in the space

$$Z_0 = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|u\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y u\|_2 + \|\partial_x^2 u\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{-2} \partial_y^2 u\|_2 < \infty\}.$$

Regarding the periodic setting there are some results by Bourgain [Bo10], Iorio and Nunes [IN], and Isaza, Mejia, and Stallbohm [IMS].

9.4 BO Equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 u + u \partial_x u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (9.8)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, where \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert transform (see Definition 1.7).

This integro-differential equation serves as a generic model for the study of weakly nonlinear long waves incorporating the lowest-order effects of nonlinearity and nonlocal dispersion. In particular, the propagation of internal waves in stratified fluids of great depth is described by the BO equation (see [Be2], [On]) and turns out to be important in other physical situations as well (see [DaR], [Is2], [MK]). Among noticeable properties of this equation we can mention that it defines a Hamiltonian system, can be solved by an analogue of the inverse scattering method (see [AF]), admits (multi)soliton solutions (see [Ca]), and satisfies infinitely many conserved quantities (see [Ca]).

Regarding the IVP associated to the BO equation, local and global results have been obtained by various authors. Iorio [Io1] showed local well-posedness for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/2$, and making use of the conserved quantities he extended globally the result in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 2$. He also studied the problem in weighted Sobolev spaces.

In [Po], Ponce extended the local result for data in $H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and the global result for any solution in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 3/2$. In [MST3], Molinet, Saut, and Tzvetkov showed that the Picard iteration process cannot be carry out to prove local results for the BO equation in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. The above mentioned results made use of parabolic regularization, smoothing properties, and energy estimates. Koch and Tzvetkov [KTz] established a local result for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 5/4$, improving the one given in [Po]. The main idea is the use of the Strichartz estimates to control one derivative of the solution. More precisely, through energy estimates and Kato–Ponce commutator estimates (3.14) a smooth solution of the BO equation satisfies

$$\|D^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \leq \|u(0)\|_{s,2} \exp\left(c \int_0^T \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt\right). \quad (9.9)$$

Then the Strichartz estimates allow them to establish the existence of a constant c such that

$$\int_0^1 \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq c \quad (9.10)$$

whenever $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 5/4$. Then, combining (9.9) and (9.10) and a standard compactness argument, the result follows.

Tao in [To4] showed that the IVP associated to the BO equation is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. The new tool introduced by him was the following gauge transformation

$$w = P_+(e^{-iF} u), \quad F = F(u) = \int_{-\infty}^x u(y, t) dy, \quad (9.11)$$

where $\widehat{P_+ f}(\xi) = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. This is a variant of the Cole–Hopf transformation for viscous Burgers' equation (see Exercise 9.7), which in this setting allows one to remove most of the worst terms involving the derivative.

The most recent progress regarding local well-posedness for (9.8) was achieved by Ionescu and Kenig [IK1]. They established local and global well-posedness for data in the Banach space $H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$, $r \geq 0$, where $H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of real-valued functions f with the usual norm $\|f\|_{H_r^s} = \|(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} f\|_{L_\xi^2}$.

In the periodic setting, Molinet [Mo] has shown global well-posedness for data in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

In the previous chapters we discussed some decay and smoothness properties for solutions of the NLS and k-gKdV equations and their relationship. In particular, for initial data in the Schwartz class \mathcal{S} , the corresponding solutions also belong to this class in their life span. The decay of the data is reflected not only in the decay of the corresponding solutions of the associated IVP (persistence). Solutions of the BO equation do not share this property, not even the persistence property regarding the decay. To illustrate this unusual character of solutions of the BO equation we shall discuss the results obtained by Íorio in [Io1], [Io2].

First we consider the IVP associated to the linear ε -BO equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + 2H\partial_x^2 u = \varepsilon \partial_x^2 u, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x) \end{cases} \quad (9.12)$$

in the space $\mathcal{F}_r^s(\mathbb{R}) = H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2((1+x^2)^r dx)$, which relates differentiability and decay.

The unique solution of (9.12) is given by

$$u(t) = U_\varepsilon(t)\phi = (F_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\widehat{\phi})^\vee, \quad (9.13)$$

where $F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) = e^{-t(\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))\xi^2}$.

Assume that $\phi \in L^2((1+x^2) dx)$. Then

$$\partial_\xi \widehat{u}(\xi, t) = \partial_\xi F_\varepsilon(t, \xi)\widehat{\phi} + F_\varepsilon(t, \xi)\partial_\xi \widehat{\phi}$$

and using that

$$\partial_\xi F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) = (-2t\xi)(\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))F_\varepsilon(t, \xi)$$

it is clear that $\partial_\xi \widehat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\xi\widehat{\phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This argument also shows that if $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_1^1(\mathbb{R})$ then $u \in C([0, \infty) : \mathcal{F}_1^1(\mathbb{R}))$. A similar result holds for $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_2^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using that

$$\partial_\xi^2 F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) = (-2t)(\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) + (-2t\xi)^2(\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))^2 F_\varepsilon(t, \xi)$$

and the Leibniz rule it follows that $u \in C([0, \infty) : \mathcal{F}_2^2(\mathbb{R}))$.

The problem starts when we consider $\phi \in L^2((1+x^2)^3 dx)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi^3 F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) &= 4it\delta + 3(-2t)^2(\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))^2 F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) \\ &\quad + (-2t\xi)^3(\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))^3 F_\varepsilon(t, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where δ denotes Dirac's delta function. To have $u \in \mathcal{F}_3^3(\mathbb{R})$ we need to eliminate δ . After using of the Leibniz rule it is only possible if and only if $\widehat{\phi}(0) = 0$.

In general, one has

$$\partial_\xi^j F_\varepsilon(t, \xi) = 4it\delta^{(j-3)} + \sum_{k=1}^j a_k t^k \xi^{m(k)} (\varepsilon - 2i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi))^k F_\varepsilon(t, \xi), \quad j \geq 4,$$

where δ denotes Dirac's delta function, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $m(k)$ an integer. Hence the solution u of (9.12) satisfies $u \in C([0, \infty) : \mathcal{F}_r^r(\mathbb{R}))$, $r \geq 3$, if and only if $\partial_\xi^j \widehat{\phi}(0) = 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-3$.

As a consequence of this one has the following result due to Iório [Io2].

Theorem 9.2. *Let $u = u_\varepsilon \in C([0, T] : \mathcal{F}_4^4)$, $T > 0$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, be the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + 2H\partial_x^2 u + \partial_x(u^2) = \varepsilon \partial_x^2 u, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x). \end{cases} \quad (9.14)$$

Then $u(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Notice that the above results are mainly a consequence of the lack of smoothness of the symbol $\xi|\xi|$ modeling the dispersion.

For the sake of completeness we explain the parabolic regularization method or artificial viscosity method for the case of the BO equation. This method will be used in the next chapter.

Consider the IVP (9.14) with $\varepsilon > 0$. To show existence of solutions for this problem one uses the integral operator

$$\Phi u(t) = U_\varepsilon(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U_\varepsilon(t-t')u\partial_x u(t')dt', \quad (9.15)$$

where $U_\varepsilon(t)$ is defined in (9.13). One observes that

$$\|U_\varepsilon(t)u_0\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2.$$

Then to avoid the “loss of derivatives” the following estimate is the key:

$$\|\partial_x U_\varepsilon(t)u_0\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/2}t^{1/2}}\|u_0\|_2.$$

Using these estimates and the integral equation (9.15) one has that

$$\|\Phi u\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} \leq \|u_0\|_{1,2} + \varepsilon^{-1/2}T^{1/2}\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^1}^2. \quad (9.16)$$

Then choosing $T_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon)$ it follows that Φ is a contraction in $C([0, T_\varepsilon], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. To obtain a solution of the BO we need to make $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ but to do so we need solutions of the problem (9.14) to be defined in a common interval of time independent of ε . This can be done by obtaining an a priori estimate. In this case it follows by using commutator estimates in [K2], [KPo]. More precisely, the solution satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{s,2} \leq c\|u(t)\|_{s,2}^2, \text{ for } s > 3/2.$$

This a priori estimate allows one to extend the above local solutions to an interval $[0, T]$, with $T = O(\|u_0\|_{s,2}^{-1})$ independent of ε . To pass to the limit when ε tends to zero we refer to [Io1] for the details.

Next, consider the IVP associated to the generalized BO equation, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathbf{H}\partial_x^2 u + u^k \partial_x u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (9.17)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \geq 2$.

In addition to preserve the L^2 -norm, solutions of the IVP (9.17) leave invariant the quantity

$$E(u)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(|D_x^{1/2} u(x,t)|^2 - \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} u(x,t)^{k+2} \right) dx. \quad (9.18)$$

These quantities will be useful for extending possible local results globally in the corresponding Sobolev spaces dictated for them.

We also notice that the scaling argument for the equation in (9.17) suggests well-posedness for the IVP in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for

$$s > s_k = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{k}. \quad (9.19)$$

Using the oscillatory integral techniques described in Chapters 4 and 7 in [KPV11] local well-posedness for small data was established in Sobolev indices lower than the $3/2$ given by the energy method.

In [MR1] and [MR2] Molinet and Riboud improved the results in [KPV11]. In particular, they showed local well-posedness for small data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 1/3$ for $k = 3$, and $s > s_k$ for $k \geq 4$, and for any data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 3/4$ for $k = 3$, $s > 1/2$ for $k = 4$, and $s \geq 1/2$ for $k \geq 5$. These results can be extended globally using the conserved quantities (9.18) whenever the local well-posedness is realized in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Kenig and Takaoka [KT] has obtained global well-posedness for (9.17) with $k = 2$ for $s \geq 1/2$. One of the main new tool used by these authors was a gauge transformation reminiscent of that introduced by Tao (see (9.11)).

Ill-posedness results concerning IVP (9.17) were proved in [BiL].

9.5 Zakharov System

In this section we will give an account of some results concerning local and global well-posedness for the Zakharov system,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = uv, \\ \lambda^{-2} \partial_t^2 v - \Delta v = \Delta(|u|^2), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad \partial_t v(x, 0) = v_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (9.20)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$, where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{C}^n$ and $v : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$.

This system was introduced by Zakharov [Zk] to describe the long wave Langmuir turbulence in a plasma. The function $u = u(x, t)$ represents the slowly varying envelope of the highly oscillatory electric field; $v = v(x, t)$ is the deviation of the ion density from the equilibrium; and λ is proportional to the ionic speed of sound. In the limit when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the system (9.20) reduces formally to the cubic (focusing) nonlinear Schrödinger equation,

$$i\partial_t u_\infty + \Delta u_\infty = -|u_\infty|^2 u_\infty. \quad (9.21)$$

The Zakharov system has been studied by several authors. Sulem and Sulem [SS1] showed that for data

$$(u_0, v_0, v_1) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad (9.22)$$

with $s \geq 3$ and $1 \leq n \leq 3$, the IVP (9.20) has unique local solution

$$(u, v) \in L^\infty([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^\infty([0, T] : H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

They also proved in the case $n = 1$ that these solutions can be extended globally in time. Later on in [AA2] Added and Added established the global existence for the solutions given in [SS1] in the case $n = 2$ when the data $\|u_0\|_2$ is sufficiently small. Schochet and Weinstein [SWe] obtained a local existence result and uniqueness result for data in (9.22) with time interval $[0, T]$ independent of the parameter λ . This allowed them to prove that solutions (u^λ, v^λ) of (9.20) converge to a solution of (9.21) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For small amplitude solutions rates of this convergence were obtained in [AA1]. Ozawa and Tsutsumi [OT3] found optimal rates of convergence of solutions of (9.20) to solutions of (9.21).

In [OT2] Ozawa and Tsutsumi obtained, for a fixed λ , unique local results for the IVP (9.20) for data $(u_0, v_0, v_1) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 \leq n \leq 3$. They removed the hypothesis $v_1 \in \dot{H}^{-1}$ in previous works. The idea used before [OT2] was to reduce (9.20) to a first order system. In particular, a new variable $V = \lambda^{-1} \Delta \nabla v_1$ appears in the scheme so v_1 has to be in \dot{H}^{-1} . Ozawa and Tsutsumi used a different transformation that allowed them to use the L^p - L^q estimates of Strichartz type.

Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [KPV8] proved that an iteration scheme can be used directly to obtain small amplitude solutions. They showed that for $n \geq 1$, there exist $s > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $\delta > 0$ such that for any data

$$(u_0, v_0, v_1) \in \mathcal{X}^{s,m} = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{s_0}(|x|^m dx) \times H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{s-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (9.23)$$

$s_0 = [(s+3)/2]$ (where $[r]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq r$) with $\|(u_0, v_0, v_1)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{s,m}} \leq \delta$, there exists a unique solution (u^λ, v^λ) in an interval of time $[0, T]$ independent of $\lambda \geq 1$. They also showed that under some additional hypotheses on v_0 and v_1 ,

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|(u^\lambda - u_\infty)(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} = O(\lambda^{-1}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

The main idea used in [KPV8] was to exploit the inhomogeneous n -dimensional version of Kato's smoothing effect (4.26) to overcome the loss of derivatives. This was complemented with the maximal function estimates for the group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}$.

In [BoC] Bourgain and Colliander showed local well-posedness of IVP (9.20) in the energy space $(u_0, v_0, v_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n = 2, 3$, by the method developed by Bourgain [Bo1]. Global well-posedness for small data is also shown by combining local well-posedness and conservation laws.

Ginibre, Tsutsumi, and Velo [GTV], using the Fourier restriction method introduced by Bourgain [Bo1], obtained a more complete set of results concerning local well-posedness. Their results are roughly as follows:

For data $(u_0, v_0, v_1) \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{l-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the IVP is locally well-posed provided

(k, l)	dimension
$-\frac{1}{2} < k - l \leq 1, \quad 2k \geq l + \frac{1}{2}$	$n = 1$
$l \geq 0, \quad 2k - (l + 1) \geq 0$	$n = 2, 3$
$l > \frac{n}{2} - 2, \quad 2k - (l + 1) > \frac{n}{2} - 2$	$n \geq 4$

The solutions satisfy

$$(u, v, \partial_t v) \in C([0, T] : H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{l-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Regarding blow up results we shall mention the following. In the two-dimensional case Glangetas and Merle [GM] proved the existence of blow up solutions with radial symmetry and self-similar form:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{\omega}{(T-t)} e^{i\Phi(x, t)} P\left(\frac{|x|\omega}{T-t}\right), \\ v(x, t) &= \left(\frac{\omega}{T-t}\right)^2 N\left(\frac{|x|\omega}{T-t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\Phi(x, t) = \frac{\omega^2}{(T-t)} - \frac{|x|^2}{4(T-t)}.$$

They also showed that concentration happens in L^2 (see (6.4)). In [Me5] Merle found rates for the blow up. He also obtained some extensions of the blow up results in [Me6].

In the one-dimensional case a global result below the energy space has been proved by Pecher [P2].

The corresponding IVP (9.20) in the periodic setting was treated by Bourgain in [Bo9] and Takaoka in [Tk1].

To end this section we comment on the results obtained by Colin and Métivier [CM] and Linares, Ponce, and Saut [LPS] regarding the local theory concerning a system deduced by Zakharov where the Schrödinger linear part has a degenerate Laplacian. In [CM] it was established that the periodic boundary value problem is ill-posed. However, the use of some smoothing properties in [LPS] allow the authors to prove local well-posedness in spaces defined via those regularizing properties. This example illustrates the difference between the nonperiodic and periodic setting. In Chapter 10 we will comment on general quasilinear Schrödinger equations.

9.6 Higher Order KdV Equations

In 1967 Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, and Miura [GGKM] discovered the remarkable fact that the spectrum of the Sturm–Liouville (or stationary Schrödinger) equation

$$L_q(y) = y'' - q(x)y = \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} - q(x)y = \lambda y, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad (9.24)$$

does not change when the potential $q(x)$ evolves accordingly to the KdV equation, i.e., if $u(x, t)$ solves the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u \partial_x u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = q(x), \end{cases} \quad (9.25)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $q(\cdot)$ in an appropriate class, then

$$\text{spectrum of } L_q = \sigma(L_q) = \sigma(L_{u(\cdot, t)}) \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (9.26)$$

This principle allowed them to use results from direct and inverse spectral theory to solve the IVP (9.25) through a succession of linear computations. This procedure is called the *inverse scattering method* (ISM) as mentioned in previous chapter.

More precisely, to guarantee the validity of the process we will describe next, one assumes that $q(x)$ satisfies the decay assumption

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|^2) |q(x)|^2 dx < \infty \quad (\text{no optimal condition}). \quad (9.27)$$

The scattering data for the problem (9.24) is the spectral information needed to reconstruct the potential $q(x)$.

First, one has the spectrum $\sigma(L_q)$ where by (9.27)

$$\sigma(L_q) = (-\infty, 0] \cup \{k_j^2\}_{j=1}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, \quad (9.28)$$

where $(-\infty, 0]$ is the continuous spectrum and $\lambda_j = k_j^2$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, are the eigenvalues corresponding to eigenfunctions $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ normalized, i.e., $\|\psi_j\|_2 = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Thus from (9.24) and (9.27)

$$\psi_j(x) \sim c_j e^{k_j x} \quad \text{as } x \uparrow \infty, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (9.29)$$

The $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^N$ are called the “normalizing coefficients.”

For $\lambda < 0$ the generalized eigenfunctions can be written as ($k = \sqrt{-\lambda}$)

$$\psi(x) \sim \begin{cases} e^{-ikx} + b(k) e^{ikx}, & x \rightarrow +\infty \\ a(k) e^{-ikx}, & x \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases} \quad (9.30)$$

where $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ are called the *transmitted* and the *reflected coefficients*, respectively, extended to $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

The scattering data are given by the spectrum, the normalizing coefficients, and the reflected coefficients

$$\{\sigma(L_q); \{c_j\}_{j=1}^N; \{b(k) : k \in \mathbb{R}\}\}. \quad (9.31)$$

This information permits one to recover the potential $q(x)$ as follows: Define

$$F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j^2 e^{k_j x} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(k) e^{ikx} dk, \quad (9.32)$$

and let $K(x, z)$ be the solution of the Marchenko (Fredholm integral) equation

$$K(x, z) + F(x + z) + \int_{-x}^{\infty} K(x, x') F(x' + z) dx' = 0. \quad (9.33)$$

Then the potential is obtained via the formula

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dx} K(x, z) \Big|_{z=x}. \quad (9.34)$$

Assuming now that the potential $q(x)$ evolves accordingly to (9.25), one can show (see [AS2], [DJ] for details of this discussion) that the scattering data change in time as (9.26) (spectrum), and

$$\begin{cases} c_j(t) = c(0) e^{4k_j^3 t} = c_j e^{4k_j^3 t}, \\ b(k; t) = b(k; 0) e^{8ik^3 t} = b(k) e^{8ik^3 t}. \end{cases} \quad (9.35)$$

Hence we know

$$\{\sigma(L_{u(\cdot, t)}); \{c_j(t)\}_{j=1}^N; \{b(k; t) : k \geq 0\}\}, \quad (9.36)$$

the scattering data for

$$L_{u(\cdot, t)}(y) = y'' - u(\cdot, t)y = \lambda y,$$

which allows one to recover the potential $u(\cdot, t)$, i.e., the solution of the IVP (9.24) associated to the KdV.

In [Lx2] Lax generalized this principle by finding a class of evolution equations for which the operators

$$L_{u(\cdot, t)} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - u(x, t) \quad (9.37)$$

are unitary equivalent whenever $u(\cdot, t)$ is a solution of an equation in this class. One must find a family of unitary operators $\{U(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that

$$U^*(t) L_{u(\cdot, t)} U(t) = L_{u(\cdot, 0)}. \quad (9.38)$$

This family should satisfy an equation of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} U(t) = B(t) U(t) \quad (9.39)$$

for some $B(t)$ skew-symmetric operator. Combining (9.38) and (9.39) one sees that

$$\frac{d}{dt} L_{u(\cdot, t)} = B(t) L_{u(\cdot, t)} - L_{u(\cdot, t)} B(t) \equiv [B(t); L_{u(\cdot, t)}]. \quad (9.40)$$

Choosing $B = B_0 = \frac{d}{dx}$ one gets

$$\frac{d}{dt} L_{u(\cdot, t)} = \partial_t u = \left[\frac{d}{dx}; L_{u(\cdot, t)} \right] = -\partial_x u, \quad (9.41)$$

i.e.,

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x u = 0,$$

whose solution $u(x, t) = u_0(x - t) = q(x - t)$ clearly leaves the spectrum of $L_{u(\cdot, t)}$ in (9.37) independently of t .

The choice

$$B_1 = \alpha \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \beta \left(u \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{d}{dx} (u \cdot) \right) \quad (9.42)$$

with appropriate values of the constants α and β gives

$$[B_1(t); L_{u(\cdot, t)}] = -\partial_x^3 u - u \partial_x u. \quad (9.43)$$

Hence, (9.40) becomes the KdV equation.

In general, one has

$$B_j = \alpha_j \frac{d^{2j+1}}{dx^{2j+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left[\beta_{jk}(u) \frac{d^{2k+1}}{dx^{2k+1}} + \frac{d^{2k+1}}{dx^{2k+1}} (\beta_{kj}(u) \cdot) \right] \quad (9.44)$$

with $\beta_{jk}(u)$ selected such that $[B_j; L_{u(\cdot, t)}]$ has order zero.

Thus for $B_2(u)$ one obtains (up to rescaling)

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x^5 u + 10u \partial_x^3 u + 20 \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u - 30u^2 \partial_x u = 0. \quad (9.45)$$

This class can also be described using the conservation laws satisfied by solutions of the KdV [Lx2]

$$F_0(u) = 3 \int u dx; \quad F_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int u^2 dx; \quad F_2(u) = \int \left(\frac{u^3}{6} - \frac{(\partial_x u)^2}{2} \right) dx; \quad \dots \quad (9.46)$$

The gradient of these functionals ($\partial F_j = G_j$) are

$$G_0(u) = 3, \quad G_1(u) = u, \quad G_2(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \partial_x^2 u, \quad \dots, \quad (9.47)$$

which are related by the formula

$$H G_j = \partial G_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (9.48)$$

where

$$H = \frac{d^3}{dx^3} + \frac{2}{3}u \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{du}{dx},$$

and

$$\partial_t u + \frac{d}{dx} G_{j+1} = \partial_t u + [B_j; L_{u(\cdot, t)}] = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (9.49)$$

which is called the j th equation in the KdV hierarchy.

So (9.45) is the second equation in the KdV hierarchy. Related versions of this equation appear as a higher order approximations in the study of water wave problems for long, small amplitude waves over shallow horizontal bottom. (See for instance [Ol], [Bn1] and references therein). In 1972 Zhakarov and Shabat [ZS] showed that the ISM used for the KdV and its hierarchy can be extended to other relevant physical equations. More precisely, they proved that the cubic one-dimensional defocusing Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u + \lambda |u|^2 u, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

can be solved by considering an appropriate linear scattering problem and its inverse.

The local and global well-posedness of the IVP and PBVP associated to equation (9.45) was established in [St2]. Also the PBVP for the whole KdV hierarchy was given in [Sch].

Here we restrict ourselves to consider the IVP for the KdV hierarchy in (9.49).

In a more general framework consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^{2j+1} u + P(u, \partial_x u, \dots, \partial_x^{2j} u) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (9.50)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where $u = u(x, t)$ is real-(or complex-) valued function and

$$P: \mathbb{R}^{2j+1} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{or } P: \mathbb{C}^{2j+1} \mapsto \mathbb{C})$$

is a polynomial having no constant or linear terms, i.e.,

$$P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell_0}^{\ell_1} a_\alpha z^\alpha \quad \text{with } \ell_0 \geq 2 \quad (9.51)$$

and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{2j+1})$.

In [KPV13] local well-posedness of the IVP (9.50) in $X_{s,m} = H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(|x|^m dx)$ was established. The proof combines the fact that the results in [HO] extend to diagonal systems and a change of dependent variable, which allows us to write the equation in (9.50) (after a few differentiations with respect to the x -variable) as a diagonal system

$$\partial_t \omega^k + \partial_x^{2j+1} \omega^k + Q_k(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^m, \partial_x \omega^1, \dots, \partial_x^{2j-1} \omega^m) = 0 \quad (9.52)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m = m(j)$ where the nonlinear terms Q_k are independent of the highest derivatives, i.e., those of order $2j$. In this case some modifications are needed since the Q_k introduced by the change of variable involve nonlocal operators.

More precisely, in [KPV13] the following two results were proven:

Theorem 9.3. *Let $P(\cdot)$ be a polynomial of the type described in (9.51). Then there exist $s, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for any $u_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{s,m} = H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(|x|^m dx)$ there exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{W}_{s,m}}) > 0$ (with $T(\rho) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$) and a unique solution $u(\cdot)$ of the IVP (9.50) satisfying*

$$u \in C([0, T] : \mathcal{W}_{s,m}), \quad (9.53)$$

$$\sup_x \int_0^T |\partial_x^{s+j} u(x, t)|^2 dt < \infty \quad (9.54)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sup_{[0, T]} |\partial_x^r u(x, t)| dx < \infty, \quad r = 0, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{s+j}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (9.55)$$

If $u_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{s_0, m}$ with $s_0 > s$ the results above hold with s_0 instead of s in the same time interval $[0, T]$.

Moreover, for any $T' \in (0, T)$ there exists a neighborhood U_{u_0} of u_0 in $\mathcal{W}_{s, m}$ such that the map $\tilde{u}_0 \mapsto \tilde{u}(t)$ from U_{u_0} into the class defined in (9.53)–(9.55), with T' instead of T , is smooth.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $P(\cdot)$ be a polynomial of the type described in (9.51) with $\ell_0 \geq 3$. Then the results in Theorem 9.3 hold with $m = 0$ and L_x^2 -norm instead of L_x^1 -norm in (9.55).*

Theorem 9.4 tells us that the IVP for the equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + (u^2 + (\partial_x u)^2) \partial_x^2 u = 0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (9.56)$$

is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq s_0$, with s_0 sufficiently large. Roughly speaking Theorems 9.3–9.4 establish conditions that guarantee that the local behavior of the solution of (9.50) is controlled by the linear part of the equation. Moreover, it shows that the dispersive structure of the equation is strong enough to overcome nonlinear terms of lower order with arbitrary sign as in (9.56).

However, for a specific model of the kind described in (9.50) the results in Theorems 9.3 and 9.4 can be improved by reducing the index s and m depending on the

order $(2j + 1)$ considered and the structure of the nonlinear term (see for example [Kw2] and [Ci] for some fifth order cases).

9.7 Exercises

9.1. Prove that the Benjamin–Ono equation

$$\partial_t u + \mathbf{H} \partial_x^2 u + u \partial_x u = 0$$

has a traveling wave solution (decaying at infinity) $\phi(x + ct)$, $c > 0$, with

$$\phi(x) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{1+x^2}.$$

Notice that $\phi(x + ct)$ is negative and moves to the left, so $\varphi(x - ct) = -\phi(x - ct)$ is a traveling wave, positive and traveling to the right, of the equation

$$\partial_t v - \mathbf{H} \partial_x^2 v + v \partial_x v = 0.$$

Hint: Integrate the equation for ϕ to get a first order ODE. Take Fourier transform and use Exercise 3.3 to get the result.

9.2. (Camassa–Holm equation [CH]) Consider the equation

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x \partial_x^2 u + 3u \partial_x u = 2\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u + u \partial_x^3 u. \quad (9.57)$$

(i) Prove that (9.57) can be written in the formally equivalent form

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x e^{-|x|} * \left(u^2 + \frac{(\partial_x u)^2}{2} \right) = 0. \quad (9.58)$$

(ii) Prove that for any $c > 0$ the equation (9.57) has the nonsmooth traveling wave (peakon)

$$\varphi(x - ct) = c e^{-|x - ct|}.$$

Hint: (i) Use Exercise 3.4.

(ii) Notice first that it suffices to consider the ODE for φ with $c = 1$. Prove that

$$\left(e^{-|\cdot|} * e^{-2|\cdot|} \right)(x) = \frac{4}{3} e^{-|x|} - \frac{2}{3} e^{-2|x|}.$$

Integrate the ODE and use that $(\varphi'(x))^2 = \varphi(x)$.

9.3. (Compactons [RH]) Consider the quasilinear equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3(u^2) + \partial_x(u^2) = 0. \quad (9.59)$$

Show that the C^1 -function of compact support

$$\phi(x - ct) = \begin{cases} \frac{4c}{3} \cos^2\left(\frac{x-ct}{4}\right), & |x - ct| \leq 2\pi, \\ 0, & |x - ct| > 2\pi, \end{cases}$$

$c > 0$, is a traveling wave (classical) solution of (9.59).

9.4. Show that the Boussinesq equation

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u - \partial_x^4 u + \partial_x^2(u^2) = 0$$

has traveling wave solutions of the form

$$u(x, t) = a \operatorname{sech}^2(b(x - ct)),$$

with appropriate values of a, b for $c > 0$ and $c < 0$, i.e., the wave propagates in any direction.

9.5. Consider the linear part of the Benjamin–Ono equation

$$Lu = \partial_t u + H \partial_x^2 u = 0.$$

Defining

$$\Gamma = x - 2t H \partial_x = x - 2t D_x.$$

Show that

$$[L; \Gamma] = [L; \Gamma^2] = 0,$$

$$[L; \Gamma^3]\phi = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \widehat{\phi}(0, t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$[L; \Gamma^4] \neq 0.$$

9.6. Consider the linear IVP associated to (9.50)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \partial_x^{2j+1} w = 0, \\ w(x, 0) = w_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (9.60)$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}, j = 0, 1, \dots$ Denote by

$$w(x, t) = V_j(t) w_0(x) = e^{-t \partial_x^{2j+1}} w_0(x) \quad (9.61)$$

its solution.

- (i) Prove that for any $j = 0, 1, \dots$ there exists $c_j > 0$ such that for any $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has that

$$c_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x^j w(x, s)|^2 ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(y, t)|^2 dy = \|w_0\|_2^2. \quad (9.62)$$

Hint: Follow the argument given in the proof of Lemma 7.1.

- (ii) Prove that for any $j = 0, 1, \dots$ there exists $c'_j > 0$ such that for any $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\partial_x^{2j} \int_0^t V_j(t-t') F(\cdot, t') dt'\|_{L_t^2} \leq c'_j \|F\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}. \quad (9.63)$$

Hint: Follow the argument given in the proof of Theorem 4.4 (estimate (4.24)).

- (iii) Show that for any $k = 0, 1, \dots, j$ there exists $c = c(k; j) > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T |\partial_x^{j+k} \int_0^t V_j(t-t') F(\cdot, t') dt'|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq c T^{(j-k)/2j} \left\| \int_0^T |F(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right\|_{2j/(j+k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.64)$$

Hint: Combine (i) and Minkowski's integral inequality to obtain (9.64) for $k = 0$. Interpolate between this result and (9.63).

- (iv) Using the (unsharp) estimate

$$\left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |V_j(t) u_0| \right\|_2 \leq c(1+T) \|u_0\|_{2j+1,2}$$

sketch the proof of Theorem 9.4 using a fixed point argument in

$$\Phi(u)(t) = V_j(t) u_0 + \int_0^t V_j(t-t') P(u, \dots, \partial_x^{2j} u)(\cdot, t') dt'.$$

9.7. (Cole–Hopf transformation) Let $w = w(x, t)$ be a positive C^3 -solution of the heat equation

$$\partial_t w = \partial_x^2 w, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0. \quad (9.65)$$

- (i) Prove that $u(x, t) = -2\partial_x(\ln w(x, t))$ satisfies the viscous Burgers' equation (7.103).
- (ii) Prove that if $u = u(x, t)$ is a C^2 -solution of the viscous Burgers' equation (7.103) with $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ : L^1(\mathbb{R}))$, then $w(x, t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x u(s, t) ds\right)$ is a positive solution of the heat equation (9.65).

Chapter 10

General Quasilinear Schrödinger Equation

10.1 The General Quasilinear Schrödinger Equation

In this chapter we shall study the local solvability of the IVP associated to the general quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u = ia_{jk}(x, t, u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 u \\ \quad + b_{jk}(x, t, u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 \bar{u} \\ \quad + \vec{b}_1(x, t, u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla_x u \\ \quad + \vec{b}_2(x, t, u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u} \\ \quad + c_1(x, t, u, \bar{u}) u + c_2(x, t, u, \bar{u}) \bar{u} + f(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \end{array} \right. \quad (10.1)$$

(using summation convention).

One may think of this equation as a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, where the operator modeling the dispersion relation is nonisotropic and depends also on the unknown function, its conjugate, and its space gradient.

Equations of this form arise in several fields of physics (plasma, fluids, classical and quantum ferromagnetic, laser theory, etc.)

A well-studied model is

$$\partial_t u = i\Delta u - 2iu h'(|u|^2) \Delta h(|u|^2) + iu g(|u|^2), \quad (10.2)$$

where h and g are given functions, $n \geq 1$. When $n = 1, 2, 3$, de Bouard, Hayashi, and Saut [BHS] proved local well-posedness of the associated IVP in $H^6(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for small data. This was extended by Colin [CI] to data of arbitrary size in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \geq s(n)$ for all n .

Problems of this type also arise in Kähler geometry, where the “Schrödinger flow” is defined as follows:

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and (N, J, h) be a complete Kähler manifold with complex structure J and Kähler metric h . Then given

$$u_0 : M \mapsto N \quad (10.3)$$

one seeks for

$$u : M \times [0, T] \mapsto N \quad (10.4)$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = J(u(x, t)) \cdot \tau(u(x, t)), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (10.5)$$

where $\tau(u)$ the tension field of u is given in local coordinates by

$$\tau^\alpha(u) = \Delta_g u^\alpha + g^{jk} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(u) \frac{\partial u^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u^\gamma}{\partial x_k}, \quad (10.6)$$

where $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ represents the Christoffel symbol for the target manifold N . These systems have been studied in [DW], [CSU], [MG], [NSU], [NSVZ], among others. For the minimal regularity problem, i.e., to determinate the minimal Sobolev index that guaranties (local or global) well-posedness see [IK2], [BIK] and references therein

Before considering nonlinear models it is convenient to study the IVP for the linear equation involving first order terms. More precisely, we review the results mentioned at the end of Chapter 4. This will be helpful in understanding the hypotheses and the arguments of proof of the nonlinear result to be discussed later in this chapter.

Consider the linear IVP,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t = iAu + \vec{b}(x) \cdot \nabla u + d(x)u + f(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{cases} \quad (10.7)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $A = \partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(x)\partial_{x_k})$ a second order elliptic operator, $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $b_j : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $f \in C(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. To simplify the exposition assume $b_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \equiv 0$. Concerning the L^2 -local well-posedness of (10.7) one has:

- (i) if $b = b(x)$ is a real-valued function the result follows by integrating by parts.
- (ii) if $n \geq 1$, $a_{jk}(x) = \delta_{jk}$, i.e., $A = \Delta$ and $b_j = ic_0$, $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ for some j , then problem (10.7) is ill-posed.
- (iii) if $n = 1$ and $A = \partial_x^2$, define $v = \phi u$, with ϕ real-valued to be determined (ϕ and $1/\phi$ bounded) so

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v = i \partial_x^2 v + i \left(2 \frac{\partial_x \phi}{\phi} + \mathcal{I}mb(x) \right) \partial_x v + \mathcal{R}eb(x) \partial_x v \\ + \text{terms of order zero in } v. \end{aligned} \quad (10.8)$$

Then to eliminate the term that cannot be handled by integration by parts one takes

$$\ln \phi(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \mathcal{I}m b(s) ds. \quad (10.9)$$

In [Ta1] Takeuchi proved in case, $n = 1$ and $A = \partial_x^2$, and general $b(\cdot)$ in (10.7), that the condition

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^l \mathcal{I}m b(s) ds \right| < \infty$$

is sufficient for the L^2 -well-posedness of (10.7).

- (iv) If $n \geq 2$, and $A = \Delta$ one can reapply the argument above to find $\phi = \phi(x, \widehat{\xi})$, $\widehat{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, which should solve the equation

$$2 \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} + \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x) = 0. \quad (10.10)$$

Hence if $\mu = \ln \phi$

$$2 \partial_{\widehat{\xi}} \mu = - \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x) \cdot \widehat{\xi}, \quad \text{for all } \widehat{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Thus

$$\mu(x, \widehat{\xi}) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x + s \widehat{\xi}) \cdot \widehat{\xi} ds, \quad \widehat{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \quad (10.11)$$

and

$$\phi(x, \widehat{\xi}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x + s \widehat{\xi}) \cdot \widehat{\xi} ds}, \quad \widehat{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (10.12)$$

In [Mz] Mizohata showed that in this $n \geq 1$, $A = \Delta$, the condition

$$\sup_{\widehat{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ l \in \mathbb{R}}} \left| \int_0^l \mathcal{I}m b_j(x + s \widehat{\xi}) ds \right| < \infty \quad (10.13)$$

is necessary for the L^2 -local well-posedness (10.7). Notice that (10.11) is an integrability condition on the coefficients $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ of the first order term along the bicharacteristics.

- (v) Consider now $n \geq 1$ and $A = \partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_k} \cdot)$ a general elliptic operator (see (3.23)). In this case we apply an invertible pseudo-differential operator C with real symbol $c(x, \xi)$ to the equation in (10.7) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t C &= iACu + i[C;A]u + iC(\mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x) \cdot \nabla u) + \mathcal{R}e \vec{b}(x) \cdot \nabla Cu \\ &+ \text{terms of order zero in } u \text{ and } Cu. \end{aligned} \quad (10.14)$$

To cancel the bad first order term one solves the equation

$$i[C;A] + iC(\mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x) \cdot \nabla) = 0 \quad (10.15)$$

up to operators of order zero. So using their symbols one has

$$\{c(x, \xi); a(x, \xi)\} + c(x, \xi) \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x) \cdot \xi = -H_a(c) + c(x, \xi) \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(x) \cdot \xi = 0,$$

i.e. (see Lemma 3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} c(X(s, x, \xi), \Xi(s, x, \xi)) &= \\ c(X(s, x, \xi), \Xi(s, x, \xi)) \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(X(s, x, \xi)) \cdot \Xi(s, x, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (10.16)$$

Therefore

$$c(x, \xi) = e^{-\int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{I}m \vec{b}(X(s, x, \xi)) \cdot \Xi(s, x, \xi) ds},$$

where $s \rightarrow (X(s, x, \xi), \Xi(s, x, \xi))$ is the bicharacteristic flow associated to the symbol of A (see (3.26)).

In [I] Ichinose extended the Mizohata condition (10.13) to the case of elliptic variable coefficients deducing that

$$\sup_{\widehat{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ l \in \mathbb{R}}} \left| \int_0^l \mathcal{I}m b_j(X(s, x, \widehat{\xi})) \cdot \Xi_j(s, x, \widehat{\xi}) ds \right| < \infty \quad (10.17)$$

is a necessary condition for the L^2 -well-posedness of (10.7).

Notice that the notion of nontrapping for the bicharacteristic flow associated to the symbol of A is essential for (10.17) even for $b_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also asymptotic flatness conditions in the coefficients $a_{jk}(x)$ (see for instance (4.56)) guarantee an appropriate behavior at infinity of the bicharacteristic flow.

Returning to the nonlinear problem consider the case of the Schrödinger equation, with the constant coefficients semilinear case, i.e.,

$$\partial_t u = i\Delta u + f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (10.18)$$

If f is smooth, integration by parts yields the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_x^\alpha f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \partial_x^\alpha u dx \right| \leq c(1 + \|u\|_{s,2}^\rho) \|u\|_{s,2}^2, \quad (10.19)$$

for any $u \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > n/2 + 1$, and $\rho = \rho(f) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; then energy estimates lead to the desired local well-posedness.

Another technique used to overcome the “loss of derivatives” introduced by the nonlinearity f in (10.18) involving $\nabla_x u$ relies on an analytic function approach (see [H5]).

Local well-posedness for small data and general smooth function $f : \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ was established by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [KPV3]. In [KPV3] the authors consider the integral equation associated to (10.18)

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u})(t') dt' \quad (10.20)$$

and use the inhomogeneous version of the local smoothing effect (see (4.26)) of the group $\{e^{it\Delta}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$, i.e.,

$$\left\| \nabla_x \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} g(t') dt' \right\|_{\ell_\alpha^\infty(L^2(Q_\alpha \times [0, T]))} \leq c \|g\|_{\ell_\alpha^1(L^2(Q_\alpha \times [0, T]))}, \quad (10.21)$$

(where the $\{Q_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is the family of unit cubes with disjoint interiors such that $\bigcup_\alpha Q_\alpha = \mathbb{R}^n$), to overcome the “loss of derivatives” introduced by the nonlinearity $f(\cdot)$ in (10.18), which depends up to first order derivatives of the unknown. Briefly, one needs to estimate u in the $\ell_\alpha^\infty(L^2(Q_\alpha \times [0, T]))$ -norm, which cannot be made “small” by taking $T \rightarrow 0$, so it is here where the conditions on the size of the data appear.

The smallness assumption on the data was removed by Hayashi and Ozawa [HO] in the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$). To do so they introduced a change of variables. To illustrate their argument let us consider the equation

$$\partial_t u = i\partial_x^2 u + u\partial_x u + u\partial_x \bar{u}. \quad (10.22)$$

When performing standard energy estimates one sees that the “bad” term in (10.22) is $u\partial_x u$, i.e., the one involving $\partial_x u$. This term cannot be handled by integration by parts except when it has a real coefficient, for instance, $|u|^2 \partial_x u$. Hence the idea is to eliminate it. First take derivatives of (10.22) up to order 3, and use the notation $\partial_x^j u = u_{j+1}$ to rewrite equation (10.22) as the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 = i\partial_x^2 u_1 + u_1 u_2 + u_1 \bar{u}_2, \\ \partial_t u_2 = i\partial_x^2 u_2 + u_2 u_2 + u_1 u_3 + u_2 \bar{u}_2 + u_1 \bar{u}_3, \\ \partial_t u_3 = i\partial_x^2 u_3 + 3u_2 u_3 + u_1 u_4 + u_3 \bar{u}_2 + 2u_2 \bar{u}_3 + u_1 \bar{u}_4, \\ \partial_t u_4 = i\partial_x^2 u_4 + u_1 \partial_x u_4 + u_1 \partial_x \bar{u}_4 + Q(u_1, \bar{u}_1, \dots, u_4, \bar{u}_4). \end{cases} \quad (10.23)$$

The first three equations in (10.23) are semilinear as well as the term $Q(\cdot)$ in the fourth one. One then considers “ $u_4 \phi$ ” instead of “ u_3 ” with ϕ to be determined.

So we substitute u_4 by $\phi^{-1}(u_4\phi)$ except in the main part of the fourth equation, i.e.,

$$\partial_t u_4 = i\partial_x^2 u_4 + u_1 \partial_x u_4 + u_1 \partial_x \bar{u}_4. \quad (10.24)$$

Here multiplying by ϕ we rewrite (10.24) as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(u_4\phi) - u_4\partial_t\phi &= i\partial_x^2(u_4\phi) - 2i\partial_x u_4 \partial_x \phi - iu_4 \partial_x^2 \phi + u_1 \phi \partial_x u_4 \\ &\quad + \bar{\phi}^{-1} \phi u_1 \partial_x(\overline{u_4\phi}) + \phi u_1(\overline{u_4\phi}) \partial_x \bar{\phi}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.25)$$

We now choose ϕ to eliminate the terms involving $\partial_x u_4$, i.e.,

$$-2i\partial_x u_4 \partial_x \phi + u_1 \phi \partial_x u_4 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -2i\partial_x \phi + u_1 \phi = 0, \quad (10.26)$$

that is,

$$\phi(x, t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \int_0^x u_1(\theta, t) d\theta\right). \quad (10.27)$$

In the new variables $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\phi)$ for the system (10.23) the standard energy estimates can be performed to obtain the desired local existence and uniqueness result.

Later Chihara [Ch2] removed the smallness assumption on the data in any dimension. The change of variables used in [HO] in higher dimensions leads to an “exotic” class of pseudodifferential operators (ψ .d.o.) studied by Craig, Kappeler, and Strauss [CKS].

Consider the symbol in (10.11), i.e.,

$$\mu(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{J}m \vec{b}(x + s \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}) \cdot \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} ds \quad (10.28)$$

with $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $b_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. One has that for $|\xi| \geq 1$

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mu(x, \xi) \right| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|} |\xi|^{-|\beta|} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n, \quad (10.29)$$

where $\langle x \rangle^2 = 1 + |x|^2$.

Roughly speaking, the function space for the local well-posedness was $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > s(n)$ in the case where f is at least cubic, and where it was $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^n dx)$, $s \geq s(n)$ when f is just quadratic. This is a clear necessary condition in the light of the integrability (10.12).

In [KPV3] Kenig, Ponce, and Vega showed that this local result can be proved by a Picard iteration so the mapping data-solution, $u_0 \mapsto u$, is not only continuous, but in fact analytic. A crucial step in this proof was to establish a “local smoothing” effect (see (4.19)) for solutions of (10.18), i.e., if $u_0 \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\int_0^T \int \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^2} |J^{s_0+1/2} u(x, t)|^2 dx dt < \infty, \quad (10.30)$$

where $\langle x \rangle^2 = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ and $J^s = (I - \Delta)^{s/2}$ is the operator with symbol $\langle \xi \rangle^s$.

This might seem like a technical device but Molinet, Saut, and Tzvetkov [MST3] showed that for the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i \partial_x^2 u + u \partial_x u, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (10.31)$$

the map data-solution, $u_0 \mapsto u$, cannot be C^2 at $u_0 \equiv 0$ for u_0 in any Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, in order to use Picard iteration, the weights are needed.

Returning to our IVP (10.1) we have that in the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$) Poppenberg [Pp] established local well-posedness for coefficients independent of (x, t) under the following conditions:

Ellipticity. $a(\cdot)$ is real-valued and for $|(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)| < R$, there exists $\lambda(R) > 0$ such that

$$a(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) - |b(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)| \geq \lambda(R). \quad (10.32)$$

Degree of nonlinearity.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_z a(0, 0, 0, 0) = \partial_z b(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0, \\ b_1, b_2 \text{ vanishing quadratically at } (0, 0, 0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (10.33)$$

Poppenberg showed local well-posedness in $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} H^s(\mathbb{R})$. His proof is based on the Nash–Moser techniques.

In [LmPo] Lim and Ponce showed, in the (x, t) -dependent setting, that under Poppenberg's hypotheses one has local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq s_0$, s_0 large enough, and if b_1, b_2 vanish linearly at $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\partial_z a(0, 0, 0, 0) \neq 0$ or $\partial_z b(0, 0, 0, 0) \neq 0$ in the weighted space $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(|x|^m dx)$.

To clarify the elliptic condition notice that when $b \equiv 0$, this is the usual condition and in general, it says that $\partial_x^2 u$ “dominates” $\partial_x^2 \bar{u}$. This is certainly needed, as Exercise 4.10 shows.

Moreover, if the nontrapping condition fails dramatically, i.e., all orbits are periodic, ill-posedness in semilinear problems occurs, as Chihara [Ch3] has shown. He proved that for the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i \Delta u + \operatorname{div}(\vec{G}(u)), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (10.34)$$

$x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $t \in [0, T]$, where $\vec{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_n) \neq 0$, G_j holomorphic, is ill-posed on any Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Now we turn to the positive results in [KPV10] concerning the local well-posedness of the IVP (10.1). To simplify the exposition we shall consider only the case $b_{jk} \equiv 0$.

We shall assume the following:

(H1) *Ellipticity*. Given $M > 0$ there exists $\gamma_M > 0$ such that

$$\langle a_{jk}(x, t, \vec{z}) \xi, \xi \rangle \geq \gamma_M \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ for all } \vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \quad (10.35)$$

with $|\vec{z}| \leq M$.

(H2) *Asymptotic flatness*. There exists $c > 0$ such that for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

$$|\partial_{x_l} a_{jk}(x, t, \vec{0})| + |\partial_{x_l x_r}^2 a_{jk}(x, t, \vec{0})| \leq \frac{c}{\langle x \rangle^2}, \quad (10.36)$$

where $l = 0, 1, \dots, n, r = 1, \dots, n$ with $\partial_{x_0} = \partial_t$.

(H3) *Growth of the first order coefficients*. There exist $c, c_1 > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

$$|\vec{b}_m(x, 0, \vec{0})| \leq \frac{c_1}{\langle x \rangle^2}, \quad |\partial_t \vec{b}_m(x, 0, \vec{0})| \leq \frac{c}{\langle x \rangle^2}, \quad m = 1, 2. \quad (10.37)$$

(H4) *Regularity*. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M > 0$ the coefficients $a_{jk}, \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, c_1, c_2$ are in

$$C_b^N(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times (|\vec{z}| \leq M)).$$

(H5) *Nontrapping condition*. The data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > n/2 + 2$, are such that the Hamiltonian flow $H_{h(u_0)}$ associated to the symbol

$$h(u_0) = h_{u_0}(x, \xi) = -a_{jk}(x, 0, u_0, \bar{u}_0, \nabla u_0, \nabla \bar{u}_0) \xi_j \xi_k. \quad (10.38)$$

is nontrapping.

The main result in this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 10.1. *Under the hypotheses (H1) – (H4) there exists $N = N(n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for any $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad |\alpha| \leq s_1,$$

and

$$f \in L^1(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha f \in L^1(\mathbb{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad |\alpha| \leq s_1,$$

where $s, s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $s_1 \geq n/2 + 7$, $s = \max\{s_1 + 4, N + n + 3\}$ and u_0 satisfying the hypothesis (H5). There exists $T_0 > 0$ depending only on

$$\|u_0\|_{s,2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \|\langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|_{s,2} dt + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha f(t)\|_2 dt \equiv \lambda, \quad (10.39)$$

so that the IVP (10.1) is locally well-posed in $[0, T_0]$ with the solution

$$u \in C([0, T_0] : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha u \in C([0, T_0] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

for $|\alpha| \leq s_1$.

Remark 10.1.

- (i) When $n = 1$, the ellipticity hypothesis (H1) implies the nontrapping one (H5).
- (ii) One can also prove that the solution possesses the “local smoothing” effect

$$J^{s+1/2} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T_0] : \langle x \rangle^{-2} dx dt).$$

- (iii) In the above statements $\langle x \rangle^2$ can be replaced by $\langle x \rangle^{1+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (iv) Koch and Tataru [KTa1] have noticed that the map data-solution, $u_0 \mapsto u$, is not C^2 , hence the result in Theorem 10.1 cannot be established by using only Picard iteration.
- (v) The proof of this theorem is based in the so called “artificial viscosity method,” about which we commented in Chapter 9 (Section 9.4).
- (vi) The proof sketched below only uses classical pseudodifferential operators.

To apply the “artificial viscosity method” we first consider the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -\varepsilon \Delta^2 u + ia_{jk}(x, t) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 u + \vec{b}_1(x, t) \cdot \nabla u + \vec{b}_2(x, t) \cdot \nabla \bar{u} \\ \quad + c_1(x, t) u + c_2(x, t) \bar{u} + f(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (10.40)$$

under the following assumptions:

- (H_I 1) *Ellipticity.* $A(x, t) = (a_{jk}(x, t))_{j,k=1}^n$ is a real symmetric matrix and there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$

$$\gamma |\xi|^2 \leq \langle A(x, t) \xi, \xi \rangle \leq \gamma^{-1} |\xi|^2. \quad (10.41)$$

- (H_I 2) *Asymptotic flatness.* There exists $c > 0$ such that for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$

$$|\partial_{x_l} a_{jk}(x, t)| + |\partial_{x_l x_r}^2 a_{jk}(x, t)| \leq \frac{c}{\langle x \rangle^2} \quad (10.42)$$

with $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $r = 1, \dots, n$, and $\partial_{x_0} = \partial_t$.

- (H_I 3) *Growth of the first order coefficients.* There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{M}\vec{b}_1(x, 0)| + |\mathcal{M}\partial_t\vec{b}_1(x, t)| \leq \frac{c}{\langle x \rangle^2} \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty). \quad (10.43)$$

(H_I 4) *Regularity.* The coefficients $a_{jk}, b_{1j}, b_{2j}, c_1, c_2$ are in $C_b^N(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ with $\vec{b}_l = (b_{l1}, \dots, b_{ln}), l = 1, 2$, for $N = N(n)$ sufficiently large.

(H_I 5) *Nontrapping condition.* Let $A_0(x) = A(x, 0) = \left(a_{jk}(x, 0) \right)_{j,k=1}^n$,

$$h(x, \xi) = -a_{jk}(x, 0)\xi_j\xi_k, \quad (10.44)$$

and H_h be the corresponding Hamiltonian flow. Then H_h is nontrapping.

The following a priori estimate for solutions of the linear IVP (10.40) is the key in the proof of the nonlinear result for the IVP (10.1), Theorem 10.1.

Lemma 10.1. *Under the hypotheses (H_I 1)–(H_I 5) above there exist $N = N(n)$, c_0 and $T_0 > 0$ (depending both c_0, T_0 on the nontrapping condition (H_I 5) and on the coefficients at $t = 0$) so that for any $T \in (0, T_0)$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have that the solution of (10.40) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_2 + \left(\int_0^T \langle x \rangle^{-2} |J^{1/2} u|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq c_0 \left[\|u_0\|_2 + \left(\int_0^T \langle x \rangle^2 |J^{-1/2} f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10.45)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_2 + \left(\int_0^T \langle x \rangle^{-2} |J^{1/2} u|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c_0 \left[\|u_0\|_2 + \left(\int_0^T |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (10.46)$$

In fact the constant c_0 depends only on the nontrapping condition for $h(x, \xi)$ (H_I 5), on the bounds at $t = 0$ of $\langle x \rangle^2 \vec{b}_j(x, 0)$, $j = 1, 2$, and on size estimates for the coefficients and their derivatives at $t = 0$. Thus, in the nonlinear case c_0 depends only on the data u_0 . Assuming the result in Lemma 10.1 we shall prove Theorem 10.1.

We introduce the notations ($v = v(x, t)$, $u = u(x, t)$) for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(v)u &= ia_{jk}(x, t, v, \bar{v}, \nabla v, \nabla \bar{v}) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 u \\ &\quad + \vec{b}_1(x, t, v, \bar{v}, \nabla v, \nabla \bar{v}) \cdot \nabla u + \vec{b}_2(x, t, v, \bar{v}, \nabla v, \nabla \bar{v}) \cdot \nabla \bar{u} \\ &\quad + c_1(x, t, v, \bar{v}) u + c_2(x, t, v, \bar{v}) \bar{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.47)$$

$$X_{T,M_0} = \left\{ v : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid v \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)), \right. \\ \left. \langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha v \in C([0, T] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), |\alpha| \leq s_1, v(x, 0) = u_0(x) \right\}, \quad (10.48)$$

with the norm

$$\|v\|_T = \sup_{[0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{s,2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s_1} \sup_{[0, T]} \|\langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha v(t)\|_2 \leq M_0. \quad (10.49)$$

For $u \in X_{T,M_0}$ we study the linear IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v = -\varepsilon \Delta^2 v + \mathcal{L}(u)v + f(x, t), & \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \\ v(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (10.50)$$

and its integral equation version

$$v(t) = e^{-\varepsilon t \Delta^2} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\varepsilon(t-t')\Delta^2} (\mathcal{L}(u)v + f)(t') dt'. \quad (10.51)$$

One defines the operator $\Phi(u)(t)$ as the right hand side of (10.51). Using that

$$\|e^{-\varepsilon t \Delta^2} g\|_2 \leq \|g\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta e^{-\varepsilon t \Delta^2} g\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/2} t^{1/2}} \|g\|_2,$$

it is easy to check that the operator $\Phi(\cdot)$ is a contraction on X_{T_ε, M_0} with $T_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon)$. One needs standard commutator identities to estimate the weighted norms in $X_{T, M}$. Thus there exists $u^\varepsilon \in X_{T_\varepsilon, M_0}$ (the fixed point of Φ) solution of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -\varepsilon \Delta^2 u + \mathcal{L}(u)u + f(x, t), & \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (10.52)$$

on the time interval $[0, T_\varepsilon]$.

Now we will use Lemma 10.1 to extend all solutions $\{u^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)}$ to the time interval $[0, T_0]$ with T_0 independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, with $\|u^\varepsilon\|_{T_0}$ uniformly bounded.

The first step is to show that if $\|u^\varepsilon\|_T \leq M_0 = 20c_0\lambda$ (see (10.39)), the coefficients of the linear equation for $J^{2m}u^\varepsilon = (I - \Delta)^m u^\varepsilon$, $2m \leq s$, and $x_l^2 J^{2m}u$ with $2m \leq s_1$ (assuming s, s_1 even integers) can be written so that the constants in (H_I 1)–(H_I 5) are uniform for all these equations in a time interval $[0, \tilde{T}]$ independent of ε .

The equations for $J^{2m}u^\varepsilon$ are obtained by applying the operator J^{2m} to the equation (10.52), which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t J^{2m} u = & -\varepsilon \Delta^2 J^{2m} u + i \mathcal{L}_{2m}(u) J^{2m} u \\ & + f_{2m}(x, t, (\partial^\beta u)_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}, (\partial^\beta \bar{u})_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}) + J^{2m} f(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (10.53)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{2m}(u)v = & i a_{jk}(x, t, u, \bar{u}, \nabla u, \nabla \bar{u}) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 v \\ & + b_{2m,2,j}(x, t, (\partial^\beta u)_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}, (\partial^\beta \bar{u})_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}) R_j \partial_{x_j} v \\ & + b_{2m,2,j}(x, t, (\partial^\beta u)_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}, (\partial^\beta \bar{u})_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}) \tilde{R}_j \partial_{x_j} \bar{v} \\ & + c_{1,2m}(x, t, (\partial^\beta u)_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}, (\partial^\beta \bar{u})_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}) R_{2m,1} v \\ & + c_{2,2m}(x, t, (\partial^\beta u)_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}, (\partial^\beta \bar{u})_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1}) R_{2m,2} \bar{v}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.54)$$

where $R_j, \tilde{R}_j, R_{2m,1}, R_{2m,2}$ are ψ .d.o. of order zero.

We observe that the principal part of $\mathcal{L}_{2m}(u)$ is independent of m . Moreover, the first order coefficients $b_{2m,1,j}, b_{2m,2,j} \rightarrow b_1, b_2$ depend on $2m$ as a multiplicative constant, and on the original coefficients a_{jk}, b_1, b_2 and their first derivatives and they verify the asymptotic flatness assumptions (H12). The term $f_{2m}(\cdot)$ involves derivatives that have been previously estimated in $L_T^\infty L_x^2$, and so putting it on the right hand side in the $L_T^1 L_x^2$ -norm they appear with a factor T in front.

Similar remarks hold for the equation for $x_T^2 J^{2m} u$ after using some simple commutator identities.

Collecting this information we can also show that there exists a $Q(\cdot)$ increasing function such that, for any $\omega \in X_{T,M_0}$ with $T > 0$ solution of the IVP (10.52),

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s_1 - 4} \|\langle x \rangle^2 \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t \omega\|_2 \leq Q(M_0) \quad (10.55)$$

holds.

All these facts will allow us to apply Lemma 10.1 to get the a priori estimate

$$\|u^\varepsilon\| \leq c_0(\lambda + \tilde{T}R(M_0)) \leq M_0/4 \quad (10.56)$$

for \tilde{T} small, but uniform in ε , where $R(\cdot)$ is a fixed increasing function. Thus, we can reapply the local existence theorem (originally on $[0, T_\varepsilon]$) to extend the local solution u^ε to the time interval $[0, \tilde{T}]$, with

$$\|u^\varepsilon\| \leq M_0 = 20c_0\lambda. \quad (10.57)$$

Once (10.57) has been established we consider the equation for the difference $u^\varepsilon - u^{\varepsilon'}$, $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$, and reapply the argument to obtain the existence as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and the uniqueness of the solution. The continuous dependence is a bit more complicated and it is based on Bona–Smith regularization argument [BS].

Now we turn our attention to the proof of Lemma 10.1. One of the main ingredients in the proof is the following lemma due to Doi [Do1].

Lemma 10.2 (Doi). *Assume that h in (10.38) verifies the assumptions $(H_1 5)$ (nontrapping), $(H_1 4)$ (regularity) and $(H_1 2)$ (asymptotic flatness). Then there exists a real-valued 0th order classical symbol $p \in S^0$ (see (3.16)) whose seminorm is bounded in terms of the “nontrapping character” of h , the ellipticity constant γ in $(H_1 1)$, and the bound for the smoothness norm at $t = 0$, c_1 , and a constant $\beta \in (0, 1)$ (with the same dependence) such that*

$$H_h p = \{h, p\} \geq \beta \frac{|\xi|}{\langle x \rangle^2} - \frac{1}{\beta} \quad (10.58)$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 10.2. The seminorm bounds for the symbol p and the constant β above are the quantitative way in which the “nontrapping” character of h enters into the proof.

We recall that

$$H_h p = \{h, p\} = \partial_{\xi_j} h \partial_{x_j} p - \partial_{x_j} h \partial_{\xi_j} p. \quad (10.59)$$

Observe that, if \tilde{h} is only “approximately nontrapping” and we use the p corresponding to H_a , for $H_{\tilde{h}}$ we get a lower bound of $H_{\tilde{h}} p$ by $\beta |\xi|/2 \langle x \rangle^2 - 2/\beta$.

To apply Doi’s lemma we need the sharp Garding inequality (see [Ho2]).

Lemma 10.3 (Sharp Garding’s inequality). *Let $q \in S^1$ be a classical symbol of order 1 such that $\Re q(x, \xi) \geq 0$ for $|\xi| \geq R$ then there exist $j_0 = j_0(n)$ and $c = c(n, R)$ such that*

$$\Re \langle \Psi_q f, f \rangle \geq -c \|q\|_{S^1}^{(j_0)} \|f\|, \quad (10.60)$$

where Ψ_q denotes the ψ .d.o. with symbol q , i.e.,

$$\Psi_q f(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} q(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (10.61)$$

Assuming Lemmas 10.2 and 10.3 we shall divide the proof of Lemma 10.1 into several steps.

Step 1. Write the equation in (10.40) as a system. Using

$$\vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{f} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \bar{f} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{w}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ \bar{u}_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

one has the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \vec{w} = -\varepsilon \Delta^2 I \vec{w} + (iH + B + C) \vec{w} + \vec{f}, \\ \vec{w}(x, 0) = \vec{w}_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ \bar{c}_2 & \bar{c}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10.62)$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{b}_1 \cdot \nabla & \vec{b}_2 \cdot \nabla \\ \vec{b}_2 \cdot \nabla & \vec{b}_1 \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10.63)$$

and $\mathcal{L} = ia_{jk}(x, t) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2$.

Step 2. Diagonalization of the first order terms. (To simplify the exposition take $\varepsilon = 0$).

Notice that \mathcal{L} is elliptic, with ellipticity constant $\gamma/2$ for $t \in [0, T]$ for T sufficiently small since

$$a_{jk}(x, t) \xi_j \xi_k = a_{jk}(x, 0) \xi_j \xi_k + [a_{jk}(x, t) - a_{jk}(x, 0)] \xi_j \xi_k \geq \gamma |\xi|^2 - cT |\xi|^2 \quad (10.64)$$

(by using the bound of $\partial_t a_{jk}(x, t)$ in (H_l2)).

This type of argument shall be used repeatedly.

Next we write

$$B = B_{diag} + B_{anti} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.65)$$

Our goal is to eliminate B_{anti} . To do this we set

$$\Lambda = I - S, \quad \text{with} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where S_{12}, S_{21} are ψ .d.o. of order -1 to be determined.

We want to write the system in the new variable

$$\vec{z} = \Lambda \vec{w} \quad (10.66)$$

for an appropriate choice of S , so that B_{anti} is eliminated.

We will use that S is a matrix of ψ .d.o. of order -1 , to have that Λ is invertible in L^2 and so the estimates on \vec{z} are equivalent to the estimates on \vec{w} .

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \Lambda - \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathcal{L} S_{12} - S_{12} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} S_{21} + S_{21} \mathcal{L} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|h(x, \xi)| = |a_{jk}(x, t) \xi_j \xi_k| \geq \gamma |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for} \quad |\xi| \geq R \quad (10.67)$$

uniformly in t , choosing $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\varphi(y) = 1$ if $|y| \leq 1$ and $\varphi(y) = 0$ if $|y| \geq 2$ we define

$$\tilde{h}(x, t, \xi) = (h(x, \xi))^{-1} (1 - \varphi(\xi/R)) \quad (10.68)$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \Psi_{\tilde{h}}$, i.e., the ψ .d.o. of order -2 with symbol \tilde{h} . Notice that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{L} = I + \Psi_{\ell_1} \quad (10.69)$$

with $\ell_1 \in S^{-1}$ (uniformly in t). Define

$$S_{12} = \frac{1}{2} i B_{12} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \quad S_{21} = -\frac{1}{2} i B_{21} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \quad (10.70)$$

and

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.71)$$

Notice that the entries of S are ψ .d.o of order -1 , whose S^0 seminorms tend to zero as $R \uparrow \infty$ (see (10.68)). Thus, for R large enough Λ is invertible in $H^s(\langle x \rangle^2 dx)$, $H^s(\langle x \rangle^{-2} dx)$ and $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with operator norm in the interval $(1/2, 2)$. Also if Λ^{-1} denotes the inverse of Λ , the entries of Λ^{-1} are ψ .d.o. of order zero.

Finally, from our construction

$$\begin{cases} -\mathcal{L} S_{12} - S_{12} \mathcal{L} = -B_{12} + \text{order } 0, \\ \mathcal{L} S_{21} - S_{21} \mathcal{L} = -B_{21} + \text{order } 0. \end{cases} \quad (10.72)$$

We then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda B_{diag} &= (I - S) B_{diag} = B_{diag} - S B_{diag} \\ &= B_{diag} \Lambda + B_{diag} S - S B_{diag} \\ &= B_{diag} \Lambda + [(B_{diag} S - S B_{diag}) \Lambda^{-1}] \Lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (10.73)$$

(notice that $[\cdot]$ is an operator of order zero).

Similarly

$$\Lambda B_{anti} = B_{anti} \Lambda + C \Lambda, \quad (10.74)$$

by (10.70), (10.71), (10.72) with C a matrix of ψ .d.o. of order zero.

Thus our system in $\vec{z} = \Lambda \vec{w}$ becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \vec{z} = i H \vec{z} + B_{diag} \vec{z} + C \vec{z} + \vec{g}, \\ \vec{z}(x, 0) = \vec{z}_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (10.75)$$

where $\vec{g} = \Lambda \vec{f}$, $\vec{z}_0 = \Lambda \vec{w}_0$, H , B_{diag} as before with $B_{11} = \vec{b}_1 \cdot \nabla$, $B_{22} = \overline{\vec{b}_1} \cdot \nabla$ and C is a matrix of ψ .d.o. of order zero whose symbols have seminorm estimates controlled by c (not c_1).

Step 3. Energy estimates for a “gauged” system. We recall that $\mathcal{L} = a_{jk}(x, t) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2$ has symbol $a_{jk}(x, t) \xi_j \xi_k$, and our “nontrapping” assumption is on

$$h(x, \xi) = a_{jk}(x, 0) \xi_j \xi_k. \quad (10.76)$$

Let $p \in S^0$ be the symbol associated to h as in Lemma 10.2 so that

$$H_h p = \{h, p\} \geq \beta \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle x \rangle^2} - \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

Let

$$h_1(x, t, \xi) = a_{jk}(x, t) \xi_j \xi_k. \quad (10.77)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} H_{h_1} p &= \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_l} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_l} - \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_l} \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_l} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_l} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_l} + (a_{jk}(x, t) - a_{jk}(x, 0)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l} (\xi_j \xi_k) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_l} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (a_{jk}(x, t) - a_{jk}(x, 0)) \right) \xi_j \xi_k \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by “asymptotic flatness,” assumption (H2), we see that for small T

$$H_{h_1} p \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle x \rangle^2} - \frac{2}{\beta}, \quad (10.78)$$

for the same p . We now consider the ψ .d.o. of order 0, Ψ_{r_1} , whose symbol is $e^{Mp(x, t)}$ for an M large to be determined depending only on c_1 , and the “nontrapping character.” Notice that the seminorms of r_1 depend only on c_1 , and the nontrapping character: it is elliptic. The same holds for $\Psi_{r_1}^{-1}$ (modulo order -2 errors). Also

$$H_{h_1} r_1 = M(H_{h_1} p) r_1 \geq \left\{ M \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle x \rangle^2} - \frac{2M}{\beta} \right\} r_1 \quad (10.79)$$

and that modulo 0th order operators the symbol of $i\{\mathcal{L}\Psi_{r_1} - \Psi_{r_1}\mathcal{L}\} = H_{h_1} r_1$, for each t .

We also consider Ψ_{r_2} , whose symbol is $e^{-Mp(x, \xi)}$ so that symbolwise we have

$$i\{\mathcal{L}\Psi_{r_2} - \Psi_{r_2}\mathcal{L}\} = H_{h_1} r_2 = -M(H_{h_1} p) r_2 \leq -\left\{ M \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle x \rangle^2} - \frac{2M}{\beta} \right\} r_2.$$

Now we define

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{r_2} \end{pmatrix} \vec{z},$$

and obtain a new system for $\vec{\alpha}$, in which for M chosen appropriately we will be able to perform energy estimates. For simplicity let

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{r_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We want to obtain the system for $\vec{\alpha}$.

$$\begin{aligned} i\{\Psi H - H\Psi\} &= i \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{r_1} \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L} \Psi_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L} \Psi_{r_2} - \Psi_{r_2} \mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{H_{h_1} r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1} r_2} \end{pmatrix} + \text{0th order.} \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $-H_{h_1} r_1 = -M(H_{h_1} p)r_1$, $H_{h_1} r_2 = -M(H_{h_1} p)r_2$, and using that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Psi_{H_{h_1} r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1} r_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -M\Psi_{H_{h_1} p} & 0 \\ 0 & -M\Psi_{H_{h_1} p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{r_2} \end{pmatrix} + \text{0th order}$$

we get

$$i\{\Psi H - H\Psi\} = \begin{pmatrix} -M\Psi_{H_{h_1} p} & 0 \\ 0 & -M\Psi_{H_{h_1} p} \end{pmatrix} \Psi + \text{0th order.}$$

Next

$$\Psi B_{diag} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \Psi + \text{0th order}$$

and

$$\Psi C = (\Psi C \Psi^{-1}) \Psi.$$

Thus the system for $\vec{\alpha}$ is:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \vec{\alpha} = iH \vec{\alpha} + B_{diag} \vec{\alpha} - M \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{H_{h_1} p} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1} p} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha} + C \vec{\alpha} + \vec{F}, \\ \vec{\alpha}(x, 0) = \vec{\alpha}_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{\alpha}_0 = \Psi \vec{z}_0$ and $\vec{F} = \Psi \vec{g}$. It suffices to find M (see definition of Ψ_{r_1}) depending only on c_1 and the nontrapping character, so that we can estimate $\vec{\alpha}$. To do this we consider

$$\langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta} \rangle = \int \alpha_1 \bar{\beta}_1 + \alpha_2 \bar{\beta}_2$$

and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle &= i \left[\langle H \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H \vec{\alpha} \rangle \right] + \langle B_{diag} \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \vec{\alpha}, B_{diag} \vec{\alpha} \rangle \\
&\quad - M \left[\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{H_{h_1 p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1 p}} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \right\rangle + \left\langle \vec{\alpha}, \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{H_{h_1 p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1 p}} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha} \right\rangle \right] \\
&\quad + \langle C \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \vec{\alpha}, C \vec{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \vec{F}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{F} \rangle \\
&= i \left[\langle H \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H \vec{\alpha} \rangle \right] + 2 \Re \langle B_{diag} \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle \\
&\quad - 2M \Re \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{H_{h_1 p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1 p}} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \right\rangle + 2 \Re \langle C \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle + 2 \Re \langle \vec{F}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We recall that

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\mathcal{L} = a_{jk}(x, t) \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 = \partial_{x_j} (a_{jk}(x, t) \partial_{x_k}) - \partial_{x_j} a(x, t) \partial_{x_k} = \mathcal{L}_0 - \vec{b}_3(x, t) \cdot \nabla.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
i \left[\langle H \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H \vec{\alpha} \rangle \right] &= i \left[\langle H_0 \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H_0 \vec{\alpha} \rangle \right] \\
&\quad + \langle i(\vec{b}_3(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \vec{\alpha}, -i(\vec{b}_3(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \vec{\alpha} \rangle \\
&= \left[\langle H_0 \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H_0 \vec{\alpha} \rangle \right] + 2 \Re \langle B_{diag}^1 \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{L}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{diag}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\vec{b}_3(x, t) \cdot \nabla & 0 \\ 0 & -i\vec{b}_3(x, t) \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that our asymptotic flatness assumption implies that

$$\tilde{B}_{diag} = B_{diag} + B_{diag}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{B}_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the symbols of \tilde{B}_{jj} , $j = 1, 2$ satisfy

$$|\partial_t \tilde{B}_{jj}(x, t, \xi)| \leq c \frac{|\xi|}{\langle x \rangle^2},$$

and

$$|\tilde{B}_{jj}(x, 0, \xi)| \leq c_1 \frac{|\xi|}{\langle x \rangle^2}.$$

As a consequence, for t small (depending on c) we have that

$$|\tilde{B}_{jj}(x, t, \xi)| \leq 2c_1 \frac{|\xi|}{\langle x \rangle^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle &= i [\langle H_0 \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H_0 \vec{\alpha} \rangle] \\ &+ \Re \langle \tilde{B}_{diag} \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - 2M \Re \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{H_{h_1} p} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_{H_{h_1} p} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \right\rangle \quad (10.80) \\ &+ 2\Re \langle C \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle + 2\Re \langle \vec{F}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see that

$$\langle H_0 \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle - \langle \vec{\alpha}, H_0 \vec{\alpha} \rangle \equiv 0.$$

For the next two terms in (10.80) we get

$$\Re \langle \tilde{B}_{11} \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle - M \langle \Psi_{H_{h_1} p} \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle + \text{similar terms in } \alpha_2.$$

We recall that

$$|\tilde{B}_{11}(x, t, \xi)| \leq c_1 \frac{|\xi|}{\langle x \rangle^2}$$

and

$$H_{h_1} p \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|\xi|}{\langle x \rangle^2} - \frac{2}{\beta}.$$

We now choose M so large such that

$$M H_{h_1} p \pm \tilde{B}_{11}(x, t, \xi) \leq \beta - \tilde{\beta} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x \rangle^2},$$

then the sharp Garding inequality (Lemma 10.3) gives

$$\Re \langle \tilde{B}_{11} \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle - M \Re \langle \Psi_{H_{h_1} p} \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle \leq c \|\alpha_1\|_2^2 - \langle \Psi_{\tilde{\beta} \langle \xi \rangle / \langle x \rangle^2} \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle.$$

Using that

$$\Psi_{\tilde{c} \langle \xi \rangle / \langle x \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^2} \Psi_{\tilde{c} \langle \xi \rangle} + \Psi_{e_0},$$

with e_0 of order 0,

$$\Psi_{\tilde{c} \langle \xi \rangle} = \tilde{c} J^{1/2} J^{1/2},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^2} J^{1/2} J^{1/2} = J^{1/2} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^2} J^{1/2} + \Psi_{e_0^1},$$

with e_0^1 of order 0, we see that

$$\langle \Psi_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\langle \xi \rangle / \langle x \rangle^2} \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle = \tilde{\beta} \int \frac{|J^{1/2} \alpha_1|^2}{\langle x \rangle^2}(x, t) dx + O(\|\alpha_1\|_2).$$

So we pick $t_0 \in [0, T]$ such that

$$\|\vec{\alpha}(t_0)\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{[0, T]} \|\vec{\alpha}(t)\|_2^2,$$

to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{[0, T]} \|\vec{\alpha}(t)\|_2^2 + \tilde{\beta} \int_0^T \int \frac{|J^{1/2} \alpha_1|^2}{\langle x \rangle^2}(x, t) dx dt &\leq 2 \int_0^{t_0} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \rangle dt + 2 \|\vec{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 \\ &\leq c \int_0^{t_0} \|\vec{\alpha}\|_2^2 dt + 2 \int_0^{t_0} \|\vec{F}\|_2 \|\vec{\alpha}\|_2 dt + 2 \|\vec{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 \\ &\leq CT \sup_{[0, T]} \|\vec{\alpha}(t)\|_2^2 + 2 \sup_{[0, T]} \|\vec{\alpha}(t)\|_2^2 \int_0^T \|\vec{F}\| dt + 2 \|\vec{\alpha}_0\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, upon choosing $CT < 1/2$ yields the desired estimate (10.46).

10.2 Comments

The main result in this chapter, Theorem 10.1, was proved in [KPV10]. As it was seen the proof is based on the artificial viscosity method (it cannot be established by a solely Picard argument; see [KT]) and uses only classical pseudodifferential operators. In this regard the ellipticity assumption is crucial.

It was displayed in Chapter 9 that dispersive models of the form

$$\partial_t u = i(\partial_{x_1}^2 + \cdots + \partial_{x_k}^2 - \partial_{x_{k+1}}^2 - \cdots - \partial_{x_n}^2)u + f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \quad (10.81)$$

arise in the physical (for instance, wave propagation) and in the mathematical context (for example, IST).

In [KPV14] local well-posedness of the IVP associated to the equation (10.81) was obtained. The method of proof, among other arguments, employs pseudodifferential operators in the Calderón–Vaillancourt class. This approach does not seem to apply to the variable coefficient class

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u = & i \partial_{x_k} (a_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_j} u) + (\vec{b}_1(x) \cdot \nabla) u + (\vec{b}_2(x) \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} \\ & + c_1(x) u + c_2(x) \bar{u} + f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (10.82)$$

where $(a_{jk}(x))$ is a symmetric nondegenerate (invertible) matrix.

The local well-posedness of the IVP associated to the equation in (10.82) was studied in the massive work [KPRV1]. For that purpose a new class of pseudo-differential operators was introduced, which takes into consideration the “geometry” of the nonelliptic operator. Under asymptotic flatness hypothesis of the coefficient $a_{jk}(x)$, b_{1j} , b_{2j} , $k, j = 1, \dots, n$, and nontrapping assumptions of the bicharacteristic flow associated to the symbol $a_{jk}(x)\xi_k\xi_j$ it was proved in [KPV14] that the IVP for (10.82) is locally well-posed in weighted Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(|x|^k dx)$ for large enough values of $s, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ($s > k$). The results in [KPRV1] were extended in [KPRV2] to the case where the coefficients $a_{jk}(\cdot)$, $b_{1j}(\cdot)$, $b_{2j}(\cdot)$ depend on $(x, t, u, \bar{u}, \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \bar{u})$, $k, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $c_1(\cdot)$, $c_2(\cdot)$ on (x, t, u, \bar{u}) .

10.3 Exercises

10.1. Fill out the details of the results discussed in (i)–(v) regarding the IVP (10.7)

10.2. Prove that $f = f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u})$, $n \geq 1$, and $f = \partial_x(|u|^2 u)$, $n = 1$, satisfy the inequality (10.19).

10.3. Assuming that $f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla u, \nabla \bar{u})$ satisfies the inequality (10.19), sketch a local existence proof for the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\varepsilon \Delta u + f(u, \bar{u}, \nabla u, \nabla \bar{u}), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s > n/2 + 1, \end{cases} \quad (10.83)$$

for $\varepsilon \geq 0$. This shows that under the hypothesis (10.19) the dispersion is not needed for a local theory.

10.4.

- (i) Prove that the symbol in (10.28) for $|\xi| \geq 1$ satisfies the estimate (10.29).
- (ii) Prove that in addition, for $|\xi| \geq 1$ one has

$$|(x_j \partial_{x_j})^\gamma \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mu(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|} |\xi|^{-|\beta|}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \alpha, \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n, \quad (10.84)$$

where $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$.

Appendix A

Appendix

Proof of Theorem 2.8

As it was mentioned after the statement of Theorem 2.8 it suffices to show that the operator T_m is of weak type (1,1), that is, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m f(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq c_1 \|f\|_1. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

To establish (A.1) we need the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition of L^1 -functions.

Lemma A.1 (Calderón–Zygmund lemma). *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $\alpha > 0$, f can be decomposed as*

$$f = g + b = g + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \quad (\text{A.2})$$

such that

$$|g(x)| \leq 2^n \alpha \quad a.e \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$b_j \text{ is supported in a cube } Q_j, \text{ with } \int_{Q_j} b_j dx = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\text{the } Q_j \text{ have disjoint interior, } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Q_j| \leq \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_1, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and

$$\|g\|_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|b_j\|_1 \leq 6 \|f\|_1. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Proof. Assume $f \geq 0$ (otherwise $f = f^+ - f^-$ and decompose each part). Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists l such that $|Q|^{-1} \int_Q f dy < \alpha$ for any cube of side length l .

Divide \mathbb{R}^n into a mesh of cubes of side length l parallel to the axes. Let Q^0 be one of them. Divide each side of Q^0 in two to get 2^n cubes of side length $l/2$. Let Q^1 be such a cube there are two possibilities:

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{|Q^1|} \int_{Q^1} f dy < \alpha \quad \text{or} \quad (b) \quad \frac{1}{|Q^1|} \int_{Q^1} f dy \geq \alpha.$$

In case (b) one stops the subdivision, noticing that

$$\alpha \leq \frac{1}{|Q^1|} \int_{Q^1} f dy \leq \frac{2^n}{|Q^0|} \int_{Q^0} f dy \leq 2^n \alpha, \quad (A.7)$$

and collecting it in a sequence Q_j .

In case (a) the subdivision process continues. Thus, if $x \notin \bigcup_j Q_j$ it follows from the Lebesgue differentiation theorem (Exercise 2.6 (ii)) that

$$f(x) \leq \alpha \quad \text{a.e.} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_j Q_j. \quad (A.8)$$

Finally, we define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} f dy & \text{if } x \in Q_j, \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \notin Q_j, \end{cases} \quad (A.9)$$

and

$$b_j(x) = (f(x) - g(x)) \chi_{Q_j}(x), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (A.10)$$

which yields the result. \square

We shall denote by Q_j^* the cube having the same center as Q_j and twice its side length as

$$\Omega = \bigcup_j Q_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^* = \bigcup_j Q_j^* \quad (A.11)$$

with

$$|\Omega^*| \leq \sum_j |Q_j^*| = 2^n \sum_j |Q_j|. \quad (A.12)$$

Proof of inequality (A.1). First we notice that using Calderón–Zygmund Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m f(x)| > \alpha\}| \\
& \leq |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m g(x)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m b(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \\
& \leq |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m g(x)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x \notin \Omega^* : |T_m b(x)| > \alpha\}| + |\Omega^*| \\
& = E_1 + E_2 + E_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

From (A.12) and (A.5) in Calderón–Zygmung Lemma we have that

$$E_3 = |\Omega^*| \leq 2^n \sum_j |Q_j| \leq 2^n \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_1. \tag{A.14}$$

Tchebychev's inequality and (A.3) in the Calderón–Zygmund lemma yield

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_m g(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \leq c \left(\frac{\|T_m g\|_2}{\alpha/2} \right)^2 \leq c \frac{\|g\|_2^2}{\alpha^2} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{\alpha^2} \|g\|_1 \|g\|_\infty \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \|g\|_1 \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \|f\|_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Hence it remains to prove that

$$E_2 = |\{x \notin \Omega^* : |T_m b(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \leq c \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_1. \tag{A.16}$$

It will suffice to show that

$$\int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |T_m b_j(x)| dx \leq c \|b_j\|_1, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{A.17}$$

To establish (A.17) we follow the argument in [Sg].

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\{\xi : |\xi| < 2\})$ such that $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$. Let $\beta(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(2\xi)$. Thus

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(2^{-l}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0. \tag{A.18}$$

If $m_l(\xi) = \beta(\xi) m(2^l \xi)$, then by hypothesis (2.18) it follows that

$$\int |(1 - \Delta)^{s/2} m_l(\xi)|^2 d\xi < c. \tag{A.19}$$

Thus by Plancherel's identity using the notation $K_l(x) = \widehat{m}_l(x)$, one gets that

$$\int (1 + |x|^2)^s |K_l(x)|^2 dx < c, \tag{A.20}$$

which combined with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields the estimate

$$\int_{\{x: \max_m |x_m| > R\}} |K_l(x)| dx < c R^{n/2-s}, \tag{A.21}$$

which is a good estimate for $R \gg 1$.

Reapplying the estimates (A.19)–(A.20) for $\xi_k m_l(\xi)$ instead of $m_l(\xi)$ one finds that

$$\int |\nabla K_l(x)| dx < c. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$\int |K_l(x+y) - K_l(x)| dx < c|y|. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

We observe that as a temperate distribution,

$$K(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{nl} K_l(2^l x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{m}_l(2^{-l} x). \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Assume that Q_j is a cube of side R centered at the origin. From (A.21) one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |2^{nl} K_l(2^l \cdot) * b_j| dx &\leq \int_{Q_j} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |2^{nl} K_l(2^l(x-y))| |b_j(y)| dx dy \\ &\leq \|b_j\|_1 \int_{\{x: \max_m |x_m| \geq 2^l R\}} |K_l(x)| dx \\ &\leq c (2^l R)^{n/2-s} \|b_j\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Now using that $\int_{Q_j} b_j dy = 0$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} 2^{nl} \int_{y \in Q_j} K_l(2^l(x-y)) b_j(y) dy dx \\ = \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} 2^{nl} \int_{y \in Q_j} \left(K_l(2^l(x-y)) - K_l(2^l x) \right) b_j(y) dy dx. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Therefore, (A.23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |2^{nl} K_l(2^{nL} \cdot) * b_j| dx \\ \leq \int_{y \in Q_j} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} 2^{nl} |K_l(2^l(x-y)) - K_l(2^l x)| |b_j(y)| dx dy \\ \leq c (2^l R) \|b_j\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Adding in l in (A.25) for $2^l R > 1$ and in (A.27) for $2^l R \leq 1$ one gets that

$$\int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |T_m b_j(x)| dx \leq c \|b_j\|_1, \quad (\text{A.28})$$

which completes the proof.

□

References

- [AA1] H. Added and S. Added. Equations of Langmuir turbulence and nonlinear Schrödinger equation: smoothness and approximation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **79** (1988), 183–210.
- [AA2] H. Added and S. Added. Existence globale de solutions fortes pour les équations de la turbulence de Langmuir en dimension 2, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **299** (1984), 551–554.
- [ABLS] J. Angulo, J. L. Bona, F. Linares, and M. Scialom. Scaling, stability and singularities for nonlinear, dispersive wave equations: the critical case, *Nonlinearity* **15** (2002), 759–786.
- [AC] M.J. Ablowitz and P.A. Clarkson. *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **149**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [AF] M.J. Ablowitz and A.S. Fokas. The inverse scattering transform for the Benjamin-Ono equation—a pivot to multidimensional problems, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **68** (1983), 1–10.
- [AH] M.J. Ablowitz and R. Haberman. Nonlinear evolution equations in two and three dimensions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** (1975), 1185–1188.
- [AL] J. Albert and F. Linares. Stability and symmetry of solitary-wave solutions to systems modeling interactions of long waves, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **79** (2000), 195–226.
- [AlCa] T. Alazard and R. Carles. Loss of regularity for the super-critical Schrödinger equations, preprint.
- [AnF] D. Anker and N.C. Freeman. On the soliton solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equation for long waves, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **360** (1978), 529–540.
- [AS1] M.J. Ablowitz and H. Segur. On the evolution of packets of water waves, *J. Fluid Mech.* **92** (1979), 691–715.
- [AS2] M.J. Ablowitz and H. Segur. *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, Studies in Applied Math. Philadelphia: SIAM, 1981.
- [B] W. Beckner. Inequalities in Fourier Analysis, *Ann. of Math.* **102** (1975), 159–182.
- [BC1] R. Beals and R.R. Coifman. The spectral problem for the Davey-Stewartson and Ishimori hierarchies, *Proc. Conf. on Nonlinear Evolution Equations: Integrability and Spectral Methods*, Manchester, U. K., 1988.
- [BC2] H. Berestycki and T. Cazenave. Instabilité des états stationnaires dans les équations de Schrödinger et de Klein-Gordon non linéaires, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **293** (1981), 489–492.
- [Be1] B. Benjamin. The stability of solitary waves, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) Ser. A* **328** (1972), 153–183.
- [Be2] T.B. Benjamin. Internal waves of permanent form in fluids of great depth, *J. Fluid Mech.* **29** (1967), 559–592.
- [BeL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström. *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Grundlehren 223, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.

- [B-Ga] H. Brezis and T. Gallouët. Nonlinear Schrödinger evolution equations, *Nonlinear Analysis, TMS* **4** (1980), 667–681.
- [BGK] H. Berestycki, T. Gallouët and O. Kavian. Équations de champs scalaires Euclidiens non linéaires dans le plan, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **297** (1983), 307–310.
- [BGT1] N. Burq, P. Gerard and N. Tzvetkov. Two singular dynamics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a plane domain, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2003), 1–19.
- [BGT2] N. Burq, P. Gerard and N. Tzvetkov. An instability property of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{S}^d , *Math. Res. Let.* **9** (2002), 323–335.
- [BGT3] N. Burq, P. Gerard and N. Tzvetkov. Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **126** (2004), 569–605.
- [BHS] A. de Bouard, N. Hayashi and J-C. Saut. Global existence of small solutions to a relativistic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **189** (1997), 73–105.
- [BIK] I. Bejenaru, A.D. Ionescu and C.E. Kenig. Global existence and uniqueness of Schrödinger maps in dimension $d \geq 4$, *Advances in Math.* **215** (2007), 263–291.
- [BiL] H.A. Biagioni and F. Linares. Ill-posedness for the derivative Schrödinger and generalized Benjamin-Ono equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 3649–3659.
- [BKl] M. Ben-Artzi and S. Klainerman. Decay and regularity for the Schrödinger equation, *J. Anal. Math.* **58** (1992), 25–37.
- [BKPSV] B. Birnir, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, N. Svanstedt, and L. Vega. On the ill-posedness of the IVP for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. London Math. Soc.* **53** (1996), 551–559.
- [BLi] H. Berestycki and P.-L. Lions. Nonlinear scalar field equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **82** (1983), 313–375.
- [BLiP] H. Berestycki, P.-L. Lions and L.A. Peletier. An ODE approach to the existence of positive solutions for semilinear problems in \mathbb{R}^N , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), 141–157.
- [Bn1] D.J. Benney. A general theory for interactions between short and long waves, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **56** (1977), no. 1, 81–94.
- [Bn2] J. Bona. On the stability theory of solitary waves, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **344** (1975), 363–374.
- [BnR] D.J. Benney and G.J. Roskes. Wave instabilities, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **48**, 377–385.
- [Bo1] J. Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equation, *Geom. and Funct. Anal.* **3** (1993) 107–156, 209–262.
- [Bo2] J. Bourgain. *Global Solutions of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **46**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1999).
- [Bo3] J. Bourgain. A remark on Schrödinger operators, *Israel J. Math.* **77** (1992), 1–16.
- [Bo4] J. Bourgain. Scattering in the energy space and below for the 3D NLS, *J. Anal. Math.* **75** (1998), 267–297.
- [Bo5] J. Bourgain. Refinements of Strichartz’ inequalities and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity, *IMRN* **5** (1998), 253–283.
- [Bo6] J. Bourgain. On growth in time of Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^d , *J. Analyse Mathématique* **72** (1997), 299–310.
- [Bo7] J. Bourgain. Global well-posedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 145–171.
- [Bo8] J. Bourgain. Periodic Korteweg-de Vries equation with measures as initial data, *Selecta Math.* **3** (1997), 115–159.
- [Bo9] J. Bourgain. On the Cauchy and invariant measure problem for the periodic Zakharov system, *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), 175–202.
- [Bo10] J. Bourgain. On the Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **3** (1993), 315–341.
- [BoC] J. Bourgain and J. Colliander. On well-posedness of the Zakharov system, *Inter. Math. Res. Notices* **11** (1996), 515–546.

- [BoW] J. Bourgain and W. Wang. Construction of blow up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearity, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **25** (1997), 197–215.
- [Br] A.R. Brodsky. On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the wave equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 207–208.
- [BRV] J.A. Barcelo, A. Ruiz, L. Vega. Some dispersive estimates for Schrödinger equations with repulsive potentials, *J. of Funct. Anal.* **236** (2006) 1–24.
- [Bs] M. Beals. Self-spreading and strength of singularities for the solutions to semilinear wave equations, *Ann. of Math.* **118** (1983), 187–214.
- [BS] J.L. Bona and R. Smith. The initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Roy. Soc. London Ser A* **278** (1978), 555–601.
- [BSc] J.L. Bona and R. Scott. Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation in fractional order Sobolev spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **43** (1976), 87–99.
- [BSS] J.L. Bona, P.E. Souganidis, and W. Strauss. Stability and instability of solitary waves of Korteweg-de Vries type, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A411** (1987), 395–412.
- [BTo] I. Bejenaru and T. Tao. Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness for a quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. of Funct. Anal.* **233** (2006), 228–259.
- [C] L. Carleson. *Some Analytical Problems Related to Statistical Mechanics*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **779** (1979), 9–45.
- [Ca] K. M. Case. Benjamin-Ono related equations and their solutions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **76** (1979), 1–3.
- [CDKS] J.E. Colliander, J.-M. Delort, C.E. Kenig and G. Staffilani. Bilinear estimates and applications to 2D NLS, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 3307–3325.
- [CGD] K.W. Chow, R.H.J. Grimshaw, and E. Ding. Interaction of breathers and solitons in the extended Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Wave Motion* **43** (2005), 158–166.
- [CH] R. Camassa and D.D. Holm. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solutions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
- [Ch1] H. Chihara. The initial value problem for the elliptic-hyperbolic Davey-Stewartson equation, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **39** (1999), 41–66.
- [Ch2] H. Chihara. Local existence for semilinear Schrödinger equations, *Math. Japonica* **42** (1995), 35–52.
- [Ch3] H. Chihara. The initial value problem for Schrödinger equations on the torus, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **15** (2002), 789–820.
- [Ci] Y. Choi. *Well-posedness and scattering results of fifth order evolution equations*, Ph.D thesis, University of Chicago, 1994.
- [CKeS] J. Colliander, C.E. Kenig and G. Staffilani. On solutions for the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili I equation, *Mosc. Math. J.* **1** (2001), 491–520.
- [CKS] W. Craig, T. Kappeler and W. Strauss. Microlocal dispersive smoothing for the Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48** (1995), 769–860.
- [CKSTT1] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. A refined global well-posedness results for the Schrödinger equations with derivative, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **34** (2002), 68–86.
- [CKSTT2] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Resonant decompositions and the I-method for cubic nonlinear Schrödinger on \mathbb{R}^2 , *Disc. Cont. Dynam. Systems A* **21** (2008), 665–686.
- [CKSTT3] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R}^3 , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **57** (2004), 987–1014.
- [CKSTT4] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Multi-linear estimates for periodic KdV equations, and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **211** (2004), no. 1, 173–218.
- [CKSTT5] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Sharp global well-posedness results for periodic and non-periodic KdV and modified KdV on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{T} , *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 705–749.
- [CKSTT6] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global well-posedness for KdV in Sobolev spaces of negative index, *EJDE* **26** (2001), 1–7.

- [CKSTT7] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^3 , to appear *Annals of Math.*
- [Cl] M. Colin. On the local well-posedness of quasilinear Schrödinger equations in arbitrary space dimension, *Comm. P.D.E.* **27** (2002), 325–354.
- [CM] T. Colin and G. Métivier. *Instabilities in Zakharov Equations for Laser Propagation in a Plasma*, Phase space analysis of partial differential equations, 63–81, *Progr. Non-linear Differential Equations Appl.*, **69**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [Cn] H. Cornille. Solutions of the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation in two spatial dimensions, *J. Math. Phys.* **20** (1979), 199–209.
- [Co1] A. Cohen. Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation from irregular data, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978), 149–181.
- [Co2] M. Cotlar. A general interpolation theorem for linear operators, *Revista Matemática Cuyana*, **1** (1955), 57–84.
- [CoK] A. Cohen and T. Kappeler. Solution to the Korteweg-de Vries equation with initial profile in $L^1_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1_N(\mathbb{R}^+)$, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 991–1025.
- [CrCT1] M. Christ, J. Colliander and T. Tao. Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **125** (2003), 1235–1293.
- [CrCT2] M. Christ, J. Colliander, and T. Tao. A priori bounds and weak solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in Sobolev spaces of negative order, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 368–395.
- [CrCT3] M. Christ, J. Colliander, and T. Tao. Ill-posedness for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations, preprint.
- [CrK] M. Christ and A. Kiselev. Maximal functions associated to filtrations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **179** (2001), 406–425.
- [CrW] M. Christ and M. Weinstein. Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **100** (1991), 87–109.
- [CS] P. Constantin and J. C. Saut. Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations, *Journal A.M.S.* **1** (1989), 413–446.
- [CST] J. Colliander, G. Staffilani and H. Takaoka. Global well-posedness for KdV below L^2 , *Math. Res. Lett.* **6** (1999), 755–778.
- [CSU] N-H. Chang, J. Shatah and K. Uhlenbeck. Schrödinger maps, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **53** (2000), 590–602.
- [Cz1] T. Cazenave. *An Introduction to Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, *Textos de Métodos Matemáticos* **22**, Universidade Federal de Rio de Janeiro, 1989.
- [Cz2] T. Cazenave. *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, *Courant Lectures Notes* 10, AMS 2003.
- [CzL] T. Cazenave and P.-L. Lions. Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **85** (1982), 549–561.
- [CzW1] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. Rapidly decaying solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), 75–100.
- [CzW2] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^1 , *Manuscripta Math.* **61** (1988), 477–494.
- [CzW3] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. *Some Remarks on the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in the Critical Case*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1394**, Springer, Berlin, (1989), 18–29.
- [CzW4] T. Cazenave and F.B. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s , *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **14** (1990), 807–836.
- [D] J. Dollard. Asymptotic convergence and the Coulomb interaction, *J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1964), 729–739.
- [DaR] K.D. Danov and M.S. Ruderman. Nonlinear waves on shallow water in the presence of a horizontal magnetic field, *Fluid Dynamics*, **18** (1983), 751–756.
- [DH] K. Datchev and J. Holmer. Fast soliton scattering by attractive delta impurities, preprint.

- [DJ] P.G. Drazin and R.S. Johnson. *Solitons: An Introduction*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [DK] B. Dahlberg and C.E. Kenig. A note on the almost everywhere behavior of solutions to the Schrödinger equation, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **908**, Springer, Berlin-New York, (1982), 205–209.
- [Do1] S. Doi. Remarks on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger-type equations, *Comm. PDE* **21** (1996), 163–178.
- [Do2] S. Doi. On the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations and the regularity of the solutions, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **34** (1994), 319–328.
- [DR] V.D. Djordjevic and L.G. Redekopp. On two-dimensional packets of capillary-gravity waves, *J. Fluid Mech.* **79** (1977), 703–714.
- [DS] A. Davey and K. Stewartson. On three dimensional packets of surface waves, *Proc. Roy. London Soc. A* **338** (1974), 101–110.
- [Du] J. Duoandikoetxea. *Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **29**. Ame. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2001.
- [DW] W. Ding and Y. Wang. Local Schrödinger flow into Kähler manifolds, *Sci. China Ser. A* **44** (2001), 1446–1464.
- [Dx] D. Dix. Nonuniqueness and uniqueness in the initial-value problem for Burgers' equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27** (1996), 708–724.
- [E] M.J. Esteban. Existence d'une infinité d'ondes solitaires pour des équations de champs non linéaires dans le plan, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* **2** (1980), 181–191.
- [EKPV1] L. Escauriaza, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Convexity properties of solutions to the free Schrödinger equation with gaussian decay, to appear in *Math. Research Letters*.
- [EKPV2] L. Escauriaza, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Hardy's uncertainty principle, convexity and Schrödinger evolutions, to appear in *J. European Math. Soc.*
- [ES] W. Eckhaus and P. Schuur. The emergence of solitons of the Korteweg-de Vries equation from arbitrary initial conditions, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **5** (1983), 97–116.
- [F] G. Folland. *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J. 1976.
- [FLP1] G. Fonseca, F. Linares, and G. Ponce. Global existence for the critical generalized KdV equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 1847–1855.
- [FLP2] G. Fonseca, F. Linares, and G. Ponce. Global well-posedness for the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **24** (1999), 683–705.
- [Fm] A. Friedman. *Partial Differential Equations*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1976.
- [Fo] G. Fonseca. Growth of the H^s -norm for the modified KdV equation, *Diff. Int. Equations* **13** (2000), 1081–1093.
- [Fr] F.G. Friedlander. *Introduction to the Theory of Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, New York 1982.
- [Fs] D. Foschi. Maximizers for the Strichartz inequality, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **9** (2007), 739–774.
- [FS1] A.S. Fokas and L.Y. Sung. On the solvability of the N -wave, Davey-Stewartson and Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations, *Inverse Problems* **8** (1992), 673–708.
- [FS2] A.S. Fokas and L.Y. Sung. The Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-I equation without the zero mass constraint, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **125** (1999), 113–138.
- [G1] Y. Giga. Solutions of the semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system, *J. Diff. Equations* **62** (1986), no. 2, 186–212.
- [G2] R.T. Glassey. On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977), 1794–1797.
- [GGKM] C.S. Gardner, J.M. Greene, M.D. Kruskal and R.M. Miura. A method of solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967), 1095–1097.

- [G11] M. Grillakis. On nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **25** (2000), 1827–1844.
- [G12] M. Grillakis. Regularity and asymptotic behavior of the wave equation with critical nonlinearity, *Ann. of Math.* **132** (1990), 485–509.
- [G13] M. Grillakis. Regularity for the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (1992), 749–774.
- [GM] L. Ghanetnas and F. Merle. Existence of self-similar blow-up solutions for Zakharov equation in dimension two. I. Concentration properties of blow-up solutions and instability results for Zakharov equation in dimension two. II *Comm. Math. Phys.* **160** (1994), 173–215, 349–389.
- [GO] O.B. Gorbacheva and L.A. Ostrovsky. Nonlinear vector waves in a mechanical model of a molecular chain, *Physica D* **8** (1983), 223–228.
- [GPS] A. Grünrock, M. Panthee, J. Drumond Silva. A remark on global well-posedness below L^2 for the gKdV-3 equation, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **20** (2007), 1229–1236.
- [Gr1] A. Grünrock. Some local well-posedness results for nonlinear Schrödinger equations below L^2 , preprint.
- [Gr2] A. Grünrock. A bilinear Airy type estimate with application to the 3-gkdv equation, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **18** (2005), no. 12, 1333–1339.
- [Gr3] A. Grünrock. Bi- and trilinear Schrödinger estimates in one space dimension with applications to cubic NLS and DNLS, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **41** (2005), 2525–2558.
- [GS] J.-M. Ghidaglia and J.-C. Saut. On the initial value problem for the Davey-Stewartson systems, *Nonlinearity* **3** (1990), 475–506.
- [GSch] M. Golberg and W. Schlag. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **251** (2004), 157–158.
- [GSS] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah and W. Strauss. Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry I, II, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **74** (1987), 160–197. **94**, (1990), 308–348.
- [GT] J. Ginibre and Y. Tsutsumi. Uniqueness of solutions for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **20** (1989), 1388–1425.
- [GTV] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo. On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system, *J. Funct. Anal.* **151** (1997), 384–436.
- [GV1] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. On the class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **32** (1979), 1–32, 33–72.
- [GV2] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Scattering theory in the energy space for the class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Math Pures at Appl.* **64** (1985), 363–401.
- [GV3] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Time decay of finite energy solutions of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **43** (1985), no. 4, 399–442.
- [H1] N. Hayashi. Global existence of small analytic solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Duke Math. J.* **61** (1991), 575–592.
- [H2] N. Hayashi. Local existence in time of small solutions to the Davey-Stewartson systems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **65** (1996), 313–366.
- [H3] N. Hayashi. Local existence in time of solutions to the elliptic-hyperbolic Davey-Stewartson system without smallness condition on the data, *J. Anal. Math.* **73** (1997), 133–164.
- [H4] N. Hayashi. Local existence in time of small solutions to the Ishimori system, preprint.
- [H5] N. Hayashi. Global existence of small analytic solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Duke Math. J.* **60** (1990), no. 3, 717–727.
- [He] L. I. Hedberg. On certain convolution inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 505–510.
- [HH1] N. Hayashi and H. Hirata. Global existence and asymptotic behaviour in time of small solutions to the elliptic-hyperbolic Davey-Stewartson system, *Nonlinearity* **9** (1996), 1387–1409.
- [HH2] N. Hayashi and H. Hirata. Local existence in time of small solutions to the elliptic-hyperbolic Davey-Stewartson system in the usual Sobolev space, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **40** (1997), 563–581.

- [HMZ] J. Holmer, J. Marzuola, and M. Zworski. Fast soliton scattering by delta impurities, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **274** (2007), 187–216.
- [HN] N. Hayashi and P. Naumkin. On the Davey-Stewartson and Ishimori systems, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **2** (1999), 53–81.
- [HNT1] N. Hayashi, K. Nakamitsu and M. Tsutsumi. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations in weighted Sobolev spaces, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **31** (1988), 363–381.
- [HNT2] N. Hayashi, K. Nakamitsu and M. Tsutsumi. On solutions of the initial value problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **71** (1987), 218–245.
- [HNT3] N. Hayashi, K. Nakamitsu and M. Tsutsumi. On solutions of the initial value problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension, *Math. Z.* **192** (1986), 637–650.
- [HO] H. Hayashi and T. Ozawa. Remarks on nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **2** (1994), 453–461.
- [Ho1] L. Hörmander. Estimates for translation invariant operators in L_p spaces, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93–104.
- [Ho2] L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III*, Springer, New York 1984.
- [HS] N. Hayashi and J.-C. Saut. Global existence of small solutions to the Davey-Stewartson and the Ishimori systems, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **8** (1995), 1657–1675.
- [HZ] J. Holmer and M. Zworski. Slow soliton interaction with delta impurities, *J. Mod. Dyn.* **1** (2007), 689–718.
- [I] W. Ichinose. The Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations with variable coefficients, *Osaka J. Math.* **24** (1987), 853–886.
- [IK1] A. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig. Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low regularity spaces, *Journal of the AMS* **20** (2007), 753–798.
- [IK2] A. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig. Low regularity Schrödinger maps: global well-posedness in dimension $d \geq 3$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **271** (2007), 523–559.
- [IM1] P. Isaza and J. Mejía. Local and global Cauchy problems for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili(KP-II) equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **26** (2001), 1027–1054.
- [IM2] P. Isaza and J. Mejía. Global solution for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP-II) equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **26** (2001), no. 5-6, 1027–1054.
- [IMS] P. Isaza, J. Mejía and V. Stallbohm. A regularity theorem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation with periodic boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* **23** (1994), 683–687.
- [IN] R. Iório and W. Nunes. On equations of KP-type, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **128** (1998), 725–743.
- [Io1] R. Iório. On the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation, *Comm. P.D.E.* **11** (1986), 1031–1081.
- [Io2] R. Iório. KdV, BO and friends in weighted Sobolev spaces, *Functional-analytic methods for partial differential equations* (Tokyo, 1989), 104–121, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1450**, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [Is1] Y. Ishimori. Multivortex solutions of a two dimensional nonlinear wave equation, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **72** (1984), 33–37.
- [Is2] Y. Ishimori. Solitons in a one-dimensional Lennard-Jones lattice, *Progr. Theoret. Phys.* **68** (1982), 402–410.
- [JK] C. Jones and T. Küpper. On the infinitely many solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **17** (1986), 803–836.
- [JSS] J. Journé, A. Soffer, and C. Sogge. $L^p - L^{p'}$ estimates for the time dependent Schrödinger operators, *Bull. A.M.S.* **23** (1990), 519–524.
- [K1] T. Kato. Wave operator and similarity for some non-self-adjoint operators, *Math. Ann.* **162** (1966), 258–279.
- [K2] T. Kato. On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., Stud. in Appl. Math.* **8** (1983), 93–128.

- [K3] T. Kato. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théor.* **46** (1987), 113–129.
- [K4] T. Kato. On nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Lecture Notes for Physics. Nordic Summer School*, 1988.
- [K5] T. Kato. On the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Manuscripta Math* **29** (1979), 89–99.
- [Ka] O. Kavian. A remark on the blowing-up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for non-linear Schrödinger equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **299** (1987), 193–203.
- [KdV] D.J. Korteweg and G. de Vries. On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves, *Philos. Mag.* **39** (1895), 422–443.
- [Ke] C.E. Kenig. On the local and global well-posedness theory for the KP-I equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **21** (2004), no. 6, 827–838.
- [KF] S. Kruzhkov and A. Faminskii. A generalized solution for the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation, *Math. USRR, Sbornik* **48** (1984), 93–138.
- [K11] S. Klainerman. Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math* **38** (1985), 321–332.
- [K12] S. Klainerman. Global existence for nonlinear wave equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), 43–101.
- [K1M] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon. Space-time estimates for the null forms and the local existence theorem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 1221–1268.
- [Km] H. Kumano-go. *Pseudo-differential Operators*, MIT Press, Cambridge 1981.
- [KM1] C.E. Kenig and F. Merle. Scattering for $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ bounded solutions to the cubic defocusing NLS in 3 dimensions, preprint.
- [KM2] C.E. Kenig and F. Merle. Global well posedness, scattering and blow up for the energy-critical, focusing, nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case, *Invent. Math.* **166** (2006), 645–675.
- [KMa] B.G. Konopelchenko and B. Matkarimov. Inverse spectral transform for the Ishimori equation. I. Initial value problem, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990), 2737–2746.
- [KN] D.J. Kaup and A.C. Newell. An exact solution for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **1** (1978), 798–801.
- [KP] B.B. Kadomtsev and V.I. Petviashvili. On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media, *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **15** (1970), 539–541.
- [KPo] T. Kato and G. Ponce. Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1988), 891–907.
- [KPRV1] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, C. Rolvung and L. Vega. Variable coefficient Schrödinger flows for ultrahyperbolic operators, *Adv. in Math.* **196** (2005), 373–486.
- [KPRV2] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, C. Rolvung and L. Vega. The general quasilinear ultrahyperbolic Schrödinger equation, *Adv. in Math.* **206** (2006), 402–433.
- [KPV1] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. On the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Duke Math. J.*, **90** (1989) 585–610.
- [KPV2] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, *Indiana U. Math. J.* **40** (1991), 33–69.
- [KPV3] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Small solutions to non-linear Schrödinger equation, *Ann. IHP Analyse Nonlineare* **10** (1993), 255–280.
- [KPV4] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via contraction principle, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 527–620.
- [KPV5] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations, *Duke Math. J.* **106** (2001), 617–633.
- [KPV6] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 573–603.
- [KPV7] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices, *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), 1–21.
- [KPV8] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. On the Zakharov and Zakharov-Schulman systems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **127** (1995), 204–234.

- [KPV9] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. On the initial value problem for the Ishimori system, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **1** (2000), 341–384.
- [KPV10] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear Schrödinger equations, *Invent. Math.* **158** (2004), no. 2, 343–388.
- [KPV11] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. On the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342** (1994), no. 1, 155–172.
- [KPV12] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. Quadratic forms for the 1-D semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Transactions AMS.* **346** (1996), 3323–3353.
- [KPV13] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. Higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1994), no. 1, 157–166.
- [KPV14] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega. Smoothing effects and local existence theory for the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Invent. Math.* **134** (1998), 489–545.
- [KR] C.E. Kenig and A. Ruiz. A strong type $(2, 2)$ estimate for a maximal operator associated to the Schrödinger equation, *Transactions AMS.* **280** (1983), 239–246.
- [KrS] J. Krieger and W. Schlag. Stable manifolds for all monic supercritical focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one dimension *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (2006), no. 4, 815–920.
- [KSC] C.F.F. Karney, A. Sen and F.Y.F. Chu. Nonlinear evolution of low hybrid waves, *Phys. Fluids* **22** (1979), 940–952.
- [KT] C.E. Kenig and H. Takaoka. Global well-posedness of the modified Benjamin-Ono equation with initial data in $H^{1/2}$, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2006, Art. ID 95702.
- [KT1] M. Keel and T. Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates, *Amer. J. Math.* **120** (1998), 955–980.
- [KT2] M. Keel and T. Tao. Global well-posedness of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation below the energy norm, preprint.
- [KTa1] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Personal communication.
- [KTa2] H. Koch and D. Tataru. A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **16** (2007), Art. ID rnm053.
- [KTV] R. Killip, T. Tao, and M. Visan. The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in two dimensions with radial data, preprint.
- [KTz] H. Koch and N. Tzvetkov. On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, *IMRN* **26** (2003) 1449–1464.
- [Kw1] K.M. Kwong. Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **105** (1989), 243–266.
- [Kw2] S. Kwon. On the fifth order KdV equation: local well posedness and lack of uniform continuity of the solution map, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2008), 1, 15 pp.
- [KY] T. Kato and K. Yajima. Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect, *Reviews in Math Physics* **1** (1989), 481–496.
- [Lb] G. Lamb. *Elements of Soliton Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1980.
- [LiPo] F. Linares and G. Ponce. On the Davey–Stewartson systems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **10** (1993), 523–548.
- [LiS] F. Linares and M. Scialom. On the smoothing properties of solutions to the modified Korteweg–de Vries equation, *J. Differential Equations* **106** (1993), 141–154.
- [LmPo] W.-K. Lim and G. Ponce. On the initial value problem for the one dimensional quasi-linear Schrödinger equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **34** (2002), 435–459.
- [LP] P.-L. Lions and B. Perthame. Lemmes de moments, de moyenne et de dispersion, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **314** (1992), 801–806.
- [Lp] W. Littman. The wave operator and L^p -norms, *J. Math. & Mech.* **12** (1963), 55–68.
- [LPS] F. Linares, G. Ponce and J.-C. Saut. On a degenerate Zakharov system, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **36** (2005), 1–23.
- [LPSS] M.J. Landman, G. Papanicolaou, C. Sulem, and P.-L. Sulem. Rates of blow up for solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the critical dimension, *Phys. Rev. A* (3) **38** (1988), 3837–3842.

- [LS] J.E. Lin and W.A. Strauss. Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **30** (1978), 245–263.
- [Lx1] P. Lax. Almost periodic solutions of the KdV equation, *SIAM Rev.* **18** (1976), no. 3, 351–375.
- [Lx2] P. Lax. Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **21** (1968), 467–490.
- [M] B. Marshal. Mixed norm estimates for the Klein-Gordon equation, *Proc. Conf. in honor of A. Zygmund*, Wadsworth Int. Math ser. (1981), 638–639.
- [Ma] Y. Martel. Asymptotic N-soliton-like solutions of the subcritical and critical Korteweg-de Vries equations, preprint.
- [McS] H. McKean and J. Shatah. The nonlinear Schrödinger equations and the nonlinear heat equation: reduction to linear form, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 1067–1080.
- [Me1] F. Merle. Limit of the solution of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation at blow-up time, *J. Funct. Anal.* **84** (1989), 201–214.
- [Me2] F. Merle. Construction of solutions with exactly k blow-up points for the Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **129** (1990), 223–240.
- [Me3] F. Merle. Determination of blow-up solutions with minimal mass for nonlinear Schrödinger with critical power, *Duke Math. J.* **69** (1993), 427–453.
- [Me4] F. Merle. Existence of blow-up solutions in the energy space for the critical generalized KdV equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (2001), 555–578.
- [Me5] F. Merle. Lower bounds for the blowup rate of solutions of the Zakharov equation in dimension two, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **49** (1996), 765–794.
- [Me6] F. Merle. Blow-up results of virial type for Zakharov equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **175** (1996), 433–455.
- [MeRa1] F. Merle and P. Raphael. Sharp upper bound on the blow up rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2003), 591–642.
- [MeRa2] F. Merle and P. Raphael. The blow up dynamic and upper bound on the blow up rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **161** (2005), no. 1, 157–222.
- [MG] H. Mc Gahagan. An approximation scheme for Schrödinger maps, *Comm. PDE* **32** (2007), 375–400.
- [MGK] R. Miura, C. Gardner, and M. Kruskal. Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. II. Existence of conservation laws and constants of motion, *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968), 1204–1209.
- [Mi] T. Mizumachi. Large time asymptotics of solutions around solitary waves to the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32** (2001), 1050–1080.
- [MK] Y. Matsuno and D. J. Kaup. Initial value problem of the linearized Benjamin-Ono equation and its applications, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997), 5198–5224.
- [MM1] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Instability of solitons for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), 74–123.
- [MM2] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Asymptotic stability of solitons for subcritical generalized KdV equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **157** (2001), 219–254.
- [MM3] Y. Martel and F. Merle. A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **79** (2000), 339–425.
- [MM4] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Blow up in finite time and dynamics of blow up solutions for the L^2 -critical generalized KdV equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), 617–664.
- [MM5] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Stability of blow-up profile and lower bounds for blow-up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation, *Ann. of Math.* **155** (2002), 235–280.
- [MM6] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Nonexistence of blow-up solution with minimal L^2 -mass for the critical gKdV equation, *Duke Math. J.* **115** (2002), 385–408.
- [MM7] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Multisolitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **23** (2006), 849–864.
- [MMT] Y. Martel, F. Merle and T-P. Tsai. Stability and asymptotic stability in the energy space of the sum of N solitons for subcritical gKdV equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **231** (2002), 347–373.

- [MMTa] J. Marzuola, J. Metcalfe and D. Tataru. Strichartz estimates and local smoothing estimates for asymptotically flat Schrödinger equations, preprint.
- [Mo] L. Molinet. Global well-posedness in L^2 for the periodic Benjamin-Ono equation, preprint.
- [MPSS] D. McLaughlin, G. Papanicolaou, C. Sulem, and P.L. Sulem. The focusing singularity of the cubic Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. A* **34** (1986), 1200–1210.
- [MR1] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud. Well-posedness results for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation with small initial data, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **83** (2004), 277–311.
- [MR2] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud. Well-posedness results for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation with arbitrary large initial data, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **70** (2004), 3757–3795.
- [MS1] J. Maddocks and R. Sachs. On the stability of KdV multi-solitons, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 867–901.
- [MS2] S.J. Montgomery-Smith. Time decay for the bounded mean oscillation of solutions of the Schrödinger and wave equations, *Duke Math. J.* **91** (1998), 393–408.
- [MST1] L. Molinet, J.-C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov. Well-posedness and ill-posedness results for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-I equation, *Duke Math. J.* **115** (2002), 353–384.
- [MST2] L. Molinet, J.-C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov. Global well-posedness for the KP-I equation, *Math. Ann.* **324** (2002), 255–275.
- [MST3] L. Molinet, J.-C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov. Ill-posedness issues for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2001), 982–988.
- [MT] F. Merle and Y. Tsutsumi. L^2 -concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power nonlinearity, *J. Diff. Eqs.* **84** (1990), 205–214.
- [Mu] R. Miura. The Korteweg-de Vries equation: a survey of results, *SIAM Rev.* **18** (1976), 412–459.
- [Mu1] R. Miura. Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. I. A remarkable explicit nonlinear transformation, *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968), 1202–1204.
- [MV] F. Merle and L. Vega. L^2 stability of solitons for KdV equation, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **13** (2003), 735–753.
- [MVV1] A. Moyua, A. Vargas, and L. Vega. Restriction theorems and maximal operators related to oscillatory integrals in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Duke Math. J.* **96** (1999), 547–574.
- [MVV2] A. Moyua, A. Vargas, and L. Vega. Schrödinger maximal function and restriction properties of the Fourier transform, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **16** (1996), 793–815.
- [Mz] S. Mizohata. *On the Cauchy problem*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering **3**, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1985.
- [N] A.C. Newell. *Solitons in Mathematics and Physics*, Regional Conference series in Applied Math. **48**, SIAM, 1985.
- [Na] K. Nakanishi. Energy scattering for nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger equations in spatial dimensions 1 and 2, *J. Funct. Anal.* **169** (1999), no. 1, 201–225.
- [NSU] A. Nahmod, A. Stefanov and K. Uhlenbeck. On Schrödinger maps, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **56** (2003), 114–151.
- [NSVZ] A. Nahmod, J. Shatah, L. Vega, and C. Zeng. Schrödinger maps and their associated frame systems, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2007 **21** Art. ID rnm088, 29 pp.
- [NT] H. Nawa and M. Tsutsumi. On blow up for the pseudo conformal invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Funk. Ekv.* **32** (1989), 417–428.
- [NTT] K. Nakanishi, H. Takaoka and Y. Tsutsumi. Counterexamples to bilinear estimates related with the KdV equation and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **8** (2001), 569–578.
- [OgT] T. Ogawa and Y. Tsutsumi. Blow-up of H^1 solutions for the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power nonlinearity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 487–496.
- [Ol] P.J. Olver. *Hamiltonian and non-Hamiltonian Models for Water Waves*, Lecture Notes in Phys. **195**, 273–290, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [On] H. Ono. Algebraic solitary waves in stratified fluids, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **39** (1975), 1082–1091.

- [OT1] T. Ozawa and Y. Tsutsumi. Space-time estimates for null gauge forms and nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Diff. Int. Eqs* **11** (1998), 201–222.
- [OT2] T. Ozawa and Y. Tsutsumi. Existence and smoothing effect of solutions for the Zakharov equations, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **28** (1992), 329–361.
- [OT3] T. Ozawa and Y. Tsutsumi. The nonlinear Schrödinger limit and the initial layer of the Zakharov equations, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **5** (1992), 721–745.
- [Oz] T. Ozawa. Exact blow-up solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Davey-Stewartson systems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **436** (1992), 345–349.
- [P1] H. Pecher. Nonlinear small data scattering for the wave and Klein-Gordon equation, *Math Z* **185** (1985), 261–270.
- [P2] H. Pecher. Global well-posedness below energy space for the 1-dimensional Zakharov system, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **19** (2001), 1027–1056.
- [Pe1] G. Perelman. On the formation of singularities in solutions of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **2** (2001), 605–673.
- [Pe2] G. Perelman. Asymptotic stability of multisoliton solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm PDE* **29** (2004), 1051–1095.
- [Po] G. Ponce. On the global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **4** (1991), 527–542.
- [Pp] M. Poppenberg. On the local wellposedness for quasilinear Schrödinger equations in arbitrary space dimension, *J. Diff. Eqs.* **172** (2001), 83–115.
- [PSS] A. Patera, C. Sulem, and P.L. Sulem. Numerical simulation of singular solutions to the two dimensional cubic Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37** (1984), 755–778.
- [PW] R. Pego and M. Weinstein. Asymptotic stability of solitary waves, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **164** (1994), 305–349.
- [Ra1] P. Raphael. Stability of the log-log bound for blow up solutions to the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation *Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), no. 3, 577–609.
- [Ra2] P. Raphael. Existence and stability of a solution blowing up on a sphere for the L^2 supercritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Duke Math. J.* **134** (2006), no. 2, 199–258.
- [Rd] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1986).
- [RH] P. Rosenau, and J.M. Hyman. Compactons: Solitons with Finite Wavelength, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **70** (1993) 564–567.
- [RS] I. Rodnianski and W. Schlag. Time decay for solutions of the Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials, *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 3, 451–513.
- [Ru] J. Rauch. *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **128**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [RuR] J. Rauch and M. Reed. Nonlinear microlocal analysis of semilinear hyperbolic systems in one space dimension. *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), 397–475.
- [RV] A. Ruiz and L. Vega. Local regularity of solutions to wave equations with time-dependent potentials, *Duke Math. J.* **76**, (1994) 913–940.
- [RVi] E. Ryckman and M. Visan. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^{1+4} , *Amer. J. Math.* **129** (2007), 1–60.
- [RZ] L. Robbiano and C. Zuily. Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger equation with variable coefficients, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* No. 101-102 (2005), vi+208 pp.
- [S1] E.M. Stein. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970.
- [S2] E.M. Stein. *Oscillatory Integrals in Fourier Analysis*, Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis. Princeton University Press (1986), 307–355.
- [Sa] C. Sadosky. *Interpolation of Operators and Singular Integrals, an introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Lecture Notes Pure and Appl. Math **14**, Marcel Dekker 1979.
- [SaYa] J. Satsuma and N. Yajima. Initial value problems for one dimensional self-modulation of nonlinear waves in dispersive media, *Suppl. Prig. Theor. Phys.* **55** (1974), 284–306.

- [Sc] P. Schuur. Asymptotic analysis of soliton problems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1232** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [Sch] M. Schwarz Jr. The initial value problem for the sequence of generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations, Adv. in Math. **54** (1984), no. 1, 22–56.
- [ScI] W. Schlag. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimension 2, Comm. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), 87–117.
- [SCMc] A. Scott, F. Chu, and D. McLaughlin. The soliton: a new concept in applied science, Proc. IEEE **97** (1973), 1143–1183.
- [Se] I.E. Segal. Space time decay for solutions of wave equations, Advance in Math **22** (1976), 305–311.
- [Sg] C.D. Sogge. *Fourier integrals in Classical Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York 1993.
- [ShS] J. Shatah and M. Struwe. Regularity results for nonlinear wave equations, Ann. of Math. **138** (1993), 503–518.
- [SiT] T. Simon and E. Taffin. Wave operators and analytic solutions for systems of nonlinear Klein-Gordon and nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Comm. Math. Phys. **99** (1985), 541–562.
- [Sj] P. Sjölin. Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 699–715.
- [SI] D. Salort. Dispersion and Strichartz inequalities for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with variable coefficients, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 11, 687–700.
- [Sr1] W.A. Strauss. *Nonlinear Equations*, Regional conference Series in Math. **73**, A.M.S. (1989).
- [Sr2] W. Strauss. Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977), 149–162.
- [SS] E.M. Stein and R. Shakarchi. *Complex Analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, Princeton University Press, (2003).
- [SS1] C. Sulem and P.-L. Sulem. Quelques résultats de régularité pour les équations de la turbulence de Langmuir, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **289** (1979), A173–A176.
- [SS2] C. Sulem and P.-L. Sulem. *The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Applied Mathematical Sciences **139**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [SSB] P.-L. Sulem, C. Sulem and C. Bardos, *On the continuous limit for a system of classical spins*, Comm. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), 431–454.
- [SSS] A. Sidi, C. Sulem, and P.-L. Sulem. On the long time behaviour of a generalized KdV equation, Acta Appl. Math. **7** (1986), 35–47.
- [ST] J.-C. Saut and R. Temam. Remarks on the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Israel J. Math. **24** (1976), 78–87.
- [St1] J.-C. Saut. Remarks on the generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), 1011–1026.
- [St2] J.-C. Saut. Quelques généralisations de l'équation de Korteweg-de Vries. II, J. Differential Equations **33** (1979), no. 3, 320–335.
- [Sta1] G. Staffilani. On the growth of high Sobolev norms of solutions for KdV and Schrödinger equations, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 109–142.
- [Sta2] G. Staffilani. On solutions for periodic generalized KdV equations, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **18** (1997), 899–917.
- [Sta3] G. Staffilani. KdV and Almost Conservation Laws, Harmonic analysis at Mount Holyoke (South Hadley, MA, 2001), Contemp. Math., 320, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2003), 367–381.
- [Str1] R.S. Strichartz. A priori estimates for the wave equations and some applications, J. Funct. Anal. **5** (1970), 218–235.
- [Str2] R.S. Strichartz. Restriction of Fourier Transform to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations, Duke Math J. **44** (1977), 705–714.
- [StTa] G. Staffilani and D. Tataru. Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger operator with nonsmooth coefficients, Comm. PDE **27** (2001), 1337–1372.

- [Stw] M. Struwe. Globally regular solutions to the u^5 Klein-Gordon equation, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **15** (1989), 495–513.
- [Su1] L.Y. Sung. An inverse scattering transform for the Davey-Stewartson II equations. III, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **183** (1994), 477–494.
- [Su2] L.Y. Sung. The Cauchy problem for the Ishimori equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **139** (1996), 29–67.
- [Sy] A. Soyeur. The Cauchy problem for the Ishimori equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **105** (1992), 233–255.
- [SW] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N.J. 1971.
- [SWe] S. Schochet and M. Weinstein. The nonlinear Schrödinger limit of the Zakharov equations governing the Langmuir turbulence, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **106** (1986), 569–580.
- [T] M. Taylor. *Pseudo Differential Operators*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J. 1981.
- [T1] Y. Tsutsumi. L^2 solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations and nonlinear groups, *Funk. Ekva.* **30** (1987), 115–125.
- [T2] Y. Tsutsumi. Global strong solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **11** (1987), 1143–1154.
- [T3] Y. Tsutsumi. Rates of L^2 -concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **15** (1990), 545–565.
- [Ta1] J. Takeuchi. A necessary condition for the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a certain class of evolution equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974), 133–137.
- [Ta2] S. Tarama. Analyticity of solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **44** (2004), no. 1, 1–32.
- [Td] D. Tataru. Parametrix and dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators with variable coefficients, to appear in *Amer. J. Math.*
- [TF1] M. Tsutsumi and I. Fukuda. On solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Existence and uniqueness theorem, *Funk. Ekva.* **23** (1980), 259–277.
- [TF2] M. Tsutsumi and I. Fukuda. On solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation II, *Funk. Ekva.* **24** (1981), 85–94.
- [Tk1] H. Takaoka. Well-posedness for the Zakharov system with the periodic boundary condition, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **12** (1999), 789–810.
- [Tk2] H. Takaoka. Well-posedness for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation, *Adv. Differential Equations* **5** (2000), 1421–1443.
- [Tm] P. Tomas. A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform, *Bull. A.M.S.* **81** (1975), 477–478.
- [To1] T. Tao. Spherically averaged endpoint Strichartz estimates for the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation, *Comm. PDE* **25** (2000), 1471–1485.
- [To2] T. Tao. A sharp bilinear restrictions estimate for paraboloids, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2003), no. 6, 1359–1384.
- [To3] T. Tao. Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 -functions, and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 839–908.
- [To4] T. Tao. Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **1** (2004), no. 1, 27–49.
- [To5] T. Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the higher-dimensional energy-critical non-linear Schrödinger equation for radial data, *New York J. Math.* **11** (2005), 57–80.
- [To6] T. Tao. Scattering for the quartic generalised Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Differential Equations* **232** (2007), no. 2, 623–651.
- [To7] T. Tao. *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations. Local and Global Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **106**, AMS (2006).
- [Ts] M. Tsutsumi. Nonexistence and instability of solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, unpublished.

- [TT] H. Takaoka and N. Tzvetkov. On the local regularity of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-II equation, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2** (2001), 77–114.
- [TTs] H. Takaoka and Y. Tsutsumi. Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the modified KdV equation with periodic boundary condition, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **56** (2004), 3009–3040.
- [TVZ] T. Tao, M. Visan and X. Zhang. Global well-posedness and scattering for the mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data in higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **140** (2007), 165–202.
- [Tz1] N. Tzvetkov. On the Cauchy problem for Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *Comm. PDE* **24** (1999), 1367–1397.
- [Tz2] N. Tzvetkov. Global low-regularity solutions for Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **13** (2000), 1289–1320.
- [V] L. Vega. Schrödinger equations: pointwise convergence to the initial data, *Proc A.M.S.* **102** (1988), 874–878.
- [Vi1] M.C. Vilela. Regularity of solutions to the free Schrödinger equation with radial initial data, *Illinois J. Math.* **45** (2001), 361–370.
- [Vi2] M.C. Vilela. Las estimaciones de Strichartz bilineales en el contexto de la ecuación de Schrödinger, Ph.D thesis, Universidad del Pais Vasco, Spain 2003.
- [Vs] M. Visan. The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **138** (2007), 281–374.
- [VV] A. Vargas and L. Vega. Global well-posedness for 1D non-linear Schrödinger equation for data with an infinite L^2 norm. *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **80** (2001), 1029–1044.
- [W] M. Weinstein. On the structure and formation of singularities of solutions to nonlinear dispersive evolution equations, *Comm. PDE.* **11** (1986), 545–565.
- [W1] M. Weinstein. *On the Solitary traveling wave of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, *Lectures in Appl. Math.* **23** (1986), 23–30.
- [W2] M. Weinstein. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **87** (1983), 567–576.
- [Wa] M. Wadati. The modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **47** (1972), 1681.
- [Wd] R. Weder. $L^p - L^{p'}$ estimates for the Schrödinger equation on the line and inverse scattering for the Schrödinger equation with potential, *J. Funct. Anal.* **170** (2000), 37–68.
- [Y] K. Yajima. Existence of solutions for the Schrödinger evolution equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987), 415–426.
- [Yo] K. Yosida. *Functional Analysis*, (6th edition), Springer-Verlag 1980.
- [Z] A. Zygmund. On Fourier coefficients and transforms of functions of two variables, *Studia Math.* **50** (1974), 189–201.
- [Za] N.I. Zabusky. *A Synergetic Approach to Problems of Nonlinear Dispersive Wave Propagation and Interaction*, *Nonlinear Partial Diff. Eqs.* Ed. W.F. Ames N.Y. Academic Press (1967), 223–258.
- [Zk] V.E. Zakharov. Collapse of Langmuir waves, *Sov. Phys. JEPT* **35** (1972), 908–914.
- [ZK] V.E. Zakharov and E.A. Kuznetsov. Multi-scale expansions in the theory of systems integrable by the inverse scattering method, *Physica D* **18** (1986), 455–463.
- [ZS] V.E. Zakharov and A.B. Shabat. Exact theory of two dimensional self modulation of waves in nonlinear media, *Sov. Phys. J.E.T.P.* **34** (1972), 62–69.
- [ZSh] V. E. Zakharov and E. I. Shulman. Degenerated dispersive laws, motion invariant and kinetic equations, *Physica D* (1980), 185–250.

Index

- N -solitons, 186
- A-regular, 72
- A-super regular, 72
- almost conserved quantities, 176
- asymptotic flatness, 218, 228
- Benjamin–Ono equation, vii, 81, 191, 196
 - generalized, 199
- Bicharacteristic flow, 53, 80
 - nontrapped, 82
- bilinear estimates, 141, 163, 177
- blow up (KdV), 173, 183
- blow up (NLS), 119, 120, 122, 123, 131–133
- Calderón–Zygmund lemma, 233
- Camassa–Holm equation, 208
- Christoffel symbol, 212
- classical symbols, 51
- Cole–Hopf transformation, 210
- commutator estimates, 50, 87
- compactons, 208
- concentration, 120, 129, 130
- Davey–Stewartson systems, vii, 191
 - decay properties, 108
 - defocusing, 90
 - differential operator, 5
 - distribution function, 30
 - Duhamel’s principle, 86
- embedding, 47
- focusing, 90
- Fourier transform, 1
- fractional chain rule, 146
- fractional Leibniz rule, 146
- Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, 50, 56, 101, 121, 174, 180
- Galilean invariance, 91, 115
- Gauss summation method, 5
- global smoothing, 64
- Hamiltonian flow, 218
- Hamiltonian system, 196
- Hamiltonian vector field, 53, 54
- Hardy’s inequality, 20, 57
- Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, 33
- Hardy–Littlewood theorem, 34
- Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev theorem, 35, 65, 144
- Hausdorff–Young’s inequality, 29
- Heisenberg’s inequality, 57, 128
- higher order KdV equations, 191
- Hilbert transform, 12, 22, 40, 145
- homogeneous smoothing effect, 79
- I-method, 176
- ill-posedness, 154
- inhomogeneous smoothing effect, 79
- instability, 182, 185
- inverse scattering method, 203
- Ishimori equations, vii, 191, 193
- k-gKdV equation, 139, 140
- KP equations, 191
- Korteweg–de Vries equation, vi, 139, 155
 - blow up, 183
 - critical, 149, 151, 173
 - generalized, vii, 149
 - modified, 139, 146, 170
- KP equations, vii, 194
- Liouville’s type theorem, 181

- local smoothing, 67, 79
- Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, 29
- Maximal function estimates, 143
- Mihlin–Hörmander’s theorem, 38
- Minkowski integral inequality, 19
- Miura transformation, 139, 167
- Morawetz’s estimate, 138
- multiplier, 38, 41
- Nash–Moser techniques, 217
- nonisotropic, 211
- Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, vi
- nontrapped, 54
- nontrapping condition, 217, 219, 220
- oscillatory integrals, 13
- Paley–Wiener theorem, 21
- parabolic regularization, 199, 219
- Plancherel theorem, 6
- Pohozaev’s identity, 90, 116
- Poisson bracket, 52
- pseudoconformal invariance, 91, 129
- Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, 1
- Riesz potentials, 35
- Riesz transform, 41
- Riesz–Thorin theorem, 27, 37
- Schrödinger equation, vi
 - potential, 76, 108
- Schrödinger flow, 211
- Schwartz space, 9, 46
- sharp Garding’s inequality, 223, 229
- Sobolev boundedness, 52
- Sobolev embedding, 156
- Sobolev spaces, 45
- solitary waves, 91, 140, 154
- soliton theory, vi
- stability, 182, 185
- standing waves, 90, 135
 - instability, 135
 - stability, 135
- Stein interpolation theorem, 37, 145
- Stein–Tomas restriction theorem, 144
- Stone theorem, 62
- Strichartz estimates, 75
- Strichartz estimates, 73, 76, 106, 110
- sublinear operator, 28
- symbolic calculus, 52
- tempered distributions, 8, 9
- unitary group of operators, 62
- van der Corput lemma, 15
- Vitali’s covering lemma, 33
- weak derivatives, 47
- weak type operator, 31
- Young’s inequality, 6, 19, 28
- Zakharov system, vii, 191

- Aguilar, M.; Gitler, S.; Prieto, C.: Algebraic Topology from a Homotopical Viewpoint
Ahlsweide, R.; Blinovsky, V.: Lectures on Advances in Combinatorics
Aksoy, A.; Khamsi, M. A.: Methods in Fixed Point Theory
Alevras, D.; Padberg M. W.: Linear Optimization and Extensions
Andersson, M.: Topics in Complex Analysis
Aoki, M.: State Space Modeling of Time Series
Arnold, V. I.: Lectures on Partial Differential Equations
Arnold, V. I.; Cooke, R.: Ordinary Differential Equations
Audin, M.: Geometry
Aupetit, B.: A Primer on Spectral Theory
Bachem, A.; Kern, W.: Linear Programming Duality
Bachmann, G.; Narici, L.; Beckenstein, E.: Fourier and Wavelet Analysis
Badescu, L.: Algebraic Surfaces
Balakrishnan, R.; Ranganathan, K.: A Textbook of Graph Theory
Balser, W.: Formal Power Series and Linear Systems of Meromorphic Ordinary Differential Equations
Bapat, R.B.: Linear Algebra and Linear Models
Benedetti, R.; Petronio, C.: Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry
Benth, F. E.: Option Theory with Stochastic Analysis
Berberian, S. K.: Fundamentals of Real Analysis
Berger, M.: Geometry I, and II
Bhattacharya, R.; Waymire, E. C.: A Basic Course in Probability Theory
Bliedtner, J.; Hansen, W.: Potential Theory
Blowey, J. F.; Coleman, J. P.; Craig, A. W. (Eds.): Theory and Numerics of Differential Equations
Blowey, J. F.; Craig, A.; Shardlow, T. (Eds.): Frontiers in Numerical Analysis, Durham 2002, and Durham 2004
Blyth, T. S.: Lattices and Ordered Algebraic Structures
Börger, E.; Grädel, E.; Gurevich, Y.: The Classical Decision Problem
Böttcher, A.; Silbermann, B.: Introduction to Large Truncated Toeplitz Matrices
Boltyanski, V.; Martini, H.; Soltan, P. S.: Excursions into Combinatorial Geometry
Boltyanskii, V. G.; Efremovich, V. A.: Intuitive Combinatorial Topology
Bonnans, J. F.; Gilbert, J. C.; Lemarchal, C.; Sagastizbal, C. A.: Numerical Optimization
Booss, B.; Bleecker, D. D.: Topology and Analysis
Borkar, V. S.: Probability Theory
Brides/Vita: Techniques of Constructive Analysis
Bruiner, J. H.: The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms
Brunt B. van: The Calculus of Variations
Bühlmann, H.; Gisler, A.: A Course in Credibility Theory and its Applications
Carleson, L.; Gamelin, T. W.: Complex Dynamics
Cecil, T. E.: Lie Sphere Geometry: With Applications of Submanifolds
Chae, S. B.: Lebesgue Integration
Chandrasekharan, K.: Classical Fourier Transform
Charlap, L. S.: Bieberbach Groups and Flat Manifolds
Chern, S.: Complex Manifolds without Potential Theory
Chorin, A. J.; Marsden, J. E.: Mathematical Introduction to Fluid Mechanics
Cohn, H.: A Classical Invitation to Algebraic Numbers and Class Fields
Curtis, M. L.: Abstract Linear Algebra
Curtis, M. L.: Matrix Groups
Cyganowski, S.; Kloeden, P.; Ombach, J.: From Elementary Probability to Stochastic Differential Equations with MAPLE
Da Prato, G.: An Introduction to Infinite Dimensional Analysis
Dalen, D. van: Logic and Structure
Das, A.: The Special Theory of Relativity: A Mathematical Exposition

- Debarre, O.*: Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry
- Deitmar, A.*: A First Course in Harmonic Analysis
- Demazure, M.*: Bifurcations and Catastrophes
- Devlin, K. J.*: Fundamentals of Contemporary Set Theory
- DiBenedetto, E.*: Degenerate Parabolic Equations
- Diener, F.; Diener, M. (Eds.)*: Nonstandard Analysis in Practice
- Dimca, A.*: Sheaves in Topology
- Dimca, A.*: Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces
- DoCarmo, M. P.*: Differential Forms and Applications
- Duistermaat, J. J.; Kolk, J. A. C.*: Lie Groups
- Dumortier.*: Qualitative Theory of Planar Differential Systems
- Dundas, B. I.; Levine, M.; Østvær, P. A.; Röndip, O.; Voevodsky, V.*: Motivic Homotopy Theory
- Edwards, R. E.*: A Formal Background to Higher Mathematics Ia, and Ib
- Edwards, R. E.*: A Formal Background to Higher Mathematics IIa, and IIb
- Emery, M.*: Stochastic Calculus in Manifolds
- Emmanouil, I.*: Idempotent Matrices over Complex Group Algebras
- Endler, O.*: Valuation Theory
- Engel, K.-J.; Nagel, R.*: A Short Course on Operator Semigroups
- Erez, B.*: Galois Modules in Arithmetic
- Everest, G.; Ward, T.*: Heights of Polynomials and Entropy in Algebraic Dynamics
- Farenick, D. R.*: Algebras of Linear Transformations
- Foulds, L. R.*: Graph Theory Applications
- Franke, J.; Härdle, W.; Hafner, C. M.*: Statistics of Financial Markets: An Introduction
- Frauenthal, J. C.*: Mathematical Modeling in Epidemiology
- Freitag, E.; Busam, R.*: Complex Analysis
- Friedman, R.*: Algebraic Surfaces and Holomorphic Vector Bundles
- Fuks, D. B.; Rokhlin, V. A.*: Beginner's Course in Topology
- Fuhrmann, P. A.*: A Polynomial Approach to Linear Algebra
- Gallot, S.; Hulin, D.; Lafontaine, J.*: Riemannian Geometry
- Gardiner, C. F.*: A First Course in Group Theory
- Gårding, L.; Tambour, T.*: Algebra for Computer Science
- Godbillon, C.*: Dynamical Systems on Surfaces
- Godement, R.*: Analysis I, and II
- Goldblatt, R.*: Orthogonality and Spacetime Geometry
- Gouvêa, F. Q.*: p -Adic Numbers
- Gross, M. et al.*: Calabi-Yau Manifolds and Related Geometries
- Grossman, C.; Roos, H.-G.; Stynes, M.*: Numerical Treatment of Partial Differential Equations
- Gustafson, K. E.; Rao, D. K. M.*: Numerical Range. The Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices
- Gustafson, S. J.; Sigal, I. M.*: Mathematical Concepts of Quantum Mechanics
- Hahn, A. J.*: Quadratic Algebras, Clifford Algebras, and Arithmetic Witt Groups
- Hájek, P.; Havránek, T.*: Mechanizing Hypothesis Formation
- Heinonen, J.*: Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces
- Hlawka, E.; Schoißengeier, J.; Taschner, R.*: Geometric and Analytic Number Theory
- Holmgren, R. A.*: A First Course in Discrete Dynamical Systems
- Howe, R.; Tan, E. Ch.*: Non-Abelian Harmonic Analysis
- Howes, N. R.*: Modern Analysis and Topology
- Hsieh, P.-F.; Sibuya, Y. (Eds.)*: Basic Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations
- Humi, M.; Miller, W.*: Second Course in Ordinary Differential Equations for Scientists and Engineers

- Hurwitz, A.; Kritikos, N.:* Lectures on Number Theory
- Huybrechts, D.:* Complex Geometry: An Introduction
- Isaev, A.:* Introduction to Mathematical Methods in Bioinformatics
- Istas, J.:* Mathematical Modeling for the Life Sciences
- Iversen, B.:* Cohomology of Sheaves
- Jacod, J.; Protter, P.:* Probability Essentials
- Jennings, G. A.:* Modern Geometry with Applications
- Jones, A.; Morris, S. A.; Pearson, K. R.:* Abstract Algebra and Famous Impossibilities
- Jost, J.:* Compact Riemann Surfaces
- Jost, J.:* Dynamical Systems. Examples of Complex Behaviour
- Jost, J.:* Postmodern Analysis
- Jost, J.:* Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis
- Kac, V.; Cheung, P.:* Quantum Calculus
- Kannan, R.; Krueger, C. K.:* Advanced Analysis on the Real Line
- Kelly, P.; Matthews, G.:* The Non-Euclidean Hyperbolic Plane
- Kempf, G.:* Complex Abelian Varieties and Theta Functions
- Kitchens, B. P.:* Symbolic Dynamics
- Klenke, A.:* Probability Theory
- Kloeden, P.; Ombach, J.; Cyganowski, S.:* From Elementary Probability to Stochastic Differential Equations with MAPLE
- Kloeden, P. E.; Platen, E.; Schurz, H.:* Numerical Solution of SDE Through Computer Experiments
- Koralov, L. B.; Sinai, Ya. G.:* Theory of Probability and Random Processes. 2nd edition
- Kostrikin, A. I.:* Introduction to Algebra
- Krasnoselskii, M. A.; Pokrovskii, A. V.:* Systems with Hysteresis
- Kuo, H.-H.:* Introduction to Stochastic Integration
- Kurzweil, H.; Stellmacher, B.:* The Theory of Finite Groups. An Introduction
- Kyprianou, A. E.:* Introductory Lectures on Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications
- Lang, S.:* Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds
- Lefebvre, M.:* Applied Stochastic Processes
- Linares, F.; Ponce, G.:* Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations
- Lorenz, F.:* Algebra I: Fields and Galois Theory
- Lorenz, F.:* Algebra II: Fields with Structure, Algebras and Advanced Topics
- Luecking, D. H., Rubel, L. A.:* Complex Analysis. A Functional Analysis Approach
- Ma, Zhi-Ming; Roeckner, M.:* Introduction to the Theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet Forms
- Mac Lane, S.; Moerdijk, I.:* Sheaves in Geometry and Logic
- Marcus, D. A.:* Number Fields
- Martinez, A.:* An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis
- Matoušek, J.:* Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem
- Matsuki, K.:* Introduction to the Mori Program
- Mazzola, G.; Milmeister G.; Weissman J.:* Comprehensive Mathematics for Computer Scientists 1
- Mazzola, G.; Milmeister G.; Weissman J.:* Comprehensive Mathematics for Computer Scientists 2
- McCarthy, P. J.:* Introduction to Arithmetical Functions
- McCrimmon, K.:* A Taste of Jordan Algebras
- Meyer, R. M.:* Essential Mathematics for Applied Field
- Meyer-Nieberg, P.:* Banach Lattices
- Mikosch, T.:* Non-Life Insurance Mathematics
- Mines, R.; Richman, F.; Ruitenburg, W.:* A Course in Constructive Algebra
- Moise, E. E.:* Introductory Problem Courses in Analysis and Topology
- Montesinos-Amilibia, J. M.:* Classical Tessellations and Three Manifolds

- Morris, P.*: Introduction to Game Theory
- Mortveit, H.; Reidys, C.*: An Introduction to Sequential Dynamical Systems
- Nicolaescu, L.*: An Invitation to Morse Theory
- Nikulin, V. V.; Shafarevich, I. R.*: Geometries and Groups
- Oden, J. J.; Reddy, J. N.*: Variational Methods in Theoretical Mechanics
- Øksendal, B.*: Stochastic Differential Equations
- Øksendal, B.; Sulem, A.*: Applied Stochastic Control of Jump Diffusions. 2nd edition
- Orlik, P.; Welker, V.*: Algebraic Combinatorics
- Perrin, D.*: Algebraic Geometry
- Poizat, B.*: A Course in Model Theory
- Polster, B.*: A Geometrical Picture Book
- Porter, J. R.; Woods, R. G.*: Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces
- Procesi, C.*: Lie Groups
- Radjavi, H.; Rosenthal, P.*: Simultaneous Triangularization
- Ramsay, A.; Richtmeyer, R. D.*: Introduction to Hyperbolic Geometry
- Rautenberg, W.*: A concise Introduction to Mathematical Logic
- Rees, E. G.*: Notes on Geometry
- Reisel, R. B.*: Elementary Theory of Metric Spaces
- Rey, W. J. J.*: Introduction to Robust and Quasi-Robust Statistical Methods
- Ribenboim, P.*: Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers
- Rickart, C. E.*: Natural Function Algebras
- Rotman, J. J.*: Galois Theory
- Rubel, L. A.*: Entire and Meromorphic Functions
- Ruiz-Tolosa, J. R.; Castillo E.*: From Vectors to Tensors
- Runde, V.*: A Taste of Topology
- Rybakowski, K. P.*: The Homotopy Index and Partial Differential Equations
- Sabbah, C.*: Isomonodromic Deformations and Frobenius Manifolds
- Sagan, H.*: Space-Filling Curves
- Salsa, S.*: Partial Differential Equations in Action
- Samelson, H.*: Notes on Lie Algebras
- Sauvigny, F.*: Partial Differential Equations I
- Sauvigny, F.*: Partial Differential Equations II
- Schiff, J. L.*: Normal Families
- Schirotzek, W.*: Nonsmooth Analysis
- Sengupta, J. K.*: Optimal Decisions under Uncertainty
- Séroul, R.*: Programming for Mathematicians
- Seydel, R.*: Tools for Computational Finance
- Shafarevich, I. R.*: Discourses on Algebra
- Shapiro, J. H.*: Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory
- Simonnet, M.*: Measures and Probabilities
- Smith, K. E.; Kahanpää, L.; Kekäläinen, P.; Traves, W.*: An Invitation to Algebraic Geometry
- Smith, K. T.*: Power Series from a Computational Point of View
- Smoryński, C.*: Self-Reference and Modal Logic
- Smoryński, C.*: Logical Number Theory I. An Introduction
- Srivastava*: A Course on Mathematical Logic
- Stichtenoth, H.*: Algebraic Function Fields and Codes
- Stillwell, J.*: Geometry of Surfaces
- Stroock, D. W.*: An Introduction to the Theory of Large Deviations
- Sunder, V. S.*: An Invitation to von Neumann Algebras
- Tamme, G.*: Introduction to Étale Cohomology
- Tondeur, P.*: Foliations on Riemannian Manifolds
- Toth, G.*: Finite Möbius Groups, Minimal Immersions of Spheres, and Moduli
- Tu, L. W.*: An Introduction to Manifolds
- Verhulst, F.*: Nonlinear Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems
- Weintraub, S. H.*: Galois Theory
- Wong, M. W.*: Weyl Transforms
- Xambó-Descamps, S.*: Block Error-Correcting Codes
- Zaanen, A. C.*: Continuity, Integration and Fourier Theory
- Zhang, F.*: Matrix Theory
- Zong, C.*: Sphere Packings
- Zong, C.*: Strange Phenomena in Convex and Discrete Geometry
- Zorich, V. A.*: Mathematical Analysis I
- Zorich, V. A.*: Mathematical Analysis II